

Lecture 10

1) Localization of modules, con'd.

2) Categories.

Refs: 1) - same as in Lec 9; 2) - [R], Section 1.1

1.1) Submodules in M_S

M is an A -module, for A -submodule $N \subset M \Rightarrow N_S$ is an A_S -submodule in M_S (Section 2.2 of Lec 9)

Proposition: We have mutually inverse bijections:

$$N' \in \{A_S\text{-submodules } N' \subset M_S\} \Leftrightarrow N_S \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} N \\ \cap \\ M \end{array}$$

\downarrow

$$L^{-1}(N') \in \{A\text{-submodules } N \subset M \mid sm \in N \text{ for } s \in S, m \in M \Rightarrow m \in N\}$$

Here, as before, $L: M \rightarrow M_S, m \mapsto \frac{m}{1}$.

Proof: Step 1: $L^{-1}(N')$ is a submodule w. add'l condition.

N' is A_S -submodule hence also A -submodule in M_S & L is A -linear. Therefore, $L^{-1}(N')$ is A -submodule.

$$\text{Check the cond'n: } sm \in L^{-1}(N') \Leftrightarrow L(sm) \in N' \Leftrightarrow \frac{s}{1} L(m) \in N' \\ \Leftrightarrow L(m) \in N' \Leftrightarrow m \in L^{-1}(N')$$

$\uparrow \frac{s}{1}$ is invertible in A_S .

$$\text{Step 2: } L^{-1}(N_S) = N: L^{-1}(N_S) = \{m \in M \mid L(m) \in N_S \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{m}{1} = \frac{n}{s} \text{ for } n \in N, s \in S \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S \mid usm = un \in N \Leftrightarrow$$

$$[\text{choice of } N] \Leftrightarrow m \in N\} = N.$$

$$\text{Step 3: } (L^{-1}(N'))_S = N': (L^{-1}(N'))_S = \left\{ \frac{n}{s} \mid \frac{n}{1} \in N' \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{s} \in N' \right\} \\ = N' \quad \text{b/c } \frac{s}{1} \text{ is invertible} \quad \square$$

2]

Corollary: If M is Noetherian A -module, then M_S is Noetherian A_S -module. In particular, if A is Noetherian ring, then so is A_S .

Proof: By Prop'n \forall submodule of M_S has the form N_S if N is generated by m_1, \dots, m_k , then N_S is gen'd by $\frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_k}{1}$:
 $m = \sum a_i m_i \Rightarrow \frac{m}{s} = \sum \frac{a_i}{s} \frac{m_i}{1}$ \square

Rem: Can also prove that AC (resp. DC) condition for M implies that for M_S . This is because bijections in Prop'n are strictly compatible w. inclusions, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_S$.

2.2) Local rings. Recall (Lec 9) if $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is prime ideal, then $S := A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is localizable; $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A_{A \setminus \mathfrak{p}} \rightsquigarrow$ ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proposition: $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof: Pick $I' \neq A_S$. Need to show $I' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}'(I') \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$
 from Prop'n in Sect. 1.1

Equiv. (by Prop from 1.1) need to show every ideal $I \subset A$ that satisfies $sa \in I \Rightarrow a \in I$ is contained in \mathfrak{p} .

Assume contrary: $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow S \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Pick $s \in S \cap I$, $a = 1$, so $sa \in I$ but $a \notin I$. Contr'n w. choice of I . \square

Definition: A comm'ive unital ring B is local if it has a unique maximal ideal.

Ex: $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is local.

Rem: Local rings are : important &
have especially nice properties.

2) Categories.

2.1) Definition: definitions below will have a familiar strive:
have data & axioms (compare: a group consists of
data: a set, G , and a map $G \times G \rightarrow G$, subject to
axioms: associative, has a unit, inverse.)

Definition: A category, \mathcal{C} , consists of

(Data): • a "collection" of objects, $Ob(\mathcal{C})$.

• $\forall X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ a set of morphisms, $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$

• $\forall X, Y, Z \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$, a map (of sets) called composition
 $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \times Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z), (f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$

(\circ is often omitted)

These satisfy:

(Axioms): i) composition is associative:

$(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$ for $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(W, X), g \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y), h \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z)$.

ii) Units: $\forall X \in Ob(\mathcal{C}) \exists 1_X \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ s.t.

• $f \circ 1_X = f \quad \forall f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$

• $1_X \circ g = g \quad \forall g \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X)$.

2.2) Examples

1) Category of sets, Sets: objects = sets, morphisms = maps of sets, composition = compositions of maps. Axioms: classical

(unit $1_x = \text{id}_x$).

2) Sets w. additional str'ure: objects = sets w. add'l str'ure, morphisms = maps compatible w. this str'ure, composition = comp'n of maps. This includes

a) Category of groups: objects are groups, morphisms = homomorphisms of groups. (Groups)

b) Category of rings (Rings)

c) For a ring A , have categories of A -modules, $A\text{-Mod}$, & A -algebras ($A\text{-Alg}$), in the latter morphisms = A -linear homomorphisms of rings.

3a) Let Γ be an oriented graph w. vertices V & edges E .

\leadsto category $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$:

• Objects = vertices

• Morphisms = paths in the graph:

$$x \xrightarrow{e_1} \xrightarrow{e_2} \dots \xrightarrow{e_k} y$$

this includes empty paths, one for every vertex.

• Composition: concatenation of paths.

Axioms: associativity is manifest, $1_x = \text{empty path in } X$.

3b) A monoid is a set w. binary operation that is associative & has unit (unlike for groups, we don't require inverses).

Note: $\forall X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ is a monoid w.r.t. \circ

Every monoid, M , gives a category w. one object, X ,

$$(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X), \circ) := M.$$

