

Lecture 10: Localization, II.

1) Localization of modules, cont'd.

2) Local rings.

Ref: [AM], Sections 3, 3.1

1.0) Reminder

Let $S \subset A$ be a multiplicative subset in a commutative ring, so that we can form the localization $A[S^{-1}]$. Let M be an A -module. We form the $A[S^{-1}]$ -module

$$M[S^{-1}] = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} \mid m \in M, s \in S \right\},$$

where $\frac{m}{s}$ is the equivalence class for the relation \sim on $M \times S$:

$$(m, s) \sim (n, t) \iff \exists u \in S \mid utm = usn.$$

It comes w. an A -linear map $\iota_M: M \rightarrow M[S^{-1}], m \mapsto \frac{m}{1}$.

The pair $(M[S^{-1}], \iota_M)$ has the following universal property: for an $A[S^{-1}]$ -module N & A -linear map $\zeta: M \rightarrow N \exists!$ $A[S^{-1}]$ -linear $\tilde{\zeta}: M[S^{-1}] \rightarrow N$ w. $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta \circ \iota_M$, it's given by $\tilde{\zeta}\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) = \frac{1}{s} \zeta(m)$.

In particular, to $\psi \in \text{Hom}_A(M_1, M_2)$ we can assign $\psi[S^{-1}] \in \text{Hom}_{A[S^{-1}]}(M_1[S^{-1}], M_2[S^{-1}])$ w. $\psi[S^{-1}]\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) = \frac{\psi(m)}{s}$.

See Sec 2 in Lec 9 for details.

1.1) Localization of submodules.

In the next 3 sections we study the interaction of localization and some operations w. (sub)modules.

Let M be an A -module, $M' \subset M$ A -submodule. Note that for

1)

$m, n \in M', s, t \in S$ we have $(m, s) \sim (n, t)$ in $M' \times S \Leftrightarrow (m, s) \sim (n, t)$ in $M \times S$. So $M'[S^{-1}]$ can be viewed as a subset in $M[S^{-1}]$, in fact, it's an $A[S^{-1}]$ -submodule (exercise).

Note that the localization of the regular A -module A is the regular $A[S^{-1}]$ -module $A[S^{-1}]$. So, for an ideal $I \subset A$, get an ideal $I[S^{-1}] \subset A[S^{-1}]$.

Exercise: Show that for submodules $M_1, M_2 \subset M$ we have $(M_1 + M_2)[S^{-1}] = M_1[S^{-1}] + M_2[S^{-1}]$ (hint: common denom'r), and similarly for intersections. Also $M_1 \subset M_2 \Rightarrow M_1[S^{-1}] \subset M_2[S^{-1}]$.

1.2) Localizations vs direct sum.

Let I be a set and $M_i, i \in I$, be A -modules so that we can form the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$.

Lemma: There's a natural isomorphism $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i[S^{-1}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i)[S^{-1}]$.

Proof:

Set $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. Consider the map $\zeta: M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i[S^{-1}])$, $(m_i) \mapsto (\frac{m_i}{1})$, it's A -linear. By the universal property, it lifts to the $A[S^{-1}]$ -linear map $\tilde{\zeta}: M[S^{-1}] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i[S^{-1}])$, $\frac{(m_i)}{s} \mapsto (\frac{m_i}{s})$.

• $\tilde{\zeta}$ is injective: $\tilde{\zeta}(\frac{(m_i)}{s}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{m_i}{s} = 0 \forall i$. Let $I_0 = \{i \mid m_i \neq 0\}$. This is a finite subset of I . For $i \in I_0$, $\frac{m_i}{s} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists u_i \in S \mid u_i m_i = 0$. Take $u = \prod_{i \in I_0} u_i$ so that $u m_i = 0 \forall i \in I \Rightarrow \frac{(m_i)}{s} = 0$

• $\tilde{\zeta}$ is surjective: take $(\frac{m_i}{s_i}) \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i[S^{-1}])$, need: $(\frac{m_i}{s_i}) \in \text{im } \tilde{\zeta}$. Let $I_1 := \{i \in I \mid \frac{m_i}{s_i} \neq 0\}$ - finite set. Set $s := \prod_{i \in I_1} s_i$, $\tilde{m}_i = (\prod_{j \in I_1, j \neq i} s_j) m_i$ so that $\frac{m_i}{s_i} = \frac{\tilde{m}_i}{s}$ $\forall i \in I_1$. Set $\tilde{m}_i := 0$ for $i \notin I_1$. Then $(\frac{\tilde{m}_i}{s}) \mapsto (\frac{m_i}{s_i})$, showing the surjectivity. \square

Example: $M = A^{\oplus I}$. So $M[S^{-1}] \cong A[S^{-1}]^{\oplus I}$ - the localization of a free module is free.

Exercise: Let's give an example of $\psi[S^{-1}]$. The linear maps $\psi: A^{\oplus k} \rightarrow A^{\oplus \ell}$ are given by matrices $\Psi \in \text{Mat}_{\ell \times k}(A)$: if we view elements of $A^{\oplus k}, A^{\oplus \ell}$ as column vectors, then $\psi(v) = \Psi v$. Show that $\psi[S^{-1}]: A[S^{-1}]^{\oplus k} \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]^{\oplus \ell}$ is given by the matrix $(\frac{a_{ij}}{1})$.

1.3) Localization vs kernels and images.

Our next task is to relate $\ker \psi[S^{-1}], \text{im } \psi[S^{-1}]$ to $\ker \psi, \text{im } \psi$.

Proposition: Let M, N be A -modules & $\psi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$

i) $\ker(\psi[S^{-1}]) = (\ker \psi)[S^{-1}]$

ii) $\text{im}(\psi[S^{-1}]) = (\text{im } \psi)[S^{-1}]$

Proof: i) First, we check $\ker(\psi[S^{-1}]) \subset (\ker \psi)[S^{-1}]$

$$\ker(\psi[S^{-1}]) = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} \in M[S^{-1}] \mid \psi[S^{-1}]\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow [\text{def'n of } \psi[S^{-1}]] \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{\psi(m)}{s} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S \mid u\psi(m) = 0 \Leftrightarrow um \in \ker \psi \right\} \subseteq$$

$$\left[\frac{um}{us} = \frac{m}{s} \right] \subseteq (\ker \psi)[S^{-1}]. \text{ Now } (\ker \psi)[S^{-1}] = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} \mid \psi(m) = 0 \right\}$$

$\subset \ker(\psi[S^{-1}])$, finishing (i).

(ii) $\text{im}(\psi[S^{-1}]) = \left\{ \psi[S^{-1}]\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) = \frac{\psi(m)}{s} \right\} = (\text{im } \psi)[S^{-1}]. \quad \square$

Corollary: Let M be A -module, $M' \subset M$ be an A -submodule.

Then there's a natural $A[S^{-1}]$ -module isomorphism $(M/M')[S^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[S^{-1}]/M'[S^{-1}]$.

Proof: Apply Proposition to $\psi: M \rightarrow M/M', m \mapsto m+M'$

Then $\text{im}(\psi[S^{-1}]) = (\text{im } \psi)[S^{-1}] = (M/M')[S^{-1}]$

$\ker(\psi[S^{-1}]) = (\ker \psi)[S^{-1}] = M'[S^{-1}], M[S^{-1}]/M'[S^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} (M/M')[S^{-1}] \square$

1.4) Submodules in $M[S^{-1}]$.

Let M be an A -module. A -submodule $N \subset M$ gives $N[S^{-1}] \subset M[S^{-1}]$, an $A[S^{-1}]$ -submodule. On the other hand, for an $A[S^{-1}]$ -submodule $N' \subset M[S^{-1}]$, consider $\iota_M^{-1}(N') \subset M$, this is an A -submodule b/c ι_M is A -linear & N' is A -submodule of $M[S^{-1}]$ (details are *exercise*).

Proposition: The maps $N \mapsto N[S^{-1}]$ & $N' \mapsto \iota_M^{-1}(N')$ are mutually inverse bijections between:

- $\{A[S^{-1}]\text{-submodules } N' \subset M[S^{-1}]\}$ &
- $\{A\text{-submodules } N \subset M \mid \underbrace{sm \in N \text{ for } s \in S, m \in M \Rightarrow m \in N}_{(†)}\}$

Proof: Step 1: Show that $\iota_M^{-1}(N')$ satisfies (†):

$$sm \in \iota_M^{-1}(N') \iff \iota_M(sm) \in N' \iff \frac{s}{1} \iota_M(m) \in N' \iff \left[\frac{s}{1} \text{ is invertible in } A[S^{-1}] \right] \iff \iota_M(m) \in N' \iff m \in \iota_M^{-1}(N').$$

So we have two maps between the two sets, need to show that they are mutually inverse.

Step 2: $\ell_M^{-1}(N[S^{-1}]) = N$ for \forall A -submodule N satisfying $(+)$:
 $\ell_M^{-1}(N[S^{-1}]) = \{m \in M \mid \ell_M(m) \in N[S^{-1}]\} \Leftrightarrow \frac{m}{1} = \frac{n}{s}$ for some $n \in N, s \in S$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S \mid usm = un \in N \Leftrightarrow [(+)] m \in N \} = N$.

Step 3: $(\ell_M^{-1}(N'))[S^{-1}] = N'$: $(\ell_M^{-1}(N'))[S^{-1}] = \{ \frac{n}{s} \mid \frac{n}{1} \in N' \} \Leftrightarrow$
 $[\frac{s}{1} \text{ is invertible}] \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{s} \in N' \} = N'$. \square

Corollary: Suppose M is a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) A -module. Then $M[S^{-1}]$ is a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) $A[S^{-1}]$ -module. In particular, if A is a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) ring, then so is $A[S^{-1}]$.

Proof (of M is Noetherian \Rightarrow so is $M[S^{-1}]$; everything else is *exercise*.) Let $N_1' \subset N_2' \subset \dots \subset N_i' \subset \dots$ be an AC of submodules in $M[S^{-1}]$. Set $N_i := \ell_M^{-1}(N_i')$. Then $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \dots$ is AC of submodules in M so $\exists k$ s.t. $N_k = N_i \forall i > k$. By Proposition, $N_i' = N_i[S^{-1}] \Rightarrow N_k' = N_i'$. So the AC in $M[S^{-1}]$ terminates, hence $M[S^{-1}]$ is Noetherian. \square

2) Local rings.

Here's an important example of a multiplicative subset. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a prime ideal. The equivalent characterization ($ab \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow a \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $b \in \mathfrak{p}$) means that $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is multiplicative.

We write $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $A[(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}]$. For an A -module M , we write $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $M[(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}]$.

5)

Proposition: $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof: Pick an ideal $I' \neq A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. First, we need to show $I' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Set $I := \iota^{-1}(I')$, an ideal in A . By Prop'n in Sec 1.4,

$$sa \in I \text{ for } s \notin \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow a \in I \quad (\heartsuit)$$

Assume $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow S \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Pick $s \in S \cap I$, $a := 1$, so $sa \in I$ but $a \notin I$. This contradicts (\heartsuit) showing $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. By Prop'n in Sec 1.4, $I' = I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $I' = I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Second, we need to show $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Indeed, $\frac{1}{1} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}} \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \mathfrak{p}, s \notin \mathfrak{p}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{a}{s} \Leftrightarrow \exists u \notin \mathfrak{p}$ s.t. $us = ua$. The l.h.s is not in \mathfrak{p} , while the r.h.s. is in \mathfrak{p} , a contradiction. \square

Definition: A commutative ring B is **local** if it has a unique maximal ideal.

Example: $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is local.

Local rings are important because they have nice properties that general rings do not, while some questions about general rings can be reduced to those of local rings - by passing from A to $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Nice properties of modules over local rings will be studied later in the course.