Lecture 11: Categories, functors & functor morphisms, I 1) l'ategories. 2) Functors. Ref: [R], Sections 1.1, 1.3 BONUS: Homotopy category of topological space.

1) Our exposition of Category theory will start w. exploring basic notions: categories, functors & functor morphisms. Definitions below will have a familiar structure: have date & axioms. E.g. here's a basic algebraic structure.

Definition: a monoid is (Data): a set M equipped w. a multipl'n map MXM->M that is associative and has unit, 1. (axioms): For example, a group is exactly a monoid, where all elements are invertible. Every ring is a monoid w.r.t. multiplication.

1.1) Definition of a category. Definition: A category, C, consists of (Data): · a "collection" of objects, Ob(C). · # X, Y E Ob(E) ~ a set of morphisms, Hom, (X, Y) · HX, Y, ZEO6(C), a map (of sets) called composition $Hom_{e}(X,Y) \times Hom_{e}(Y,Z) \longrightarrow Hom_{e}(X,Z), (f,g) \mapsto g \circ f$ (a is often omitted)

These satisfy: (Axioms): i) composition is associative: (fog) oh = fo(goh) for fe Home (W, X), ge Home (X, Y), he Home (Y,Z). ii) Units: # XEO6(2) = 1, E Home (X,X) s.t. · fo1 = f + f E Home (X,Y), $\cdot 1_{X^{\circ}g} \neq g \neq g \in Hom_{e}(Z, X).$

1.2) Examples 1) Category of sets, Sets: objects = sets, morphisms = maps of sets, composition = composition of maps. Axioms: classical $(unit 1_x = id_x).$

2) Sets W. additional strive: objects = sets W. addil strive, morphisms = maps compatible w- this strive, composition = = comp'n of maps. This includes a) l'ategory of groups, Groups: Objects are groups, morphisms = homomorphisms of groups. 6) Category of rings, Rings. c) For a ring A, have categories of A-modules, A-Mod, & A-algebras (A-Alg), in the latter morphisms = A-linear homomorphisms of rings.

Not all categories have the form in 2:

3a) Let Γ be an oriented graph w. vertices V & edges E. \sim category $C(\Gamma)$, the pass category of Γ . · Objects = V. · Morphisms = paths in the graph: χ e_{r} e_{k} χ e_{k} χ this includes empty paths, one for every vertex. · Composition: concatenation of paths. Axioms: associativity is manifest, 1x = empty path in X. 36) Note: $\forall X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \Rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ is a monoid w.v.t. o Conversely, every monoral, M, gives a category w. one object, X, $\mathcal{E}(Hom_{e}(X, X), \circ) := M$. 1.3) Kemarks: 1) Sometimes, objects in a category form a set (here we say our category is small). In general, they form a "class", a notion defined in Set theory. We'll ignore this issue. 2) 1_{χ} is uniquely determined. Moreover, if $f \in Hom_{e}(XY)$, has a (2-sided) inverse $g(i.e. g \in Hom_{e}(Y,X) | f \circ g = 1_{\chi}, g \circ f = 1_{\chi})$ then g is unique, $f^{-i} = g$. In this case, f is called an isomorphism; we say $\chi \& Y$ are isomorphic ($\chi \& Y$ behave the same from the point of view of C, e.g. ZEOb(C)~

Notation: X +> Y means fe Home (X, Y).

1.4) Subcategories: E is a category. Defin: (i) By a subcategory, E', in E we mean: (Data) · A subcollection, Ob(E'), in Ob(E). · V X, Y E Ob(E'), a subset Home, (X, Y) < Home (X, Y) s.t. $\begin{array}{ccc} (Axioms) & If f \in Hom_{er}(X,Y), g \in Hom_{er}(Y,Z) \Rightarrow g \circ f \in Hom_{er}(X,Z) \\ & \cdot & 1_{\chi} \in Hom_{er}(X,\chi) & \forall & \chi \in Ob(P'). \end{array}$ (ii) A subcategory l'in C is called full if Hom, (X,Y)= $H_{om_{\rho}}(X,Y), \forall X,Y \in Ob(\mathcal{C}').$

A subcategory C' has a netural category strive.

Examples: 1) A monoid M = category w. one object A nonempty subcategory M' in M = a submonoid. M' is full ⇐⇒ M'=M.

2) 72-Mod (a.K.a. category of abelian groups) is a full subcategory in Groups

3) The category of commutative rings, Comm Rings is a full subcategory in Rings. 1.5) Constructions w. categories. Definition: For a category, C, its opposite category, C^{epp} Consists of · the same objects as C, · Hompopp (X, Y): = Homp (Y, X) · gooppf:=fog (fEHomeopp(X,Y)=Home(Y,X), $g \in Hom_{eopp}(Y, Z) = Hom_{e}(Z, Y)).$ Definition: For categories C, E, their product C, × C2 is defined by : · Ob((G×G) = Ob(C,)×Ob(G) • $Hom_{\mathcal{E},\times\mathcal{E}}((X, X_1), (Y, Y_1)) = Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y,) \times Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y_1)$ · composition is componentwise. Kem: for usual categories we care about (Groups, Kings, A-Mod), the opposite cative essentially has no independent meaning, except: C = Comm Rings, where Copp is the category of affine schemes, which is of crucial importance for Algebraic geometry,

2) Functors: Motto: a relation between a category and a functor is analogous to a relation between a group and a 51

hemomerphism. Let C, D be categories. Definition: A functor F: C -> D is • an assignment $\chi \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\chi): \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ (Data) • $\forall X, Y \in Ob(C), a map Hom_{e}(X, Y) \longrightarrow Hom_{f}(F(X), F(Y))$ $f \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(f)$ (Axioms) - compatibility between compositions & units • $\forall f \in Hom_{e}(X,Y), g \in Hom_{e}(Y,Z) \Rightarrow F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$ equality in Hom (F(X), F(Z)). $\cdot \mathcal{F}(1_{\chi}) = 1_{\mathcal{F}(\chi)} \neq \chi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ Example: Let C, D be categories w. single object corresponding to monoids M, N. Then a functor C -> D is the same thing as a monoid homomorphism. Remarks: . Have the identity functor Ide: C -> C • For functors $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ can take the $Composition \quad G \circ F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E} \left(\mathcal{G} \circ F(X) = \mathcal{G}(F(X)) \right), it's a functor.$ • A functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ is the same thing as a functor Copp -> Dopp

6

More examples: 1) Let C'be a subcategory in C. Then have inclusion functor l' C sending objects / morphisms in l' to the same objects/morphisms now in C; axioms are clear.

2) Forgetful functors: forget part of a structure 2a) For: Groups -> Sets; On objects: For (G) = G viewed as a set. On morphisms: For (f) = f, viewed as a map of sets. Axioms: clear.

BONUS: homotopy category of topological spaces. B1) Equivalence on morphisms. Let C be a category. Suppose that 4 X, Y E Ob(C), the set Home (X,Y) is endowed with an equivalence relation ~ s.t. (1) If q, q' E Home (Y, 2) are equivalent & f E Home (X, Y), then gof~g'of. (z) If $f, f' \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ are equivalent and $g \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z)$, then got ~ got.

We write [f] for the equivalence class of f. Given such an equivalence relation, we can form a new category to be denoted by C/~ as follows:

 $\cdot \mathcal{O}_{b}(\mathcal{C}/\sim):=\mathcal{O}_{b}(\mathcal{C})$ · Home (X, Y): = Home (X, Y)/~ - the set of equivalence classes · $[q] \circ [f] = [g \circ f] - well - defined precisely, 6/c of (1) & (2)$ We note that there is a natural functor $\pi: C \longrightarrow C/N$ (V, - Y P \longrightarrow Γ ? given by $X \mapsto X$, $f \mapsto [f]$.

Exemple: Let M be a monoid. Note that the equivalence class of 1 ∈ M is a submonoid, say No, moreover, (1) & (2) imply that MM = Mom & MEM. Such submonoids are called normal (for groups we recover the usual condition). And if Mo=[1] is normal, then (1) and (2) hold -an exercise. For a normal submonoid M we can M/M with a netural monoid structure -just as we do for groups. The category C/~ corresponds to the quotient monoid M/M, and the functor IT is just the natural epimorphism M ->> M/M.

Rem*: C/~ looks like a gustient category. But in situations where the term "quotient" is used and that are closer to quotients of abelian groups (Serre quotients of abelian categories) the construction is different - and more difficult.

B2) Homotopy category of topological spaces. Let's recall the usual category of topological spaces. Let 8

X be a set. One can define the nation of topology on X: we declare some subsets of X to be open", these are supposed to satisfy certain axioms. A set w. topology is called a topological space. A map f: X -> Y of topological spaces is called continuous if $U \subset Y$ is open $\Longrightarrow f'(U) \subset X$ is open. We define the category Top of topological space, w. (b (Top) = topological spaces. Hom $(X, \gamma) := continuous maps <math>X \to \gamma$ Composition = composition of maps. One issue : this category is hard to understand - hard to study topological spaces up to homeomorphisms. Now we introduce our equivalence relation of Hom Top (X, Y)

Definition: Continuous maps $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ are called homotopic if $\exists a$ continuous map $F: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ s.t. f(x) = F(x, 0) &f (x) = F(x,1).

Informally, f, f, are homotopic if one can continuously deform f to f. It turns out that being homotopic is an equivalence velation satisfying (1) & (2) from B1. The corresponding category Top/~ is known as the homotopy category of topolil spaces. Note that in this category morphisms are not maps!

Here is why we care about the homotopy category. Isomorphic 9

here means handopic (X is homotopic to Y if I X + Y, Y =X s.t. fg is homotopic to 1, & gf is homotopic to 1x) and this is easier to understand then being homeomorphic. Second, the classical invariants such as homology and homotopy groups only Sepend on homotopy type. A more educated way to state this: these invariants are functors from the homotopy category of topological spaces to Groups (true as stated for homology, for homotopy it's more subtle, this requires fixing a point in X and hence need to work w. an auxiliary category of "pointed" topological spaces -up to homotopy).