**[Michigan Math. J.](https://www.lsa.umich.edu/math/outreach/michiganmathematicaljournal) 0 (2024), 1–16** <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/20226319>



ONLINE SUBJECTS: 37E30, 57K20

 Note that  $Mod(S_g)$  also acts on  $H_1(S_g)$ , the first homology group of  $S_g$  with real coefficients. For  $f \in Mod(S_g)$ , we denote the dimension of a maximal subspace of  $H_1(S_g)$  on which f is trivial by  $m(f)$ . In particular,  $m(f) = 2g$  if and only if *f* is in the *Torelli group*  $\mathcal{I}_g$  < Mod( $S_g$ ), the subgroup consisting of elements that act trivially on  $H_1(S_g)$ . As an application of Mayer–Vietoris sequence, one can observe that  $m(f) + 1$  is the same as the first Betti number of the mapping torus of *f* , which is hyperbolic if and only if *f* is pseudo-Anosov by Thurston [\[Thu98\]](#page-14-0). In this paper, we mainly study the *minimal asymptotic translation lengths* among pseudo-Anosov mapping classes acting trivially on some subspaces of homology groups. Namely, for  $0 < k < 2g$ , we define  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g) := \inf \{ \ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f) : f \in \text{Mod}(S_g), f \text{ is pseudo-Anosov}, m(f) \geq k \}.$ Then we investigate asymptotes of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$  with varying k and g. By replacing the curve complex  $C(S_g)$  with Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(S_g)$ , one can also define  $\ell_{\mathcal{T}}(\cdot)$  and  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(k, g)$  analogously. Note that  $\ell_{\mathcal{T}}(f)$  for a pseudo-Anosov element  $f$  is the same as the logarithm of the stretch factor  $[L+78]$ , hence coincides with the topological entropy of *f* [\[FLP12](#page-14-0), Exposé Ten]. In each setting, there are two extreme cases: the first extreme is the case  $k = 0$ that the minimal asymptotic translation length is considered in the *entire mapping class group*  $Mod(S_g)$ *.* The other extreme is  $k = 2g$ , which means that the minimal asymptotic translation length is considered in the *Torelli subgroup*  $\mathcal{I}_{g}$  < Mod( $S_{g}$ ). These four cases have been resolved by various authors as in Table 1. Ellenberg [\[Ell10](#page-14-0)] asked if  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(k, g)$  interpolates  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(0, g)$  and  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(2g, g)$  in the sense that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(k, g) \ge C(k+1)/g$  (1.1) for all  $g > 1$  and  $0 \le k \le 2g$ . This was answered affirmatively by Agol, Leininger, and Margalit in [\[ALM16](#page-14-0)]. Indeed, they actually showed  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(k, g) \approx (k+1)/g$ . We ask an analogous question whether  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$  interpolates  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(0, g)$  and  $L<sub>C</sub>(2g, g)$  in a similar sense as Ellenberg's question (1.1). We show that this is indeed the case, and more concretely we obtain the following. **Table 1** Four extreme cases of  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(k, g)$  and  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$ .<sup>1</sup> Teichmüller spaces Curve complexes Mod*(Sg)* (Penner [\[Pen91](#page-14-0)])  $L_{\mathcal{T}}(0,g) \asymp 1/g$ (Gadre–Tsai [\[GT11](#page-14-0)])  $L_c(0,g) \asymp 1/g^2$ I*<sup>g</sup>* (Farb–Leininger–Margalit  $[FLM08]$  $[FLM08]$  $[FLM08]$   $L_{\tau}(2g,g) \approx 1$ (Baik–Shin [[BS20\]](#page-14-0))  $L_c(2g,g) \approx 1/g$ <sup>1</sup>Throughout the paper, we write  $A(x) \gtrsim B(x)$  if there exists a uniform constant  $C > 0$  such that  $A(x) \leq CB(x)$  for all *x* in the domain. We also write  $A(x) \approx B(x)$  if  $A(x) \gtrsim B(x)$ and  $B(x) \gtrsim A(x)$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

<span id="page-2-0"></span> **THEOREM** 1.1. *There exist*  $C, C' > 0$  *such that C g*(2*g* − *k* + 1)  $\le L_c(k, g)$   $\le C' \frac{k+1}{g \log g}$ *for all*  $g > 1$  *and*  $0 < k < 2g$ . From the statement, if *k* grows at least  $2g - C'$  for some constant  $C' > 0$ , then  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g) \gtrsim 1/g$  while  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(0, g) \asymp 1/g^2$ . Observing this, we ask about minimal *k* with  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g) \approx 1/g$ . For this discussion, see Section [4.](#page-6-0) Although the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 interpolates  $L_c(0, g) \approx 1/g^2$  and  $L_c(2g, g) \approx 1/g$ , the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 does not interpolate these two values well. Indeed, we construct some values of *k* and *g* showing that  $\frac{k+1}{g \log g}$  is larger than the actual asymptote. We also show that  $k/g^2$  works as an upper bound for some choices of  $(k, g)$ , which interpolates  $L_c(0, g) \approx 1/g^2$  and  $L_c(2g, g) \approx$ */g*. **THEOREM 1.2.** *There is a uniform constant*  $C > 0$  *satisfying the following: for any integers*  $g, k \geq 0$ *, there exists a pseudo-Anosov*  $f : S_{g'} \to S_{g'}$  such that  $g' > g$ *,*  $m(f) = k' > k$ , *and*  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f) \leq C$ *k*  $\frac{a}{g^{\prime 2}}$ . Applying Theorem 1.2 inductively, it follows that there is a diverging sequence  $(k_j, g_j) \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k_j, g_j) \lesssim k_j/g_j^2$ . See Corollary [3.1](#page-6-0). Based on Table [1,](#page-1-0) we conjecture that the upper bound in Theorem 1.2 is actually the asymptote for  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$ . CONJECTURE 1.3. We have  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g) \asymp \frac{k}{g^2}$ *for*  $g > 1$  *and*  $0 < k < 2g$ . We focus on specific dimensions of maximal invariant subspaces. In [\[BS20](#page-14-0)], Torelli pseudo-Anosovs are constructed in a concrete way based on Penner's or Thurston's construction. In a similar line of thought, we utilize finite cyclic covers of *S*<sub>2</sub> so that we get pseudo-Anosovs *f* ∈ Mod(*S<sub>g</sub>*) with  $m(f) = 2g - 1$  and satisfying the upper bound in Theorem 1.2. As a consequence, this yields the asymptote of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(2g - 1, g)$ ; only two extreme cases  $Mod(S_g)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_g$  were previously known. It is also interesting to figure out the asymptote  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$  for other values *(k, g)*: QUESTION 1.4. *Can we give a sequence*  $(k_j, g_j)$ , *other than*  $(0, g)$  *and*  $(2g, g)$ , *with explicit asymptote for*  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k_i, g_i)$  *as*  $j \to \infty$ ? We give one such example in the following.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>



<span id="page-5-0"></span>![](_page_5_Picture_803.jpeg)

<span id="page-6-0"></span> A way to construct the surface  $S_n$  and map  $f_n$  corresponding to  $2^n \alpha + \beta$  is as follows: let  $\widehat{S}$  be the Z-fold cover corresponding to  $\beta$  restricted to  $S_{g_0}$ ,  $\widehat{f}$  be a lift of  $f_0$ , and *h* be the deck transformation; then, with a suitable choice of  $f$ , we have  $S_n = \widehat{S}/(h^{2^n} \widehat{f})$  and  $f_n$  is lifted to *h*. Now consider a simple closed curve on a fundamental domain of  $\widehat{S}$  that is not homologous to the boundary, such that the homology class *c* represented by this curve  $\gamma$  is preserved by  $f$ . The existence of such a homology class is due to the construction in Baik and Shin [[BS20\]](#page-14-0). Then  $\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f_n^i c$  is invariant under *f<sub>n</sub>*, and for *k* < *n*, let *c<sub>k</sub>* =  $\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-k}-1} f_n^{i2^k} c$ . Now Span $\{c_k, f_n c_k, \ldots, f_n^{2^k-1} c_k\}$  is a 2<sup>*k*</sup> dimensional invariant subspace of  $f_n^{2^k}$ . This proves Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0) Since the constant *C* in Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) does not depend on the choice of given *g* and  $k$ , we can apply the theorem inductively: at each *j*th step with  $g_j$  and  $k_j$ , Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) applied to  $g_j$  and  $k_j$  gives  $g' > g_j$ ,  $k' > k_j$ , and a pseudo-Anosov  $f_{j+1}: S_{g'_j} \to S_{g'_j}$  with  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f_{j+1}) \leq C k'_j / g'^2_j$ . Then we set  $g_{j+1} := g'_j$  and  $k_{j+1} := g'_{j+1}$  $k'$ . As a consequence, we obtain the following corollary that interpolates  $L_c(0, g)$ [\[GT11\]](#page-14-0) and  $L_c(2g, g)$  [\[BS20](#page-14-0)] in a partial way. COROLLARY 3.1. *There are a constant C and a diverging sequence*  $(k_i, g_j) \rightarrow \infty$ *as*  $j \rightarrow \infty$  *such that*  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k_j, g_j) \leq C \frac{k_j}{\sigma^2}$  $g_j^2$ *.* Corollary 3.1 can be regarded as an evidence for Conjecture [1.3](#page-2-0) because it has a similar form to the desired asymptote. On the other hand, due to the inexplicit choice made in the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0), it is hard to explicitly understand from which diverging sequence  $(k_j, g_j)$  we can deduce the desired asymptote. Hence it may require different approaches to make a concrete progress towards Conjecture [1.3.](#page-2-0) However, pseudo-Anosov mapping classes we construct in the later section (Section 4) satisfy the asymptotes in Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) and Corollary 3.1. **4. Pseudo-Anosovs with Specified Invariant Homology Dimension** To the best of the authors' knowledge, asymptotes of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$  are known only when  $k = 0$  (whole mapping class groups) and  $k = 2g$  (Torelli groups). In this

 section, we construct pseudo-Anosovs  $f_g \in Mod(S_g)$  with  $m(f_g) = 2g - 1$  and realizing the asymptote of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(2g - 1, g)$ . From the definition of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$ ,  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g) \leq L_{\mathcal{C}}(k', g)$  if  $k \leq k'$ . Since

  $L_c(2g, g) \approx 1/g$  from [\[BS20](#page-14-0)], the lower bound in Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) implies that  $L_c(k, g) \approx 1/g$  if *k* behaves like 2*g*; for instance,  $k \ge 2g - C$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . However,  $L_c(0, g) \approx 1/g^2$  by [\[GT11\]](#page-14-0). In this regard, we ask whether there is a sort of threshold for *k* that  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(k, g)$  becomes strictly smaller than  $1/g$ , such as  $1/g^2$ .

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

 genus  $g + 1$ .

  This cover  $p_{g+1}$  corresponds to the kernel of the composed map

$$
\pi_1(S_2) \xrightarrow{\hat{i}(\cdot,\alpha)} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\mod g} \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z},
$$

 where  $\hat{i}(\cdot, \cdot)$  stands for the algebraic intersection number. To see this, one can observe that an element of  $\pi_1(S_2)$  can be lifted to  $\pi_1(S_{g+1})$  via  $p_{g+1}$  if and only if its lift departs one copy of  $S_2 \setminus \alpha$  and then returns to the same copy. If the lift departs and returns through the same boundary component of  $S_2 \setminus \alpha$ , then the element of  $\pi_1(S_2)$  has the algebraic intersection number 0 with  $\alpha$ . Otherwise, if the lift departs and returns through different boundary components, then the algebraic intersection number is an integer multiple of *g*.

 In  $[BS20]$  $[BS20]$  $[BS20]$ , the first author and Shin directly constructed pseudo-Anosovs on  $S_g$ that are Torelli and of small asymptotic translation lengths on curve complexes. In the following, we construct pseudo-Anosovs with specific maximal invariant homology dimensions and satisfying the upper bound provided in Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### H. Baik, D. M. Kim, & C. Wu Figure 3 A curve intersecting a lift of the new curve (nonindexed one) in some *X<sub>j</sub>* spreads into  $X_{j-1} \cup X_{j+1}$  by twisting along the lift. It describes how the image of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  under multitwists is trapped in the certain number of lifts of a subsurface, as in (4.1). the surface, which means that they are within distance 2 in the curve complex. We do this by counting the number of intersections of images of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and lifts of subsurfaces. Recall the construction of *p*: take *g* copies  $X_1, \ldots, X_g$  of  $S_2 \setminus \alpha$  and glue  $X_i$ and  $X_{i+1}$  along one of their boundary components. Throughout, we write each index *i* modulo *g*. Let  $\tilde{\alpha} = \partial X_0 \cap \partial X_1$ . That is, let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a boundary component of *X*<sub>0</sub> and *X*<sub>1</sub> where they are glued. Due to the construction,  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a lift of  $\alpha$ . Noting that  $\hat{i}(\phi \alpha, \alpha) = 0$  since  $\phi$  is Torelli, we get  $T_{p^{-1}(\phi\alpha)}^{-1}\tilde{\alpha} \subseteq$ *i*(φα,α)/2<br>| | *j*=−*i(φα,α)/*2 *Xj ,* (4.1) where  $i(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the geometric intersection number (cf. Figure 3). Similarly,  $\ddot{i}(\phi\beta,\alpha) = 0$  and  $T_{p^{-1}(\phi\beta)}^{-1}T_{p^{-1}(\phi\alpha)}^{-1}\tilde{\alpha} \subseteq$ *i(φβ,α)*+*i(φα,α)* 2 *j*=− *i(φβ,α)*+*i(φα,α)* 2 *Xj .* Since  $T_{p^{-1}(\beta)}$  fixes each  $X_j$ , we have  $\tilde{f}\tilde{\alpha} \subseteq$ *i(φβ,α)*+*i(φα,α)* 2 *j*=− *i(φβ,α)*+*i(φα,α)* 2  $X_i$ . Conducting this procedure inductively, we finally get  $\tilde{f}^n \tilde{\alpha} \subseteq$ *n*· $\frac{i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha)}{2}$ *j*=−*n*· *i(φβ,α)*+*i(φα,α)* 2  $X_j$ . Hence, for large enough *g*, there exists  $\tilde{j}$  such that  $\tilde{f}^{\lfloor \frac{g-2}{i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha)} \rfloor} \tilde{\alpha} \cap X_{\tilde{j}} = \emptyset$ . Since there exists an essential simple closed curve in  $X_{\tilde{j}}$ , which is a 2-holed torus, we have  $dc(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{f}^{\lfloor \frac{g-2}{i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha)} \rfloor} \tilde{\alpha}) \leq 2$  so  $\ell_c(\tilde{f}^{\lfloor \frac{g-2}{i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha)} \rfloor}) \leq 2$ . This implies the

<span id="page-10-0"></span>![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

<span id="page-11-0"></span> components of  $p^{-1}(\phi \alpha)$  bound a subsurface, they are homologous. In particular, since  $\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\alpha}$  is a component of  $p^{-1}(\phi \alpha)$ , each of its components is homologous to  $\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}$ . Hence,  $[T_{p^{-1}(\phi\beta)}^{-1}T_{p^{-1}(\phi\alpha)}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] = [T_{p^{-1}(\phi\beta)}^{-1}T_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}^{-g}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}]$ . Noting that  $T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{-g}\tilde{\eta}$  can be isotoped into arbitrary neighborhood of  $\tilde{\alpha} \cup \tilde{\eta}$ ,  $T_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}^{-g} \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}$  can also be isotoped into arbitrary neighborhood of  $\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\alpha} \cup \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\eta}$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\alpha} \cup \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\eta}$  and  $p^{-1}(\phi \beta)$  are disjoint compact sets, we have  $T_{p^{-1}(\phi\beta)}^{-1}T_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}^{-g}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta} = T_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}^{-g}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}$ . Summing up the above argument, we obtain  $[\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] = [\tilde{f}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] = [T^{-1}_{p^{-1}(\phi\beta)}T^{-1}_{p^{-1}(\phi\alpha)}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] = [T^{-1}_{p^{-1}(\phi\beta)}T^{-g}_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] = [T^{-g}_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}],$ where the first equality is the assumption. However,  $[T^{-g}_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] = [\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}] - g \cdot \hat{i}(\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha})[\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}],$ which implies that  $\hat{i}(\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$ . It contradicts our choice of  $\eta$  that  $i(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\alpha}) = 1$ . Therefore,  $m(\tilde{f}) = 2g + 1$ . Setting  $f_{g+1} = \tilde{f}$  completes the proof of Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-0)  $\Box$ The lower bound on  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f_g)$  for  $f_g$  constructed in the proof can also be calculated in a concrete way by Aougab, Patel, and Taylor [[APT22\]](#page-14-0) as follows:  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f)$  $\frac{C(C(\tau))}{(g-1) \cdot 80 \cdot 2^{13} e^{54} \pi} \leq \ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f_g).$ Remark 4.1. In the proof, all figures describe one specific example. Any choice of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\eta$  works if it satisfies the condition we provide. That is, •  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are nonseparating and separating curves on  $S_2$ , respectively, and are disjoint; •  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are nonseparating simple closed curves that form a basis for the first homology group of the component of  $S_2 \setminus \beta$  disjoint from  $\alpha$ ; • *η* is a nonseparating curve on  $S_2 \setminus \beta$  with  $i(\eta, \alpha) = 1$ . Furthermore, if we modify the map on  $S_2$  to be  $f = T_\beta T_{\phi\beta}^{-1}$ , then its lift via  $p_{g+1}$  is Torelli, which gives another proof of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}(2g, g) \approx \frac{1}{g}$ . **5. Small Translation Length and Normal Generation** In this section, we discuss pseudo-Anosov mapping classes with small asymptotic translation lengths and normal generation of mapping class groups. For a general group *G* and  $g \in G$ , the *normal closure*  $\langle g \rangle$  of *g* is the smallest normal subgroup of *G* containing *g*. The normal closure can be described in various ways:  $\langle g \rangle = \bigcap$ *g*∈*N*-*G*  $N = \langle hgh^{-1} : h \in G \rangle$ . In a particular case that  $\langle g \rangle = G$ , we say *g normally generates G*, and *g* is said to be a *normal generator* of *G*.

 Normal generators of mapping class groups of surfaces have been studied by various authors. In [[Lon86\]](#page-14-0), Long asked whether there is a pseudo-Anosov normal generator of a mapping class group. This question was recently answered affirmatively by Lanier and Margalit in [\[LM22](#page-14-0)]. Indeed, they showed that there is a universal constant so that pseudo-Anosovs with stretch factors less than the constant should be normal generators. Then the asymptote  $L_{\tau}(0, g) \approx 1/g$  by Penner [\[Pen91](#page-14-0)] deduces the answer. Precisely, Lanier and Margalit proved the following. THEOREM 5.1 (Lanier–Margalit [\[LM22](#page-14-0)]). *If a pseudo-Anosov*  $\phi \in Mod(S_g)$  *has the stretch factor less than*  $\sqrt{2}$ , *then*  $\phi$  *normally generates* Mod( $S_{\varrho}$ ). Since the logarithm of stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov equals to the translation length of the pseudo-Anosov on the Teichmüller space, Lanier and Margalit's result also means that the small translation length on the Teichmüller space implies the normal generation of the mapping class group. One natural question in this philosophy is whether the same holds in the circumstance of curve complexes. There are several ways to formalize this question: (1) Is there a universal constant  $C > 0$  so that if a pseudo-Anosov  $\phi \in Mod(S_{\mathfrak{o}})$ has  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi) < C/g$ , then  $\langle \langle \phi \rangle \rangle = \text{Mod}(S_g)$ ? (2) Is there a universal constant  $C > 0$  so that if a non-Torelli pseudo-Anosov  $\phi \in Mod(S_g)$  has  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi) < C/g$ , then  $\langle \phi \rangle = Mod(S_g)$ ? Indeed, the first and the third authors of current paper, Kin and Shin, stated (1) in [\[B+23,](#page-14-0) Question 1.2]. REMARK 5.2. In the above questions, the factor  $1/g$  is necessary since  $L_c(2g,$  $g \geq 1/g$  [\[BS20](#page-14-0)] and due to Theorem [1.6.](#page-3-0) Furthermore, we separately state above two questions in order to forbid the trivial (Torelli) case in (2) and deal with the same problem. *Proof of Theorem [1.6](#page-3-0).* The family of pseudo-Anosovs constructed in Theo-rem [1.5](#page-2-0) actually consists of non-normal generators, that is,  $\langle \langle f_g \rangle \rangle \neq Mod(S_g)$ . To see this, recall that  $f_g = T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)} T_{p_g^{-1}(\phi \beta)}^{-1} T_{p_g^{-1}(\phi \alpha)}^{-1}$ . It can be rewritten as  $f_g = T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}(\tilde{\phi}T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}^{-1})(\tilde{\phi}T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}).$ Hence, it follows that  $\langle f_g \rangle \ge \langle \langle T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}, T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)} \rangle \rangle$ , where the right-hand side means the smallest normal subgroup containing  $T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}$  and  $T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)}$ . Since each component of  $p_g^{-1}(\beta)$  is separating,  $T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}$  is Torelli, namely, contained in the kernel of the symplectic representation  $Mod(S_g) \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, any two components of  $p_g^{-1}(\alpha)$  bound an essential subsurface, so they are homologous, which means that  $T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)}$  acts the same as  $T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{g-1}$  on  $H_1(S_g; \mathbb{Z})$ . As such,  $T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)}$  acts trivially on the mod  $(g-1)$  homology  $H_1(S_g, \mathbb{Z}/(g-1))$ 1*)* ℤ*)*. Hence, we have that the symplectic representation of  $T_{p^{-1}_g(\alpha)}$  is contained

 **Figure 5**  $\beta$  and  $\xi$  fill the surface. in the kernel of  $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/(g-1)\mathbb{Z})$ . Consequently, the normal closure  $\langle \langle T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}, T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)} \rangle \rangle$  is contained in the kernel of the composition  $Mod(S_g) \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/(g-1)\mathbb{Z})$ , which is surjective. It follows that  $\langle \langle f_g \rangle \rangle \leq \langle \langle T_{p_g^{-1}(\beta)}, T_{p_g^{-1}(\alpha)} \rangle \rangle \neq Mod(S_g),$ so *fg* is not a normal generator as desired. Note that we have a concrete upper bound for  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f_g)$  in [\(4.2\)](#page-10-0):  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f_g) \leq \frac{2}{1 - s^{-1}}$  $\lfloor \frac{g-3}{i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha)} \rfloor$  $\leq \frac{2(i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha))}{g-3-(i(\phi\beta,\alpha)+i(\phi\alpha,\alpha))}.$ Hence, once we fix  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\phi$ , we get a quantitative restriction on the constant *C* in the above questions. For instance, we can consider the configuration as in Figure 5. Let  $\lambda = T_{\xi} \beta$ . As  $\beta$  and  $\xi$  fill the surface  $S_2$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\lambda = T_{\xi} \beta$  also fill the surface. Since  $\beta$  is separating,  $\lambda = T_{\xi} \beta$  is also separating. Hence, due to Penner [[Pen88\]](#page-14-0) or Thurston [\[Thu88\]](#page-14-0),  $\phi = T_{\lambda} T_{\beta}^{-1}$  is a Torelli pseudo-Anosov. Furthermore, it follows that *β* and  $φβ$  also fill the surface. Therefore, we can construct  $f_g$  as in Theorem [1.5](#page-2-0) starting with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\phi$  depicted above. Since  $i(\xi, \beta) = 6$ ,  $i(\lambda, \beta) = i(T_{\xi}\beta, \beta) = i(\xi, \beta)^2 = 36$  by [[FM11](#page-14-0), Proposition 3.2]. Now, from  $\phi \alpha = T_{\lambda} \alpha$  and  $\phi \beta = T_{\lambda} \beta$ , we have  $i(\phi \alpha, \alpha) = i(T_{\lambda} \alpha, \alpha) = i(\lambda, \alpha)^{2} = 144$  $i(\phi\beta, \alpha) = i(T_\lambda\beta, \alpha) = i(\lambda, \beta)i(\lambda, \alpha) = 432.$ Hence, for the resulting  $f_g$ ,  $\ell_{\mathcal{C}}(f_g) \leq \frac{1152}{g - 57}$ *g* − 579 for  $g > 579$ . Consequently, we conclude Theorem [1.6.](#page-3-0) Acknowledgments. The authors greatly appreciate Changsub Kim and Yair N. Minsky for helpful discussions. We also thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>![](_page_14_Picture_520.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_353.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_640.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_374.jpeg)