

# FINITENESS OF COMPACT MAXIMAL FLATS OF BOUNDED VOLUME

HEE OH

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian locally symmetric space of non-positive curvature and of finite volume. We show that there are only finitely many compact maximal flats in  $M$  of volume bounded by a given number. As a corollary in the case  $M = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}_n$ , we give a different proof of a theorem of Remak that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are only finitely many totally real number fields of degree  $n$  whose regulator is less than a given number.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian locally symmetric space of non-positive curvature and of finite volume. A flat in  $M$  is a complete totally geodesic submanifold of sectional curvature 0. A maximal flat means a flat of maximal dimension, i.e., of dimension equal to the rank of  $M$ . On each flat of  $M$ , we have an induced volume form.

The main aim of this note is to prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any given  $c > 0$ , the number of compact maximal flats in  $M$  of volume less than  $c$  is finite.*

In the case when  $M$  is compact, Theorem 1.1 was proved earlier by Spatzier [Sp].

In proving the above theorem, by applying the theorem of Eberlein [Eb, Theorem 7.3.3], we may assume that  $M$  has no local Euclidean de Rham factor. We may further assume without loss of generality that  $M$  is irreducible, in the sense that there exists no finite covering of  $M$  which is a direct product (as Riemannian manifolds) of locally symmetric spaces of positive dimension [He].

Then  $M$  is of the form  $\Gamma \backslash G / K$  where  $G$  is a connected center free semisimple real algebraic group with no compact factors,  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  an irreducible torsion free lattice in  $G$ , and the metric on  $M$  is induced from a left invariant Riemannian metric on  $G / K$  [He].

In the case when the real rank of  $G$  is one, that is, when  $M$  has negative curvature, a compact maximal flat in  $M$  is just a primitive closed geodesic in  $M$ . In this case,

---

Partially supported by DMS-0070544.

even the precise asymptotic for the number of (primitive) closed geodesics of length less than  $c$ , as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , is known by Margulis [Ma1] for  $M$  compact and by Gangolli-Warner [GW] otherwise. The latter is deduced from Selberg trace formula.

Hence we may assume that the real rank of  $G$  is at least 2. By Margulis arithmeticity theorem [Ma2],  $\Gamma$  is then an arithmetic subgroup of  $G$ , that is, there exists a semisimple algebraic group  $H$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and an epimorphism  $f : H(\mathbb{R})^0 \rightarrow G$  with compact kernel such that  $\Gamma$  is commensurable with  $f(H(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Since any such  $\Gamma$  has a torsion free subgroup of finite index by Selberg's lemma [Se], there exist a maximal compact subgroup  $K_0$  of  $H(\mathbb{R})^0$  and a torsion free arithmetic subgroup  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta \backslash H(\mathbb{R})^0 / K_0$  is a finite covering space of  $M$ .

In what follows, a (resp. connected) real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  means (resp. the identity component of) the group of real points of a connected algebraic subgroup defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Summarizing above, to show Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion free arithmetic subgroup of  $G$  with respect to the given  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure of  $G$ . For any given  $c > 0$ , the number of compact maximal flats in  $\Gamma \backslash G / K$  of volume less than  $c$  is finite.*

In Section 4, we obtain a number theoretic application of our theorem.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Denote by  $\Omega_n$  the set of all orders in totally real number fields of degree  $n$ . For any  $c > 0$ ,*

$$\#\{\mathcal{D} \in \Omega_n : \text{Reg}(\mathcal{D}) < c\} < \infty,$$

where  $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{D})$  denotes the regulator of the order  $\mathcal{D}$ .

In particular this implies that there are only finitely many totally real number fields of degree  $n$  with regulator less than a given number. This is a special case of a theorem of Remak who proved the same statement for any number fields which are not CM. He obtained this as a consequence of a lower bound for the regulator in terms of the discriminant of the field [Re] (see also [Si]).

**Acknowledgment** I would like to thank Dima Dolgopyat and Barak Weiss for helpful discussion. I am also grateful to the referee for useful remarks.

## 2. COMPACT MAXIMAL FLATS IN $\Gamma \backslash G / K$

Let  $G$  be a connected center free semisimple linear real algebraic group. Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup in  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  a torsion free lattice in  $G$ . Let

$$G = (\exp \mathfrak{p})K$$

be the Cartan decomposition, and consider the Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$  with a fixed left invariant Riemannian metric.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a maximal real split torus of  $G$ , whose identity component will be denoted by  $S$ , such that*

$$C_G(S)^0 = S(C_G(S)^0 \cap K) \quad (\text{as a direct product})$$

where  $C_G(S)$  denotes the centralizer of  $S$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the identity component of any maximal real split torus of  $G$ . Then  $C_G(S)^0$  is a reductive algebraic subgroup, and hence for some  $g \in G$ ,  $gC_G(S)^0g^{-1}$  has a Cartan decomposition compatible with the one fixed for  $G$  [Mo]. On the other hand, since  $S$  is a maximal real split torus,  $gC_G(S)^0g^{-1}$  is a direct product of  $gSg^{-1}$  with  $gC_G(S)^0g^{-1} \cap K$ . Hence  $gSg^{-1}$  satisfies the desired properties.  $\square$

Fix  $S$  as in the above lemma and set

$$A = C_G(S)^0 \quad \text{and} \quad M = A \cap K.$$

We refer to [Mo] for some known facts about maximal flats in the discussion below. Any maximal flat in the Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$  is of the form  $gSK/K$  for  $g \in G$ . We say that a maximal flat  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $G/K$  is  $\Gamma$ -compact if its image  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F}$  under the projection map  $G/K \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/K$  is compact. A compact maximal flat in  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$  is then of the form  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -compact maximal flat in  $G/K$ .

Since  $SK = AK$ , we have  $gSK/K = gAK/K$ . Moreover by [Mo, Lemma 5.1],

$$(2.2) \quad gSK/K = hSK/K \quad \text{if and only if} \quad h^{-1}g \in N_G(S)$$

where  $N_G(S)$  denotes the normalizer of  $S$  in  $G$ .

For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the notation  $\bar{g}H$  denotes the orbit of  $\bar{g} \in \Gamma \backslash G$  under the right translation action of  $H$  and the notation  $[H]$  denotes the  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy class of subgroups of  $G$  containing  $H$ .

Now set

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \{[gSg^{-1}] : gSK/K \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-compact}\}; \quad \text{and} \\ Y &:= \{[gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma] : \bar{g}A \text{ is compact}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), the set  $X$  is in bijection with the space of compact maximal flats in  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ .

Moreover we have:

**Theorem 2.3.** *The map*

$$[gSg^{-1}] \mapsto [gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma]$$

*defines a bijection from  $X$  to  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* It can be easily checked that  $N_G(S) \subset N_G(A)$ . Hence  $[gSg^{-1}] = [hSh^{-1}]$  implies  $[gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma] = [hAh^{-1} \cap \Gamma]$ . Now supposing  $gSK/K$  is  $\Gamma$ -compact, we show that  $A \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g$  is co-compact in  $A$ , or equivalently  $\bar{g}A$  is compact in  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . Set  $\mathcal{F} = gSK/K$ . By (2.2), the stabilizer of the flat  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $gN_G(S)g^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  and hence

$$\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F} = (gN_G(S)g^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash \mathcal{F}.$$

Since  $gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  has finite index in  $gN_G(S)g^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\Gamma$ -compact, we have  $(gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash \mathcal{F}$  is compact as well. Since  $gSg^{-1} = gAg^{-1}/gMg^{-1}$  and it acts simply transitively on  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$(gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash \mathcal{F} = (gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash gAg^{-1}/gMg^{-1}.$$

Since  $M$  is compact, the canonical projection map

$$(gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash G \rightarrow (gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash G/gMg^{-1}$$

is proper, and hence it follows that  $(gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash gAg^{-1}$  is compact, being the preimage of  $(gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma) \backslash gAg^{-1}/gMg^{-1}$ . This proves the map is well defined.

To show that the map is injective, first note that if  $gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  is co-compact in  $gAg^{-1}$ , then the image of  $gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ , under the natural projection of  $gAg^{-1}$  onto  $gSg^{-1}$ , is co-compact in  $gSg^{-1}$  as well, since  $A = S/M$  with  $M$  compact. Since  $S$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -split, it follows that the Zariski closure of  $gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  contains  $gSg^{-1}$  for any  $gSg^{-1} \in X$ . Since  $S$  is the unique maximal real split torus of  $A$ , it follows that  $gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma = hAh^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  implies  $gSg^{-1} = hSh^{-1}$ , proving the claim. Since  $gSK/K = gAK/K$ , the surjectivity is clear.  $\square$

Note that for  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $K = \mathrm{PSO}_2$  and  $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the above theorem is precisely the well known one to one correspondence between the set of primitive closed geodesics and the conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements in  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

On the group  $G$ , we fix a left  $G$ -invariant and right  $K$ -invariant Riemannian metric which is compatible with the left invariant metric on  $G/K$ . For each closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , this induces volume forms on  $H$  as well as on closed orbits  $\bar{g}H$  in  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . If  $\bar{g}H$  is compact, the volume of  $\bar{g}H$  is then equal to  $\mathrm{vol}((H \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g) \backslash H)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $g \in G$  is such that  $\mathcal{F} = gSK/K$  is  $\Gamma$ -compact, then*

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F}) \geq r \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\bar{g}A)$$

where  $r > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Omega$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $g^{-1}\Omega$  is a fundamental domain for  $g^{-1}\Gamma g$  in  $g^{-1}\mathcal{F} = SK/K$ . Since the metric on  $G/K$  is left invariant,

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{vol}(N_G(S) \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g \backslash g^{-1}\mathcal{F}).$$

Since  $[N_G(S) \cap \Gamma : A \cap \Gamma] \leq [N_G(S) : A]$  and

$$(A \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g) \backslash g^{-1}\mathcal{F} = (A \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g)M \backslash A,$$

we have

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F}) \geq \frac{1}{[N_G(S) : A]} \text{vol}((A \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g) M \backslash A).$$

If  $\Omega$  is a subset of  $A$  which bijectively maps to a fundamental domain in  $A/M$  for the action of  $A \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g$ , then the product  $\Omega \times M$  is a fundamental domain in  $A$  for the action of  $A \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g$ , which can be shown using the torsion free property of  $\Gamma$ . Therefore

$$\text{vol}(\bar{g}A) = \text{vol}((A \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g) \backslash A) = \text{vol}((A \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g) M \backslash A) \cdot \text{vol}(M)$$

Hence we deduce

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \mathcal{F}) \geq \frac{\text{vol}(\bar{g}A)}{\text{vol}(M) \cdot [N_G(S) : A]}.$$

□

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $G$  be a connected linear semisimple real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup with respect to this  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure. Fix a left invariant Riemannian metric on  $G$ , and hence induced volume forms on closed subgroups of  $G$  as well as on closed orbits of the form  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H$  for  $H$  closed subgroup.

By Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, Theorem 1.2 follows from:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be the identity component of a maximal  $\mathbb{R}$ -split torus of  $G$ . Denote by  $A$  the identity component of the centralizer of  $S$  in  $G$ . For any  $c > 0$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \#\{[gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma] : \bar{g}A \text{ is compact, } \text{vol}(\bar{g}A) < c\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if  $G$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -split, then

$$\#\{\bar{g}S : \bar{g}S \text{ is compact, } \text{vol}(\bar{g}S) < c\} < \infty.$$

Our main tools in proving the above theorem are the following:

**Theorem 3.3** (Dani-Margulis, [DM, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $G$  be a connected linear Lie group and  $\Gamma$  a discrete subgroup of  $G$ . For any  $c > 0$ , there are only finitely many subgroups of the form  $H \cap \Gamma$  such that  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H$  is closed and the co-volume of  $H \cap \Gamma$  in  $H$  is less than  $c$ .*

**Theorem 3.4** (Tomanov- Weiss, [TW, Theorem 1.2]). *Let  $G$  be a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $S$  a maximal  $\mathbb{R}$ -split torus of  $G$  containing a maximal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus of  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup of  $G$ . Then there exists a compact subset  $K \subset \Gamma \backslash G$  such that for any  $g \in G$ ,*

$$\bar{g}S \cap K \neq \emptyset$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** The subgroup  $A$  is a direct product of  $S$  and its unique maximal compact subgroup. First note that in  $G$  there exists a maximal real split torus containing a maximal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus, e.g., take a maximal real split torus in the centralizer of a maximal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus. Since all maximal real split tori of  $G$  are conjugate with each other, we may apply Theorem 3.4 to  $S$  to conclude that there is a compact subset  $K_0 \subset G$  such that any  $A$ -orbit is of the form  $\bar{g}A$  where  $g \in K_0$ .

Clearly the left hand side in (3.2) is bounded above by

$$(3.5) \quad \#\{gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma : g \in K_0, \bar{g}A \text{ is compact, } \text{vol}(\bar{g}A) < c\}.$$

Note that for any compact  $A$ -orbit  $\bar{g}A$ , the volume of  $\bar{g}A$  is given by  $\text{vol}((g^{-1}\Gamma g \cap A) \backslash A)$  and

$$\text{vol}((\Gamma \cap gAg^{-1}) \backslash gAg^{-1}) = \text{vol}((g^{-1}\Gamma g \cap A) \backslash A) \cdot \delta_g$$

where  $\delta_g$  denotes the factor which volumes of subsets get multiplied under the transformation  $a \rightarrow gag^{-1}$  for all  $a \in A$  (here volumes are computed with respect to the induced metric on the submanifolds  $A$  and  $gAg^{-1}$  of  $G$ ).

Since  $\delta_g$  is a continuous function on  $G$ , we have

$$d := \max_{g \in K_0} \delta_g < \infty.$$

We now have for any  $g \in K_0$  such that  $\bar{g}A$  is compact,

$$(3.6) \quad \text{vol}((\Gamma \cap gAg^{-1}) \backslash gAg^{-1}) \leq d \cdot \text{vol}(\bar{g}A).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.3, there are only finitely many subgroups of the form  $gAg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  such that  $\bar{g}A$  is compact and

$$\text{vol}((\Gamma \cap gAg^{-1}) \backslash gAg^{-1}) < c \cdot d.$$

Hence (3.5) is finite, proving the first claim. To see the second claim, if  $G$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -split, we have  $A = S$ , and  $gSg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  is Zariski dense in  $gSg^{-1}$  for any compact  $\bar{g}S$ . Hence the map from  $\{[gSg^{-1}] : \bar{g}S \text{ is compact}\}$  to  $\{[gSg^{-1} \cap \Gamma] : \bar{g}S \text{ is compact}\}$  induced by  $gSg^{-1} \rightarrow gSg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$  is a bijection. Therefore the first part of the theorem implies that

$$\#\{[gSg^{-1}] : \bar{g}S \text{ is compact, } \text{vol}(\bar{g}S) < c\} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, the cardinality of the fiber of the map  $\bar{g}S \mapsto gSg^{-1}$  is  $[N_G(S) : S]$ , which is finite, since  $S = A$ . Therefore the number of compact  $\bar{g}S$  with volume less than  $c$  is bounded above by

$$[N_G(S) : S] \cdot \#\{[gSg^{-1}] : \bar{g}S \text{ is compact, } \text{vol}(\bar{g}S) < c\} < \infty.$$

This proves the claim.

## 4. FINITENESS OF ORDERS OF BOUNDED REGULATORS

We set up some notations as well as recall some basic definitions in number theory (cf. [BS]).

**Notation:** Let  $k$  be a number field of degree  $n$ , which is totally real, that is, any field embedding of  $k$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  takes values in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (1). We set  $k^*$  to be the set of totally positive elements in  $k$ , i.e.,

$$k^* = \{x \in k : \sigma(x) > 0 \text{ for any embedding } \sigma : k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$$

- (2). Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_k$  the ring of algebraic integers in  $k$ . An order of  $k$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{O}_k$  containing 1 which has rank  $n$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule. Note that  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is the unique maximal order in  $k$ .

- (3). For an order  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $k$ , the notation  $\mathcal{D}^*$  denotes the group of units in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{D}^* = \{u \in k : u\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}\} = \{u \in \mathcal{D} : N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(u) = \pm 1\},$$

where  $N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(u)$  denotes the norm of  $u \in k$ .

- (4). The regulator  $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{D})$  of an order  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $k$  is defined as the covolume in  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$  of the discrete subgroup

$$\{(\log |\sigma_1(u)|, \dots, \log |\sigma_n(u)|) : u \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are  $n$  different embeddings of  $k$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

By Dirichlet unit theorem,  $\text{Reg}(\mathcal{D}) < \infty$  for any order  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $k$ .

- (5). The regulator of a field  $k$  means the regulator of its maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_k$ .

We now begin the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let  $S$  denote the identity component of the diagonal subgroup of  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.,

$$S = \{\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : a_i > 0\}.$$

Consider the space  $Z \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  where  $Z$  denotes the center of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that an  $S$ -orbit  $\bar{g}S$ ,  $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , is compact in  $Z \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if the discrete subgroup  $S \cap g^{-1} \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})g$  is co-compact in  $S$ .

We may identify  $Z \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  by the canonical isomorphism. Consider a left invariant Riemannian metric on  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Since each compact  $S$ -orbit  $\bar{g}S$  is a submanifold in  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , we have an induced volume form such that  $\text{vol}(\bar{g}S)$  is given by  $\text{vol}(S \cap g^{-1} \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})g \backslash S)$ .

Setting  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the set of all compact  $S$ -orbits in  $Z \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , we first establish an injective map from  $\Omega_n$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  (recall that  $\Omega_n$  denotes the set of all orders in totally real number fields of degree  $n$ ). To do so, for each totally real number field  $k$  of degree  $n$ , fix an  $n$ -tuple  $\sigma_k := (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  of different embeddings of  $k$  into  $\mathbb{R}$

with  $\sigma_1$  being the identity. For an order  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $k$ , we set

$$\phi(\mathcal{D}) = \bar{g}_\xi S$$

where  $g_\xi := (\sigma_j(\xi_i))_{ij}$  for a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Clearly  $\phi(\mathcal{D})$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and hence  $\phi$  is a well defined map from  $\Omega_n$  to the set of  $S$ -orbits in  $Z \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

To show that  $\phi$  is injective, suppose that  $\phi(\mathcal{D}) = \phi(\mathcal{D}')$  for some  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}' \in \Omega_n$ . Then

$$g_{\xi'} \in Z \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) g_\xi S$$

where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_n)$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases for  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}'$  respectively.

By comparing the first columns, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{D}' = a\mathcal{D} \quad \text{for some non-zero } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $1 \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $a \in \mathcal{D}'$ . Therefore

$$a\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}' = a\mathcal{D}; \quad \text{hence } \mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}.$$

On the other hand, since  $1 \in \mathcal{D}'$ ,  $a^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{D} = aa^{-1}\mathcal{D} \subset a\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}'.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}'$ , showing that  $\phi$  is injective.

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have*

$$S \cap g_\xi^{-1} \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) g_\xi = \{\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1(u), \dots, \sigma_n(u)) : u \in \mathcal{D}^* \cap k^*\}$$

where  $\xi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S \cap g_\xi^{-1} \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) g_\xi$  if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{Z}^n g_\xi \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mathbb{Z}^n g_\xi$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  are integral row vectors. This is again same to say that for any non-zero  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists  $y \in \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$a_j \sigma_j(x) = \sigma_j(y) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Setting  $u = yx^{-1}$ , we have  $u \in k$  and  $a_j = \sigma_j(u)$ . Since  $a_j \sigma_j(\mathcal{D}) = \sigma_j(\mathcal{D})$  from (4.2),  $u\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$  and hence  $u \in \mathcal{D}^*$ . Moreover  $\sigma_j(u) = a_j > 0$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and hence  $u \in k^*$ . This proves  $\subset$ . The other inclusion is clear as well.  $\square$

Since  $\{\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1(u), \dots, \sigma_n(u)) : u \in \mathcal{D}^* \cap k^*\}$  has index at most  $2^n$  in the subgroup

$$\{\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1(u), \dots, \sigma_n(u)) : u \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$$

by Dirichlet unit theorem and the above lemma, we have  $\phi(\Omega_n) \subset \mathcal{C}$ .

Summarizing the above,



**Lemma 4.3.** *The map  $\phi : \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a well defined injective map and for any  $\mathcal{D} \in \Omega_n$ ,*

$$c \cdot \text{Reg}(\mathcal{D}) \leq \text{vol}(\phi(\mathcal{D})) \leq c \cdot 2^n \cdot \text{Reg}(\mathcal{D})$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant depending only on the volume form on  $S$ .

Now Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 3.1.

**Remark**

- In fact, any compact  $S$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be constructed from a rank  $n$  free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule (not necessarily order) in a totally real number field of degree  $n$ . We refer to [Oh] for a precise description of the bijection between  $\mathcal{C}$  and *module classes*.
- To get an injective map into the maximal flats in  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) / \text{SO}_n$  rather than into  $\mathcal{C}$ , we need to put an equivalence relation on the set  $\Omega_n$  so that  $\mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{D}'$  if and only if there exists an isomorphism between the fields containing  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}'$  as orders which maps  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

REFERENCES

- [BS] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number theory*, Academic Press, (1966).
- [DM] S. G. Dani and G. Margulis *Limit distribution of orbits of unipotent flows and values of quadratic forms*, Advances in Soviet Math., Vol 16 (1993), 91-137.
- [Eb] P. Eberlein *Geometry of Nonpositively curved manifolds*, Chicago Lectures in Math. 1996
- [GW] R. Gangolli and G. Warner *Zeta functions of Selberg's type for some noncompact quotients of symmetric spaces of rank one*, Nagoya Math J. Vol 78 (1980), 1-44
- [He] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie groups and Symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978
- [Ma1] G. Margulis, *Applications of ergodic theory to the investigation of manifolds of negative curvature*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 3, 1969, 335-336
- [Ma2] G. A. Margulis *Arithmeticity of irreducible lattices in semisimple groups of rank greater than 1*, appendix to Russian translation of M. Raghunathan, Discrete groups of Lie groups. Mir, Moscow 1977 (in Russian), Invent. Math. Vol 76, 1984, pp. 93-120
- [Mo] G. D. Mostow, *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*, Ann. Math. Studies, Vol. 78 (1973), Princeton U. Press
- [Oh] H. Oh *Hecke orbits of compact maximal flats on  $\text{ZGL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) / \text{O}_n$*  Preprint
- [Re] R. Remak *Über Größenbeziehungen zwischen Diskriminante und Regulator eines algebraischen Zahlkörpers* Compositio Math., Vol 10 (1952), 245-285
- [Se] A. Selberg *On discontinuous groups in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces*, Contributions to function theory (internat. Colloq. Function Theory, Bombay, 1960) 147-164, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.
- [Si] J. Silverman *An inequality relating the regulator and the discriminant of a number field* J. Number theory, Vol 19 (1984), no. 3, 437-442
- [Sp] R. Spatizer *Dynamical properties of algebraic systems* Thesis, Warwick University
- [TW] G. Tomanov and B. Weiss *Closed orbits for actions of maximal tori on homogeneous spaces* To appear in Duke Math. J.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, SCHOOL OF  
MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NJ 08540

*E-mail address:* `heeoh@math.princeton.edu`