

INFINITESIMAL STRUCTURES RELATED TO HERMITIAN  
SYMMETRIC SPACES

A. B. Goncharov

UDC 517.43

0. Let  $M$  be a compact, Hermitian, symmetric space (c. H. s. s.) of rank  $\text{rk} M > 1$ . In each tangent space  $T_x M \forall x \in M$ , we shall construct in a canonic way a cone  $K_x$ , such that the cones  $K_x$  corresponding to distinct points  $x$  are linearly equivalent. Given any domain on  $M$ , the local diffeomorphisms which preserve this family of cones can be extended to holomorphic automorphisms of  $M$ . On the  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , we give a cone  $\mathcal{K}_y \subset T_y \mathcal{M}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ , which depends holomorphically on  $y$  and is linearly equivalent to the cones  $K_x$ . At the same time,  $M$  is distinguished as a manifold with a flat structure.

If  $M = \mathbf{CG}_2^2$ , then the cones  $K_x$  are the cones of the null directions of a conformal class of complex metrics. The study of this infinitesimal structure, both in the flat and curved cases, plays an essential role in R. Penrose's theory of twisters. Part of the results of the present work may be interpreted as a generalization to higher dimensions of Penrose's results.

We remark that our work is related to integral geometry (see [1, 4, 5]).

The problem of characterizing the infinitesimal structures of c. H. s. s. was formulated by S. G. Gindikin. The author is sincerely grateful to S. G. Gindikin for his great interest in this work, and for the useful discussions and suggestions.

1. Let us introduce some notation:  $L$  is a semisimple Lie group over  $\mathbf{C}$ ;  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $L$  with Levi decomposition  $P = GN$  and such that  $M = L/P$  is a c. H. s. s., which holds if and only if the radical  $N$  is Abelian;  $G_0$  is the semisimple part of  $G$ ;  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_0$  are the corresponding Lie algebras;  $P_x = G_x N_x$  is the stability subgroup at the point  $x \in M$ .

Definition. Let  $K_x$  be the cone of highest weight vectors in the  $G_x$ -module  $T_x M$  (i.e., each vector of  $K_x$  is the highest relative to some Borelian subgroup of  $G_x$ ).

Proposition 1. The group of all linear automorphisms of the cone  $K_x$  equals  $G_x$ . The number of nonzero  $G_x$ -orbits in  $T_x M$  is  $\text{rk} M$ .  $K_x$  is minimal among these orbits, and  $l \in L$  takes  $K_x$  into  $K_{lx}$ .

Therefore, we have associated to  $M$ , in a correct way, a cone  $K(M) \subset V \simeq \mathbf{C}^n$ , where  $n = \dim M$ . From now on, we shall assume that  $L$  is simple (the general case reduces to this one) and that  $\text{rk} M > 1$ . Below we give the concrete realizations of  $K(M)$ .

1.  $M = \mathbf{CG}_n^m$ .  $T_x M \simeq \mathbf{C}^m \otimes \mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $K(M) \simeq \{v \otimes w \in \mathbf{C}^m \otimes \mathbf{C}^n\}$ .
2.  $M = SO(n+2)/SO(n) \times SO(2)$  is a quadric in  $\mathbf{CP}^{n+1}$ ,  $K(M)$  is a nondegenerate quadratic cone.
3.  $M = SO(2n)/U(n)$  is the connected component of the manifold of maximal isotropic subspaces relative to a nondegenerate complex metric on  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ .  $K(M) \simeq \{v \wedge w \in \Lambda^2 \mathbf{C}^{2n}\}$ .
4.  $M = Sp(n)/U(n)$  (the Lagrangian Grassmanian).  $K(M) \simeq \{v \cdot v \in S^2 \mathbf{C}^n\}$ .
5.  $M = E_6/\mathbf{CO}(10)$  (the complexification of the projective plane over the octonions).  $K(M)$  is isomorphic to the cone of simple half-spinors in the half-spinorial representation.
6.  $M = E_7/E_6 \cdot U(1)$ .  $K(M)$  is isomorphic to the cone over the irreducible idempotent elements in the Jordan algebra constructed from the complexified Hermitian matrices of order 3 over the octonions.

Let  $\gamma$  be the vertex of the Dynkin diagram of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that the deletion of  $\gamma$  results in the diagram of  $\mathcal{G}$ . In the fundamental representation corresponding to  $\gamma$ , consider the cone  $K_\gamma$  of highest weight vectors. For  $M = \mathbf{CG}_m^n$ ,  $K_\gamma$  is the cone of decomposable  $m$ -vectors, while for  $M = SO(2n)/U(n)$ ,  $K_\gamma$  is the cone of simple half-spinors in the sense of E. Cartan's definition, in the half-spinorial representation (see [2]).

---

Moscow State University. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 83-84, July-September, 1981. Original article submitted March 19, 1981.

**Proposition 2.**  $K_\gamma$  is a cone over  $M$ . The intersection of  $K_\gamma$  with the subspace tangent to  $K_\gamma$  along the generatrix  $C^* \cdot x$ , where  $x \in M$ , is a cone over  $K_x \subset T_x M$ .

Using this proposition and induction, we are able to describe the connected components of the manifold of isotropic subspaces (we call them families).

**Proposition 3.** There is a bijective correspondence between the families in  $K(M)$  and those subgraphs of the Dynkin diagram of  $\mathcal{L}$  which contain  $\gamma$  and are isomorphic to the diagram  $A_m$  (chains). The dimension of an isotropic subspace equals the number of vertices in the corresponding chain. The group  $G$  acts transitively on each family.

If  $M = \mathbf{CG}_m^n$ , we say that a given isotropic subspace has type  $\alpha$  (respectively,  $\beta$ ) if it is contained in a maximal isotropic subspace of the form  $c^m \otimes w$  (respectively,  $(v \otimes c^n)$ ).

Now let us give, on the  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , a cone  $\mathcal{K}_y \subset T_y \mathcal{M}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{K}_y$  depends holomorphically on  $y$  and there exists a  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $A_y: V \rightarrow T_y \mathcal{M}$  with  $A_y(K(M)) = \mathcal{K}_y$ . Composing the transformations from  $G$  with the isomorphisms  $A_y$ , we obtain a  $G$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Set  $\mathcal{G}^{(-1)} = V$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{GL}(V)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = S^2 V^* \otimes \mathcal{G}^{(k-2)} \cap V^* \otimes \mathcal{G}^{(k-1)}$ . A Lie algebra structure can be introduced on  $\bigoplus \mathcal{G}^{(k)}$  (see [3]).

**Proposition 4.** If  $\text{rk } M > 1$ , then  $\mathcal{G}^{(1)} \simeq V^*$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{G}^{(-1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(1)} = 0$ .

Consider a domain in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  upon which there is given a flat (in the sense of  $G$ -structure) family of cones. Then it follows from Proposition 4 that the Lie algebra of the local Lie group of those diffeomorphism which preserve the given family of cones is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $G = \mathbf{CO}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , this is Liouville's theorem. The algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  is recovered as the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}$  preserving a point.

**Proposition 5.** A flat  $G$ -structure is induced on  $M$ .

This is a consequence of the fact that  $N$  is Abelian.

2. Set

$$C^{k,l} = \text{Hom}(\Lambda^l V, \mathcal{G}^{(k-1)}),$$

$$\partial_l f(v_1, \dots, v_{l+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i f(v_1, \dots, \widehat{v_{l+1-i}}, \dots, v_{l+1})(v_{l+1-i}),$$

where  $f \in C^{k,l}$ . Then  $\partial_l f \in C^{k-1, l+1}$ , and  $\partial_l \circ \partial_{l-1} = 0$ . Let  $H^{k,l} = \text{Ker } \partial_l \cap C^{k,l} / \text{Im } \partial_{l-1} \cap C^{k,l}$ . The obstruction to identifying the  $(k+1)$ -th infinitesimal neighborhood of a point in a manifold with  $G$ -structure, with the  $(k+1)$ -th infinitesimal neighborhood of a point in a  $G$ -flat manifold, is given by the  $k$ -th-order structure functions (s.f.) (see [3, 7]) under the assumption of annihilation of the lower order s.f. This obstruction takes values in the  $G$ -module  $H^{k-1,2}$ . For the conformal structure ( $G = \mathbf{CO}(n)$ ), the first-order s.f., i.e., torsion, is equal to zero because  $H^{0,2} = 0$  for  $\mathbf{CO}(n)$ , while the second-order s.f. is the Weyl tensor.

**THEOREM 1.** a)  $M \neq \mathbf{CG}_m^n \Rightarrow$  the  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $\bigoplus_k H^{k,2}$  is irreducible.  $H^{0,2} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G} \neq \text{co}(n)$ .  $H^{1,2} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G} = \text{co}(n)$   
 $n > 4$ .  $H^{2,2} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G} = \text{co}(3)$ .

b)  $M = \mathbf{CG}_m^n \Rightarrow \bigoplus_k H^{k,2} = H_+ \oplus H_-$  - the self-dual and anti-self-dual parts, which corresponds to the decomposition

$$\Lambda^2(\mathbf{C}^m \otimes \mathbf{C}^n) = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2 = S^2 \mathbf{C}^m \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbf{C}^n \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathbf{C}^m \otimes S^2 \mathbf{C}^n,$$

$H_+$  and  $H_-$  are irreducible.  $H_+^{0,2} (H_-^{0,2}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow m = 2$  ( $n = 2$ ).  $H_\pm^{0,2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_\pm^{1,2} \neq 0$ .

**Definition.** A submanifold  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that  $T_x \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{K}_x, \forall x \in \mathcal{N}$ , is called an integral manifold in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $M$  be irreducible,  $\text{rk } M > 1$ , and  $M \neq \text{Sp}(n)/\text{U}(n)$ .

- a) If  $M \neq \mathbf{CG}_m^n$ , then the  $G$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M}$  is flat  $\Leftrightarrow$  given any family of isotropic subspaces of dimension  $> 1$  and any subspace in the family, there exists an integral manifold tangent to this subspace.
- b) If  $M = \mathbf{CG}_m^n$ , then the (anti) self-dual part of the s.f. vanishes  $\Leftrightarrow$  given any family of isotropic subspaces of dimension  $> 1$  and type  $\alpha$  (respectively,  $\beta$ ) and any subspace in the family, there is an integral manifold tangent to this subspace. If both parts of the s.f. vanish, then the  $G$ -structure on  $\mathcal{M}$  is flat.

3. Let us fix a decomposition  $\text{Hom}(V \wedge V, V) = C \oplus \partial_1(\text{Hom}(V, \mathcal{G}))$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is reductive, there is a canonical choice for  $C$ . For the  $G_0$ -structure, there is a canonical  $G_0$ -connection on  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $G_0 = \text{SO}(n)$ , then the latter is

the Levi-Civita connection. While there is no canonical G-connection on  $M$ , one may define a Cartan connection (about Cartan connections see [7]). One may also define  $O$ -geodesics on  $M$ .

Consider the bundle  $\pi: M_0 \rightarrow M$  whose fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is the projectivization of the cone  $\mathcal{K}_x$ .

**THEOREM 3.** The choice of the complement  $C$  determines a field of directions  $l(y)$ ,  $y \in M_0$ , and  $l(y)$  projects isomorphically into a generatrix of the cone  $\mathcal{K}_{\pi(y)}$ .

The  $O$ -geodesics are precisely the projections of the integral curves of this field of directions.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. I. M. Gel'fand, S. G. Gindikin, and M. I. Graev, in: Contemporary Problems of Mathematics [in Russian], Vol. 16, VINITI, Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow (1980), pp. 53-225.
2. É. Cartan, *Lecons sur la Theorie des Spineurs*, Hermann, Paris (1938).
3. S. Sternberg, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1964).
4. I. N. Bernshtein and S. G. Gindikin, "Geometric structure of admissible complexes of curves," Preprint, Moscow (1980).
5. S. G. Gindikin, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 15, No. 1 (1981).
6. R. Penrose, *Gen. Relat. Grav.*, 7, 31-52 (1976).
7. V. Guillemin, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 116, 544-560 (1965).

#### A REMARK ON EXTENSION OF MEASURES

E. P. Demidovich and M. T. Tarashchanskii

Let  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$  be a measurable space,  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $\mu_0$  be a  $\sigma$ -additive measure defined on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $h$  of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto the algebra  $\mathfrak{B}/\mu_0$  of the  $\mu_0$ -equivalence classes that is an extension of the canonical  $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $g_0$  of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}/\mu_0$ . Then a  $\sigma$ -additive measure  $\mu$  that is defined on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and extends  $\mu_0$  can be put in correspondence with the measure  $\mu_0$ . Indeed, for this it is sufficient to set  $\mu(A) = \mu_0(A')$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $A'$  is an arbitrary representative of the class  $g_0^{-1}(h(A))$ .

It is clear that not every extension  $\mu$  of the measure  $\mu_0$ , if it exists, has the above form. Nevertheless, the existence of at least one extension of the measure  $\mu_0$  to a  $\sigma$ -additive measure defined on  $\mathfrak{A}$  implies the existence of a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $h: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}/\mu_0$  that extends the  $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $g_0$ . The present communication is devoted to a proof of this statement.

1. A well-known theorem of Sikorski (see [1, Theorem 33.1]) asserts that complete Boolean algebras are injective objects in the category of Boolean algebras with homomorphisms as morphisms of the category. This theorem becomes invalid when the category of  $\sigma$ -algebras with  $\sigma$ -homomorphisms (see [2, Example]) or the category of complete algebras with complete homomorphisms (see [3, Theorem 4]) is considered. However, Sikorski's theorem remains valid for the category of the  $\sigma$ -algebras that satisfy the  $\sigma$ -chain condition (and, by the same token, for the category of the complete Boolean algebras) with  $\sigma$ -homomorphisms. More precisely, each object of this category is injective.

In order to show this, we introduce the following notation. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be the Stone spaces of Boolean algebras  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$ , respectively, and  $h_0$  and  $h'_0$  be isomorphisms of the algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  onto the algebra  $\Phi(X)$  of the clopen subsets of  $X$  and of the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  onto the algebra  $\Phi(Y)$  of the clopen subsets of  $Y$ , respectively. Further, let  $h$  be a homomorphism of the algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  into the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$ . It defines a homomorphism  $h'$  of the algebra  $\Phi(X)$  into the algebra  $\Phi(Y)$  in a natural manner; namely,  $h'(F) = h'_0 h h_0^{-1}(F)$  for each  $F \in \Phi(X)$ . By virtue of Theorem 11.1 and a remark in [1], this homomorphism is induced by a continuous mapping  $\psi$  of the space  $Y$  into  $X$ , and, by definition,  $\psi^{-1}(F) = h'(F)$  for each  $F \in \Phi(X)$ .

---

Voroshilovgrad Machine-Building Institute. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 85-86, July-September, 1981. Original article submitted August 14, 1979; revision submitted December 2, 1980.