Complex Geometry: Exercise Set 2

Exercise 1

- 1. Show that the holomorphic tangent bundle of \mathbb{CP}^1 is isomorphic (as a holomorphic line bundle) to $\mathcal{O}(2)$.
- 2. (For those who know about Lie algebras.) From this and a previous exercise, it follows that the space of holomorphic vector fields on \mathbb{CP}^1 is 3-dimensional. Taking brackets we thus obtain a complex 3-dimensional Lie algebra. Write out the Lie algebra structure explicitly. Show that this Lie algebra is isomorphic to $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$. (This reflects the fact that the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts holomorphically on \mathbb{CP}^1 .)

Exercise 2

- 1. Suppose \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are two holomorphic line bundles on a complex manifold X, of dimension at least 2. Suppose that for some point $x \in X$, $\mathcal{L}_1|_{X \setminus \{x\}} \simeq \mathcal{L}_2|_{X \setminus \{x\}}$. Show that $\mathcal{L}_1 \simeq \mathcal{L}_2$. (You will probably need Hartogs' Theorem, Proposition 1.1.4 of Huybrechts.)
- 2. Show by example that the same is not true if X is of dimension 1.

Exercise 3

Suppose (X, I) is an almost complex manifold. The Nijenhuis tensor N is a section of $(T^*X)^{\otimes 2} \otimes TX = \text{Hom}(TX^{\otimes 2}, TX)$, given by

$$N(v, w) = [v, w] + I[Iv, w] + I[v, Iw] - [Iv, Iw]$$

for two vector fields v, w on X.

- 1. Show that the above formula indeed defines a tensor, i.e. N(v, w) at a point $x \in X$ only depends on the values v(x) and w(x), not on their extension to vector fields on X; this amounts to checking that N(fv, w) = fN(v, w) and N(v, fw) = fN(v, w) for any function f on X.
- 2. Show that N = 0 if I is induced from an actual complex structure on X. (The converse is also true, but it will be easier to prove this after the next lecture.)

Exercise 4

Suppose (X, I) is an almost complex manifold, and $\alpha \in \Omega^{p,q}(X)$. Show that

$$d\alpha \in \Omega^{p-1,q+2}(X) \oplus \Omega^{p,q+1}(X) \oplus \Omega^{p+1,q}(X) \oplus \Omega^{p+2,q-1}(X).$$