

Lecture 16: Tensor products, II.

1) Further discussion of tensor products.

2) Tensor-Hom adjunction.

Ref: [AM], Section 2.7.

1) Further discussion of tensor products.

1.1) Tensor products of linear maps & functoriality.

Let M_1, M_1', M_2, M_2' be A -modules & $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_A(M_i, M_i')$, $i=1,2$.

Goal: define A -linear map $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M_1' \otimes_A M_2'$.

Consider: $M_1 \times M_2 \longrightarrow M_1' \otimes_A M_2', (m_1, m_2) \mapsto \varphi_1(m_1) \otimes \varphi_2(m_2)$

Exercise: This map is A -bilinear.

So it gives rise to an A -linear map $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M_1' \otimes_A M_2'$ uniquely characterized by $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2(m_1 \otimes m_2) = \varphi_1(m_1) \otimes \varphi_2(m_2) \quad \forall m_i \in M_i$.

Properties of tensor products of maps:

- $\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \text{id}_{M_2} = \text{id}_{M_1 \otimes_A M_2}$.

- Compositions: $M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_1' \xrightarrow{\varphi_1'} M_1'', M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} M_2' \xrightarrow{\varphi_2'} M_2''$

$(\varphi_1' \varphi_1) \otimes (\varphi_2' \varphi_2) = (\varphi_1' \otimes \varphi_2') (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)$ b/c they coincide on generators (Sec 2.4 of Lec 15) $m_1 \otimes m_2$ of $M_1 \otimes_A M_2$.

So: we have the tensor product functor
 $A\text{-Mod} \times A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$

Important exercise: Prove that $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$:
 $\text{Hom}_A(M_1, M_1') \times \text{Hom}_A(M_2, M_2') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M_1 \otimes_A M_2, M_1' \otimes_A M_2')$
 is A -bilinear (hint: check on generators of $M_1 \otimes_A M_2$)

1.2) "Algebra properties" of tensor products.

Theorem: Let M_1, M_2, M_3 be A -modules. Then:

1) There is a unique isomorphism $(M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A M_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$ s.t. $(m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$. (i.e. tensor product is associative).

2) $\exists!$ isom'm $M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2 \otimes_A M_1$ w. $m_1 \otimes m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_1$.

3) $\exists!$ isom'm $M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \oplus M_1 \otimes_A M_3$ w.
 $m_1 \otimes (m_2, m_3) \mapsto (m_1 \otimes m_2, m_1 \otimes m_3)$

4) $\exists!$ unique isom'm $A \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ s.t. $a \otimes m \mapsto am$.

Proof: (1)

We want an A -linear map

$$\tilde{\beta}: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3), (m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$$

i.e. want a bilinear map $\beta: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$

$$(m_1 \otimes m_2, m_3) \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3).$$

Fix $m_3 \rightsquigarrow$ a linear map $M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_A M_3$, $m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_3$. Define

$\beta_{m_3}: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$ to be the tensor product

2]

of id_{M_1} & $[m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_3]$ so $\beta_{m_3}(m_1 \otimes m_2) = m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$

Note that β_{m_3} depends linearly on m_3 (e.g. $\beta_{2m_3} = 2\beta_{m_3}$)

\leadsto A -bilinear map $\beta: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$,
 $\beta(x, m_3) := \beta_{m_3}(x) \leadsto \tilde{\beta}$ as needed.

$\tilde{\beta}$ is an isom'm: have $\tilde{\beta}': M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3) \rightarrow (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes M_3$
 $m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3) \mapsto (m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3$. It's inverse of $\tilde{\beta}$ b/c $\tilde{\beta}' \circ \tilde{\beta} = \text{id}$ &
 $\tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\beta}' = \text{id}$ on generators (tensor monomials). \square of (1).

(2) - commutativity - is an **exercise** & (4) - unit - follows from our construction.

Proof of (3) - distributivity: consider the projection

$\pi_i: M_2 \oplus M_3 \rightarrow M_i$, $i=2,3$; & inclusion $\iota_i: M_i \hookrightarrow M_2 \oplus M_3$

$\leadsto \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_i: M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \rightleftharpoons M_1 \otimes_A M_i: \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_i$

$(\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_2, \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_3): M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \rightleftharpoons M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \oplus M_1 \otimes_A M_3: (\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_2, \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_3)$
 $\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_2(x) + \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_3(y) \longleftarrow (x, y)$

Exercise: check that these maps are mutually inverse. \square

2) Tensor-Hom adjunction.

The goal of this section is to prove that tensor product functors are left adjoint to Hom functors.

2.1) Basic setting.

Let L be an A -module. We can consider the following functors
 $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$:

1) $L \otimes_A \bullet$ that sends an A -module M to $L \otimes_A M$ & an A -linear map

$\psi: M \rightarrow M'$ to $\text{id}_L \otimes \psi: L \otimes_A M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M'$, also A -linear.

2) $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot)$ defined exactly as $\text{Hom}_A(L, \cdot): A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ but viewed as a functor to $A\text{-Mod}$, which makes sense b/c for an A -linear map $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$, the map $\psi \circ ? : \text{Hom}_A(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(L, M')$ is A -linear (Prob 6 in HW1). Formally, $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot) \cong \text{For} \circ \underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot)$, where For is the forgetful functor $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$.

Preliminary Thm (tensor-Hom adjunction): $L \otimes_A \cdot$ is left adjoint to $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot)$ (as functors $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$).

2.2) General setting

It turns out that the same method gives left (and right) adjoint functors to pullback functors $\varphi^*: B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ (Sec 1.1 of Lec 12) for $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, a homomorphism of commutative rings. These adjoints are important so we need to explore a more general setup.

Let L be a B -module (so also an A -module) & M be an A -module $\rightsquigarrow A$ -module $L \otimes_A M$.

Lemme: 1) There is a unique B -module str'ure on $L \otimes_A M$ s.t.
$$b(l \otimes m) = (bl) \otimes m \quad \forall b \in B, l \in L, m \in M$$

2) If $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$ is an A -linear map, then $\text{id}_L \otimes \psi$ is a B -linear map $L \otimes_A M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M'$.

Proof: 1) Consider the map $\beta_b: L \times M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M, (l, m) \mapsto (bl) \otimes m$. It's A -bilinear (exercise) so $\exists!$ A -linear map $\tilde{\beta}_b: L \otimes_A M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M$ s.t. $\tilde{\beta}_b(l \otimes m) = (bl) \otimes m$ ($\forall b \in B, l \in L, m \in M$). Define a map

$$B \times (L \otimes_A M) \rightarrow L \otimes_A M, (b, x) \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_b(x).$$

We claim that it defines a B -module structure on $L \otimes_A M$. This is a boring check of axioms using that $\tilde{\beta}_b$ is A -linear & $\text{Span}_A(l \otimes m) = L \otimes_A M$ (Sec 2.4 of Lec 15). For example, to check associativity, $(b_1 b_2)x = b_1(b_2 x)$ it's enough to assume that $x = l \otimes m$. Then $(b_1 b_2)x = (b_1 b_2 l) \otimes m = b_1(b_2(l \otimes m)) = b_1(b_2 x)$.

2) is left as an exercise. \square

This lemma gives us a functor $L \otimes_A \cdot: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$. On the other hand, we have a functor $\varphi^* \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L, \cdot): B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$.

Thm (Tensor-Hom adjunction): The functor $L \otimes_A \cdot: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ is left adjoint to $\varphi^* \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L, \cdot): B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$.

Proof: Let M be an A -module & N be a B -module. Our goal is to construct a natural bijection:

$$\eta_{M, N}: \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N)).$$

Take $\tilde{c} \in \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, N)$. For $m \in M$, define $\psi_{\tilde{c}}(m): L \rightarrow N, l \mapsto \tilde{c}(l \otimes m)$;

$\psi_{\tilde{c}}(m)$ is B -linear, e.g. $[\psi_{\tilde{c}}(m)](bl) = [\text{def'n of } \tilde{c}] = \tilde{c}((bl) \otimes m) = [\text{def'n of } B\text{-action on } L \otimes_A M] = \tilde{c}(b(l \otimes m)) = [\tilde{c} \text{ is } B\text{-linear}] = b\tilde{c}(l \otimes m) =$

$b([\psi_{\tilde{c}}(m)](l))$. This gives a map $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(L, N), m \mapsto \psi_{\tilde{c}}(m)$,

that is A -linear (*exercise*) so $\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N))$. Define $\eta_{M, N}$ by $\tilde{\tau} \mapsto \varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}$.

Now we produce an inverse. Take $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N))$. Consider the map $\tau_\varphi: L \times M \rightarrow N$ by $\tau_\varphi(\ell, m) = [\varphi(m)](\ell)$. Then $\exists!$ A -linear $\tilde{\tau}_\varphi: L \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$. We claim that $\tilde{\tau}_\varphi$ is actually B -linear: $\tilde{\tau}_\varphi(bx) = b\tilde{\tau}_\varphi(x) \forall b \in B, x \in L \otimes_A M$. Since $\text{Span}_A(L \otimes M) = L \otimes_A M$ & $\tilde{\tau}_\varphi$ is A -linear, it's enough to assume $x = \ell \otimes m \Rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_\varphi(b(\ell \otimes m)) = \tilde{\tau}_\varphi((b\ell) \otimes m) = \tau_\varphi(b\ell, m) = [\varphi(m)](b\ell) = b([\varphi(m)](\ell)) = b\tau_\varphi(\ell, m) = b\tilde{\tau}_\varphi(\ell \otimes m)$. So $\tilde{\tau}_\varphi \in \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, N)$.

Now we show that the maps $\tilde{\tau} \mapsto \varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}$ & $\varphi \mapsto \tilde{\tau}_\varphi$ are inverse to each other:

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}} = \tilde{\tau} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}(\ell \otimes m) = \tilde{\tau}(\ell \otimes m) \forall \ell \in L, m \in M; \tilde{\tau}_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}(\ell \otimes m) = \tau_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}(\ell, m) = [\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m)](\ell) = \tilde{\tau}(\ell \otimes m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_\varphi} = \varphi \Leftrightarrow [\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_\varphi}(m)](\ell) = [\varphi(m)](\ell) \forall \ell \in L, m \in M; [\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_\varphi}(m)](\ell) = \tilde{\tau}_\varphi(\ell \otimes m) = \tau_\varphi(\ell, m) = [\varphi(m)](\ell) \quad \checkmark$$

Let's check that the bijections $\eta_{M, N}$'s make one diagram in the definition of adjoint functors (Sec 2.1 of Lec 14) commutative (the other is an *exercise*). Pick $\zeta \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M')$. We need to show the following

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M', N) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{M', N}} & \text{Hom}_A(M', \text{Hom}_B(L, N)) \\ \downarrow ? \circ (\text{id}_L \otimes \zeta) & & \downarrow ? \circ \zeta \\ \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, N) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{M, N}} & \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N)) \end{array}$$

$$\downarrow \rightarrow : \tilde{\tau} \mapsto [m \mapsto [l \mapsto \tilde{\tau} \circ (\text{id}_2 \otimes \zeta)(l \otimes m) = \tilde{\tau}(l \otimes \zeta(m))]]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ \rightarrow \downarrow : \tilde{\tau} \mapsto [m \mapsto [\varphi_{M;N}(\tilde{\tau})](\zeta(m))] \end{array}$$

□