

Lecture 12.

- 1) Functor morphisms. | BONUS: category equivalences.
 2) Yoneda Lemma

Refs: [R], Sections 1.4, 2.2

1) Functor morphism is to a functor \approx a morphism is to an object.

1.1) Definition: Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be categories & $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors.

Def'n: A functor morphism $\eta: F \Rightarrow G$ is

(Data) $\forall X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, a morphism $\eta_X \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), G(X))$ s.t.

(axiom) $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightsquigarrow F(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(Y)), G(f) \in$

$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(G(X), G(Y))$. Want $\forall f$ the following is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(Y) \\ \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \eta_Y \\ G(X) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(Y) \end{array}$$

Rem: Functor morphisms are also known as "natural transformations of functors". Natural \approx uniform, independent of choices. This is what we'll see in examples.

1.2) Important example: Last time, for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we defined a "Hom functor" $F_X: Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightsquigarrow F_X(Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ - a set,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y_1, Y_2) & \rightsquigarrow & F_X(f): \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y_2) \\ \text{map} & & \downarrow \psi \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \psi \\ & & \psi \longmapsto f \circ \psi \end{array}$$

1]

Now let $X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) (\cong F_{X'})$ & $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', X)$.

Goal: produce a functor morphism $\eta^g: F_X \Rightarrow F_{X'}$.

i.e. for each $Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ need to define a map

$$\eta_Y^g: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y) \quad X' \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{\psi} Y$$

$$\psi \longmapsto \psi \circ g$$

Now we need to check the axiom (comm'ive diagram)

$\forall f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y_1, Y_2)$, $F_X(f) = f \circ ?$, $F_{X'}(f) = f \circ ?$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y_1) & \xrightarrow{f \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y_2) \\ \downarrow \eta_{Y_1}^{(?)} = ? \circ g & & \downarrow \eta_{Y_2}^{(?)} = ? \circ g \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y_1) & \xrightarrow{f \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y_2) \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{ccc} \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y_1) & \xrightarrow{f \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y_2) \\ \downarrow \eta_{Y_1}^{(?)} = ? \circ g & & \downarrow \eta_{Y_2}^{(?)} = ? \circ g \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y_1) & \xrightarrow{f \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y_2) \end{array}} \right\} \text{is commutative}$$

$$\downarrow \longrightarrow : \psi \mapsto \psi \circ g \mapsto f \circ (\psi \circ g)$$

$$\longrightarrow \downarrow : \psi \mapsto f \circ \psi \mapsto (f \circ \psi) \circ g \quad \parallel \longleftarrow \text{b/c composition in a category is associative.}$$

1.3) Remarks. (i) Have the identity morphism $\text{id}: F \Rightarrow F$.

(ii) Can take compositions of functor morphisms

$$\tau: G \Rightarrow H, \eta: F \Rightarrow G \rightsquigarrow \tau \circ \eta: F \Rightarrow H, (\tau \circ \eta)_X = \tau_X \circ \eta_X$$

Very importantly, in example above:

$$\eta^{g'} \circ \eta^g = \eta^{g' \circ g} \quad (\text{note that the order of } g, g' \text{ is reversed b/c we compose on the right}).$$

(iii)* If \mathcal{C} is small ($\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is a set), then the collection of functor morphisms $F \Rightarrow G$, denoted $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}}(F, G)$, is

a set \rightsquigarrow category of functors $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$: objects are functors, morphisms = morphisms of functors.

(iv): (i) & (ii) allow to talk about functor isomorphisms;

$\eta: F \Rightarrow G$ is an isom'm $\Leftrightarrow \forall Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ have that $\eta_Y \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(Y), G(Y))$ is an isom'm:

$\eta^{-1}: G \Rightarrow F$ is given $(\eta^{-1})_Y = (\eta_Y)^{-1}$

2) Yoneda lemma: gives a powerful tool to compute the set of morphisms between certain functors.

Theorem: \mathcal{C} is a category, $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightsquigarrow F_X: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$, & F - another functor. Then we have bijection of sets, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}}(F_X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X)$.

Proof: Step 1: Construct a map $F(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}}(F_X, F)$

Need to define $\forall Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightsquigarrow$ map of sets

$$\eta_Y^\alpha: F_X(Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\psi} F(Y)$$

$(\alpha, \psi) \rightsquigarrow$ el't of $F(Y)$, $\psi \rightsquigarrow$ map of sets $F(\psi): F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$

$$\eta_Y^\alpha(\psi) := [F(\psi)](\alpha) \in F(Y)$$

Now: need to check η_Y^α 's satisfy the axiom of functor morphism: $\forall f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y_1, Y_2)$ we need to check that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi \in \text{Hom}_e(X, Y_1) & \xrightarrow{f \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_e(X, Y_2) \\ \downarrow \eta_{Y_1}^a & & \downarrow \eta_{Y_2}^a \\ F(Y_1) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(Y_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} : \psi \mapsto \eta_{Y_2}^a(f \circ \psi) = [F(f \circ \psi)](a) \quad \parallel \quad \longleftarrow \text{b/c } F(f \circ \psi) = F(f) \circ F(\psi)$$

$$\downarrow \longrightarrow : \psi \mapsto [F(\psi)](a) \mapsto [F(f) \circ F(\psi)](a)$$

Concl'n: $\eta^a \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}}(F_X, F)$.

Step 2: Construct a map $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}}(F_X, F) \longrightarrow F(X)$

$$\eta \rightsquigarrow \text{map } \eta_X : \text{Hom}_e(X, X) \longrightarrow F(X)$$

$$a_\eta = \eta_X(1_X).$$

$$\text{Step 3: } a_\eta a = a : a_\eta a = \eta_X^a(1_X) = [F(1_X)](a) = [F(1_X) = 1_{F(X)}] = 1_{F(X)}(a) = a.$$

Step 4: $\eta^{a_\eta} = \eta \iff \forall Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ have $\eta_Y^{a_\eta} = \eta_Y$ equality of maps $\text{Hom}_e(X, Y) \longrightarrow F(Y)$

$$\eta_y^{\alpha\beta}(\psi) = [F(\psi)](\alpha_\beta) = F(\psi)(\eta_x(1_x))$$

Will use comm'ive diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1_x \in \text{Hom}_e(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\psi \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_e(X, Y) \\ \downarrow \eta_x & & \downarrow \eta_y \\ F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} & F(Y) \end{array}$$

$$\downarrow \longrightarrow 1_x \mapsto F(\psi)(\eta_x(1_x))$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \downarrow 1_x \mapsto \psi \circ 1_x = \psi \mapsto \eta_y(\psi)$$

$$\text{So } \eta_y^{\alpha\beta}(\psi) = F(\psi)(\eta_x(1_x)) = \eta_y(\psi) \Rightarrow \eta^{\alpha\beta} = \eta. \quad \square$$

Corollary (Yoneda Lemma): (modified Oct 9) For $F = F_X$, the map $\text{Hom}_e(X', X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}}(F_X, F_{X'})$ from Example 1.2 is the same as in the theorem, hence is a bijection.

Proof: Need to show $\forall g \in \text{Hom}_e(X', X)$ the construction of η^g in the proof is the same as in the example:

$$\eta_y^g: \text{Hom}_e(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_e(X', Y) \text{ gives } \psi \mapsto \psi \circ g$$

$$\eta_y^g(\psi) = F_{X'}(\psi)(g) = [F_{X'}(\psi) = \psi \circ ?] = \psi \circ g \quad \square$$

2.2) Example:

Q: Compute $\text{End}_{\text{Fun}}(F, F)$, where $F: \text{Groups} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$

5]

is the forgetful functor.

Solution: we know how to compute $\text{End}_{\text{Fun}}(F_X, F_X) \cong \text{End}_{\text{Groups}}(X)$, an isomorphism of monoids. We are looking at $X \in \text{Groups}$ w.

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Groups}}(X, G) \xrightarrow[\varphi_G]{\sim} G \text{ (as sets)}$$

$$X := \mathbb{Z}: \quad \varphi_G: \text{Hom}_{\text{Groups}}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} G$$

$$\downarrow \varphi \quad \downarrow \varphi(1)$$

φ_G is a bijection.

Check φ is a functor isomorphism; iso is b/c φ_G is a bijection

Morphism: $\forall \tau: G \rightarrow H$, group homom'm

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\text{Groups}}(\mathbb{Z}, G) & \xrightarrow{\tau \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_{\text{Groups}}(\mathbb{Z}, H) \\ \downarrow ?(1) & & \downarrow ?(1) \\ G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H \end{array}$$

- commutes (exercise)

Yoneda

Now we need to compute $\text{End}_{\text{Groups}}(\mathbb{Z}) = F_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$

w. composition = multiplication:

(added on Oct 9) note that under identification $\text{End}_{\text{Groups}}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ an element $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ goes to the endomorphism $z \mapsto nz: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the claim about composition = multiplication follows from here

Note that for $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\text{Fun}}(F)$ corresponding to $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ have

$$\varphi_G(g) = [\varphi(1) = g \Rightarrow \varphi(n) = g^n] = g^n$$

BONUS: Category equivalences.

Our question here: when are two categories the "same"?

Turns out, functor isomorphisms play an important role in answering this question.

Before we address this we should discuss an easier question: when are two sets the same? Well, they are literally the same if they consist of the same elements. But this definition is quite useless: sets arising from different constructions won't be the same in this sense. Of course, we use isomorphic instead of being literally the same.

Now back to categories. Again, being the same is useless. How about being isomorphic? Turns out, this is not useful as well. Let's see why. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be categories. We say that \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are isomorphic if there are functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $FG = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$, $GF = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The issue is: two functors obtained by different constructions are never the same (compare to sets). The solution: replace "equal" w. "isomorphic" (as functors).

Definition: • We say F, G as above are quasi-inverse if $FG \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$, $GF \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (isomorphic).

• We say \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are equivalent if there are quasi-inverse functors (called equivalences) $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Now we are going to state a general result (not to be used in HW: 😞).

Definitions: A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called

• fully faithful if $\forall X, X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow$

$f \mapsto F(f)$ is a bijection $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(X'))$

• essentially surjective if $\forall Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) \exists X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$

such that $F(X)$ is isomorphic to Y .

Thm: A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an equivalence \Leftrightarrow
 F is fully faithful & essentially surjective.

We won't prove this, but we will give an example - that illustrates how the proof works in general.

Example: Consider the category $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{F}\text{-Vect}_{\text{fd}}$ of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F} and its full subcategory \mathcal{C} w. objects \mathbb{F}^n ($n \geq 0$). We claim that the inclusion functor $F: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an equivalence. It's fully faithful by def'n and the claim that it's essentially surjective.

Now we produce a quasi-inverse functor, G . In each $V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$ we fix a basis, which leads to an isomorphism $\eta_V: V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}^n$. We define $G(V)$ as \mathbb{F}^n . For a linear map $f: U \rightarrow V$ ($\dim U = m, \dim V = n$) we set $G(f) := \eta_V^{-1} \circ f \circ \eta_U$.

Exercise: Check G is a functor.

Now we are going to simplify our life a bit and assume that $\eta_{\mathbb{F}^n}: \mathbb{F}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}^n$ is the identity.

Exercise: $GF: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the identity functor (not just isomorphic to it).

Now we produce a functor isomorphism $\eta: \text{Id}_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\cong} FG$
So we need to have $\eta_V: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\dim V}$ and this is the isomorphism from above.

Exercise: prove that η is indeed a functor morphism
Then η is an isomorphism of functors. So F is indeed a category equivalence.

A different exercise: prove that the duality functor \cdot^*
is an equivalence $\mathbb{F}\text{-Vect}_{fd} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\text{-Vect}_{fd}^{opp}$.