- 1) l'ategories.
- 2) Functors.

Ref: [R], Sections 1.1, 1.3

BONUS: Homotopy category of topological space.

1) Definitions below will have a familiar structure: have data & axioms. For example, here's a basic algebraic structure.

Definition: a monoid is

(Data): a set M equipped w. a multipl'n map M×M ->M (axioms): that is associative and has unit, 1.

For example, a group is exactly a monoid, where all elements are invertible. Every ring is a monoid w. r.t. multiplication.

1.1) Definition of a category.

Definition: A category, E, consists of

(Data): • a "collection" of objects, O6(E). • $\forall X, Y \in O6(E) \rightarrow a \underline{set}$ of morphisms, $Hom_{e}(X, Y)$

a map (of sets) called composition · # X, Y, Z & O6(e),

Home (X,Y) × Home (Y,Z) -> Home (X,Z), (f,g)+>gof

(a 15 often omitted)

These satisfy:

 (Ax_{10ms}) : i) composition is associative: $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$ for $f \in Hom_{e}(W, X)$, $g \in Hom_{e}(X, Y)$, $h \in Hom_{e}(Y, Z)$. ii) Units: $\forall X \in Ob(C) \supseteq 1_{X} \in Hom_{e}(X, X)$ s.t. $\cdot f \circ 1_{X} = f \quad \forall f \in Hom_{e}(X, Y)$, $\cdot 1_{X} \circ g = g \quad \forall g \in Hom_{e}(Z, X)$.

1.2) Examples

1) Category of sets, Sets: Objects = sets, Morphisms = maps of sets, composition = composition of maps. Axioms: classical (unit 1x = idx).

2) Sets w. additional strive: objects = sets w. addil strive, morphisms = maps compatible w. this strive, composition = = compin of maps. This includes

a) Category of groups, Groups: Objects are groups, morphisms = homomorphisms of groups.

6) Category of rings, Rings.

c) For a ring A, have categories of A-modulu, A-Mod, & A-algebras (A-Alg), in the latter morphisms = A-linear homomorphisms of rings.

Not all categories have the form in 2:

3a) Let \(\int \) be an oriented graph w. vertices \(\int \) & edges \(\int \).

\[\sim \) category \(\(\(\(\(\(\) \) \), the pass category of \(\(\) .

36) Note: $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(C) \Rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,X)$ is a monoid w.r.t. o Conversely, every monoid, M, gives a category w one object, X, $\mathcal{E}\left(Hom_{e}\left(X,X\right),\circ\right):=M$.

1.3) Remarks:

1) Sometimes, objects in a category form a set (here we say our category is small). In general, they form a "class." 2) 1_X is uniquely determined. Moreover, if $f \in Hom_e(XY)$, has a (2-sided) inverse g (i.e. $g \in Hom_e(Y,X) \mid f \circ g = 1_Y$, $g \circ f = 1_X$) then g is unique, f:=g. In this case, f is called an isomorphism; we say X&Y are isomorphic (X&Y behave the same from the point of view of C, e.g. Z ∈ Ob(C)~ Home (Z, X) -> Home (Z, Y) $\mapsto f^{\circ}\psi$ (inverse is $\psi' \mapsto f^{\circ}\psi'$).

Notation: $X \xrightarrow{+} Y$ means $f \in Hom_{e}(X,Y)$

1.4) Subcategories: L'is a category. Defin: (i) By a subcategory, E', in E we mean: (Data) · A subcollection, Ob(E'), in Ob(E). · + X, Y ∈ Ob(e'), a subset Home, (X, Y) < Home (X, Y) s.t. $(Axioms) \cdot If f \in Hom_{e'}(X,Y), g \in Hom_{e'}(Y,Z) \Rightarrow g \circ f \in Hom_{e'}(X,Z)$ $\cdot 1_{X} \in Hom_{e'}(X,X) + X \in Ob(e').$ (ii) A subcategory C' in C 15 called full if Hom, (X,Y) = $Hom_{\rho}(X,Y), \forall X,Y \in Ob(E').$ A subcategory E' has a netural category strive. Examples: 1) A monoid M = category w one object A nonempty subcategor, M'in M = a submonoid M'is full (M'= M. 2) 12-Mod (a.K.a. category of abelian groups) is a full subcategory in Groups 3) The category of commutive rings, Comm Rings is a full subcategory in Rings 1.3) Constructions w. categories. Definition: For a category, C, its opposite category, Eapp consists of

Definition: For categories G, E, their product C, x E is defined by: Ob(E×E) = Ob(E,) × Ob(E)

· Homex ((X, X), (x, Y)) = Home (X, Y,) × Home (X, Y)

· composition is componentwise.

Rem: for usual categories we care about (Groups, Rings, A-Mod), the opposite cating essentially has no independent meaning, except: L = Lomm Rings, where L^{opp} is the category of affine schemes, which is of crucial importance for Algebraic geometry.

2) Functors: Motto: functor relative to categories is what a group homomorphism is relative to groups.

Let E, D be categories.

(Axioms) - compatibility between compositions & units $\cdot \ \, \forall \ \, f \in \text{Hom}_{e}(X,Y), \ \, g \in \text{Hom}_{e}(Y,Z) \Rightarrow F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f) \\ \text{equality in } \ \, \text{Hom}_{g}(F(X),F(Z)), \\ \, \cdot \ \, F(1_{\chi}) = 1_{F(\chi)} \ \, \forall \ \, \chi \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$

Example: Let C, D be categories w. single object corresponding to monoids M, N. Thus a functor $C \to D$ is the same thing as a monoid homomorphism.

Remarks: · Have the identity functor $Id_e: C \to C$ · For functors $F: C \to D$, $G: D \to E$ can take the composition $G \circ F: C \to E$ ($G \circ F(X) = G(F(X))$). · A functor $F: C \to D$ is the same thing as a functor $C^{opp} \to D^{opp}$

More examples:

1) Let C'be a subcategory in C. Then have inclusion hunctor C'C>C taking objects/morphisms in C'& sending them to the same objects/morphisms now in C; axioms are clear.

2) Forgetful functors: forget part of a structure

2a) For: Groups -> Sets;

On objects: For (G) = G viewed as a set.

On objects: For (4) = 4 viewed as a set.

On morphisms: For (f) = f, viewed as a map of sets.

Axioms: clear.

BONUS: homotopy category of topological spaces. B1) Equivalence on morphisms. Let C be a category. Suppose that \(\forall X, \gamma \in Ob(C)\), the set Home (X, Y) is endowed with an equivalence relation ~ s.t. (1) If $g, g' \in Hom_{e}(Y, Z)$ are equivalent & $f \in Hom_{e}(X, Y)$, then got ~ g'of. (z) If $f, f' \in Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X,Y)$ are equivalent and $g \in Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(Y,Z)$, then gof ~ gof. We write [f] for the equivalence class of f. Given such an equivalence relation, we can form a new category to be denoted by C/~ as follows: ·06(C/~):=06(E) · Home, (X, Y): = Home (X, Y)/~ - the set of equivalence classes · [g]o[f] = [gof] -well-defined precisely 6/c of (1)&(2) We note that there is a natural functor $\pi\colon \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\sim$ given by $X \mapsto X$, $f \mapsto [f]$. Example: Let M be a monoid. Note that the equivalence class of 1 ∈ M is a submonoid, say No, moreover, (1) & (2) imply that mMa=Mom of meM. Such submonoids are called normal (for groups we recover the usual condition). And if Mo=[1] 15 normal, then (1) and (2) hold -an exercise. For a normal submonoid M we can M/Mo with a netural monoid structure -just as we do for groups. The category C/~ corresponds

to the quotient monoid M/Mo and the functor IT is just the natural epimorphism M -> M/Mo.

Rem*: C/~ looks like a quotient category. But in situations where the term "quotient" is used and that are closer to quotients of abelian groups (Serre quotients of abelian categories) the construction is different - and more difficult.

B2) Homotopy category of topological spaces.

Let's recall the usual category of topological spaces. Let X be a set. One can define the notion of topology on X: we declare some subsets of X to be "open", these are supposed to satisfy certain axioms. A set W topology is called a topological space. A map $f: X \to Y$ of topological spaces is called continuous if $W \subset Y$ is open $\Rightarrow f'(U) \subset X$ is open. We define the category Top of topological spaces W.

We define the category Top of topological spaces W.

Hom $V \in X$ is open as $X \to Y$.

Composition = composition of maps.

One issue: this category is hard to understand - hard to study topological spaces up to homeomorphisms

Now we introduce our equivalence relation of $Hom_{\tau op}(X,Y)$ Definition: Continuous maps $f_o, f_i: X \to Y$ are called <u>homotopic</u> if $\exists a$ continuous map $F: X \times [0, 1] \to Y$ s.t. $f(x) = F(x, 0) & f_i(x) = F(x, 1)$.

Informally, for are homotopic if one can continuously deform fo to f. It turns out that being homotopic is an equivalence relation satisfying (1) & (2) from B1. The corresponding category Top/~ is known as the homotopy category of topolil spaces. Note that in this category morphisms are not maps! Here is why we cave about the homotopy category. Isomorphic here means homotopic (X is homotopic to Y if $\exists X \xrightarrow{\tau} Y$, Y => X s.t. fg is homotopic to 1, & gf is homotopic to 1x) and this is easier to understand then being homeomorphic. Second, the classical invarients such as homology and homotopy groups only Sepend on homotopy type. A move educated way to state this: these invariants are functors from the homotopy category of topological spaces to Groups (true as stated for homology, for homotopy it's more subtle, this requires fixing a point in X and hence need to work w. an auxiliary category of "pointed" topological spaces -up to homotopy).