Lecture 17: tensor products, II. 1) Tensor-Hom adjunction, contid. 2) Tensor products of algebras.

Refs: [AM], Secs 2.8, 7.11 BONUS: (Co)induction of group representations.

Tensor-Hom Adjunction, cont'd.
 Recall the theorem from Lec 16. Let A, B be commutative rings &
 φ: A → B a homomorphism. Let L be a B-module.

Thm (Tensor-Hom adjunction): The functor Log. .: A-Mod -> B-Mod is left adjoint to co*Hom_B(L, ·): B-Mod → A-Mod. pullback

1.1) Base change Taxe L=B. Then Hom_B(B,N) is naturally isomorphic to N for any B-module N, i.e. Hom_B(B,·) is isomorphic to the identity endo-functor of B-Mod. We arrive at the following:

Corollary: The functor B&. A-Mod -> B-Mod (base change or induction functor) is left-adjoint to φ^* : B-Mod \rightarrow A-Mod.

We encounter base change first when in Linear algebra we replace vector spaces over a field F w vector spaces over the algebraic

closure \overline{F} (e.g. F=R, $\overline{F}=C$), this is technically done by applying $\overline{F} \otimes_{\overline{F}}$. Here's another appearance of base change from this course.

Proposition: Let $S \subset A$ be a multiplicative subset. Then the functor $A[S^{-1}] \otimes_A \cdots A[S^{-1}] - M_0 d$ is isomorphic to the localization functor $\cdot [S^{-1}]$.

Pro of:

By Example 2 in Sec 2.2 in Lec 14, . [5] is left adjoint to the pullback functor A[S'] · Mod -> A-Mod. By Corollary above, so is A[S⁻¹]&. Now the uniqueness of adjoints (Sec 2.3 of Lec 14) quarantees $\cdot [S^{-'}] \xrightarrow{\sim} A[S^{-'}] \otimes_{A} \cdot$

Rem 1) One can also prove the proposition w/o the categorical language, but this is lengthier. One shows that $\forall A$ -module M, $\exists ! A[S^{-1}]$ -linear map $\psi : A[S^{-1}] \otimes M \to M[S^{-1}] \otimes . \stackrel{a}{s} \otimes m \mapsto \stackrel{am}{s}$. To construct an inverse, one applies the universal property of localization of modules (Sec 2.2 of Lec 9) to $M \to A[S^{-1}] \otimes_A M$, $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ to show $\exists ! \psi' : M[S^{-1}] \to A[S^{-1}] \otimes_A M \otimes . \stackrel{m}{s} \mapsto \stackrel{i}{s} \otimes m$. Details are an exercise. And to show this isomorphism $A[S^{-1}] \otimes_A M \twoheadrightarrow$ $M[S^{-1}]$ agrees w. one in Proposition is a premium exercise.

2*) The modules are important in Algebraic Ceometry & so is the base change functor. More on this should be expected as a bonus to later lectures.

1.2) Coinduction Now we briefly discuss the right adjoint of cot again, in a more general form of tensor Hom adjunction. Let L be a B-module and N be an A-module. Then Hom, (L, N) becomes a B-module VIR [64](1)=4(61) + 4EHom, (L,N), LEL (exercise). So we get a functor Hom ((,·): A-Mod -> B-Mod, to check this rigorously is also an exercise. Thm: The functor $\varphi^*(L\otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot)$: B-Mod $\rightarrow A$ -Mod is left adjoint to Hom (L, .): A-Mod -> B-Mod. The proof is morally similar to that of Thm in Sec 2.2 of Lec 16 and is left as a premium exercise. Now consider L=B. Then, by Sec 1.2 of Lec 16, B&BM ~> M $(b \otimes m \mapsto m) \neq B \cdot module M. So, \varphi^*(B \otimes_{B^{\circ}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^*$ We arrive at: Covollary: The functor Hom (B, ·): A-Mod -> B-Mod is right adjoint to q*: B-Mod -> A-Mod. 2) Tensor product of algebres. 2.1) Construction. Let A be a commutative ring, B, C be A-algebras (& so A-modules) ~ A-module B& C 3]

Proposition: =! A-algebra strive on B& C s.t. $(b_1\otimes c_1)\cdot(b_2\otimes c_2)=b_1b_2\otimes c_1c_2\neq b_1,b_2\in B,c_1,c_2\in C$ (w. unit 181). Proof: Uniqueness will follow 6/c B& C = Span, (6@c/6EB, CEC) & any bilinear map is uniquely determined by images of generators. Now we need to show existence. The product map B×B ->B is A-bilinear $\rightarrow \exists !$ A-linear $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, w. 6, \otimes 6_2 \mapsto 6, 6_2.$ Similarly, we have Mc: C&C -> C -> MB&MC: (B&B)&(C&C) ≩B⊗_AC $\begin{array}{c} \text{assoc. & commut. of } \otimes \rightarrow \text{s} \\ \text{Sec 1.2 of Lec 16} \\ \text{x} \otimes y \in (B \otimes_{A} C) \otimes_{A} (B \otimes_{A} C) \\ \end{array}$ -[6,@c,)@(6,@Ç) (→(6,6z)@(C,Cz) $(x,y) \in (\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C}) \times (\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C})$ Our multiplication map So we've shown existence of A-bilinear product map. Associativity & unit axioms can be checked on tensor monomials, e.g. here is a part of unit axiom: $(1\otimes 1)(6\otimes c) = (1\otimes 6)\otimes (1\otimes c) = 6\otimes c.$ Ω Rem: B, C are commutative \Rightarrow so is $B\otimes_{A} C$.

2.2) Coproduct. Theorem: Let B, C be commutative. Then B& C is the coproduct

of B&C in E = A- CommAlg (cative of commutative A-algebras), i.e. the following functors are isomorphic $Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C},\cdot), Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{B},\cdot) \times Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C},\cdot): \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Sets.$ equiv'y: ∃ A-algebra homom'ms L^B: B → B&C, C^C: C → B&C s.t. \forall alg. homom's $\varphi^{B} : B \to D, \varphi^{C} : C \to D, where D is$ a commutative A-algebra, ∃! A-alg. homom. q: B&C →D $W. \quad \varphi^{B} = \varphi \circ \iota^{B}(: B \to \mathcal{D}) \underset{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}} \quad \varphi^{C} = \varphi \circ \iota^{C}: C \to \mathcal{D}.$

Proof: Constrin of (B, C: CB(6):=601, CC(C):=10C. The conditions on φ are $\varphi(6\otimes i) = \varphi^{B}(6), \varphi(1\otimes c) = \varphi^{C}(c) \iff L6\otimes c = (6\otimes i)(1\otimes c)^{-1}$ (*) $\varphi(b \otimes c) = \varphi^{B}(b) \varphi^{C}(c).$ We need to show I! A-algebra homom'm g: B& C -> D satisf. (*). The map $B \times C \rightarrow D$, (6, c) $\mapsto \varphi^{B}(b) \varphi^{C}(c)$ is A-bilinear, so] <u>A-linear</u> & satisfying (*). What remains to check is: q respects ring multiplin (unit is clear), enough to do this on tensor monomials $\varphi(b, \emptyset c_1 \cdot b_2 \emptyset c_2) = \varphi(b, b_2 \emptyset c_1 c_2) = \varphi^{\mathcal{B}}(b, b_1) \varphi^{\mathcal{C}}(c_1 c_2) =$ $= \varphi^{B}(b_{1})\varphi^{B}(b_{2})\varphi^{C}(\zeta)\varphi^{C}(\zeta_{2}) = \left[\mathcal{D} \text{ is commive} \right] = \left(\varphi^{B}(b_{1})\varphi^{C}(\zeta_{1})\right).$ $(\varphi^{B}(b_{2})\varphi^{C}(c_{1})) = \varphi(b_{1}\otimes c_{1})\varphi(b_{2}\otimes c_{2})$ \square

Example: $B = A[x_1, ..., x_{\mu}]/(f_1, ..., f_{\kappa'}), C = A[y_1, ..., y_{\ell'}]/(g_1, ..., g_{\ell'}).$ Then $B\otimes_{A} C \simeq A[x_{1}, x_{k}, y_{1}, y_{e}]/(f_{1}, f_{k}, g_{1}, g_{e})$, denote the right hand side by D. on x_{1}, x_{k} on y_{1}, y_{e} .

Will show isomorphism of functors:
$$F_{D} \cong F_{B} \times F_{C}$$
 (where $F_{D} = Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(D_{\cdot})$:
 $\mathcal{E} \to Sets \& F_{B}, F_{C}$ are defined similarly), then we are done by the
Uniqueness of representing object, $Sec 2$ of Lec 13.
Define another functor $F_{B}': \mathcal{E} \to Sets$ sending a commive A-algebra.
 R to $\{(r_{1},..,r_{k})\in R^{k}\mid f_{i}(r_{1},..,r_{k})=0, i=1,..,k'\}$ and an A-algebra homomor-
phism $g: R' \to R^{2}$ to $F_{B}'(g): F_{B}'(R) \to F_{B}'(R^{2}), (r_{1},..,r_{k}) \mapsto (q(r_{k}),...,q(r_{k}))$.
-well-defined map $b_{C} \varphi(r_{k})..., \varphi(r_{k})$ is sent to $(\varphi(\bar{r}_{k})...,\varphi(\bar{r}_{k})) \in R^{k}$
here \bar{r}_{i} =image of x_{i} in B ; $(\varphi(\bar{r}_{i}),...,\varphi(\bar{r}_{k}) \in F_{B}'(R) b_{C} f_{i}((\varphi(\bar{x}_{i}),...,\varphi(\bar{r}_{k}))) = \varphi(f_{i}(x_{i},x_{k})) = 0$,
the map $P_{R}: \varphi \mapsto (q(\bar{r}_{i}),...,q(\bar{r}_{k}))$ is a bijection (by the description of homomor-
phisms from algebras given by generators & relations, Exercise 2 in Sec 0 of
Lec 2). To show (p_{R}) constitute a functor (iso)morphism is an exercise.
Similarly, we have $F_{C} \cong F_{C}', F_{D} \cong F_{D}'$. That $F_{D}' \cong F_{B}' \times F_{C}'$ is
an exercise. This completes the example.

Exercise: Let g_i^B be the image of $g_i \in A[x_1, ..., x_e]$ in $B[x_1, ..., x_e]$. Note the B&C is a B-algebra via C^{B} . Show that $B \otimes_{A} C \simeq B[x_{a}, ..., x_{e}]/(g^{B}_{a}, ..., g^{B}_{e},)$

Bonus: (co)induction of group representations. This bonus is aimed at students who took Math 353 in Spring 2023 (or know relevant representation theory). It's also based on Bonuses to Lecs 3 and 15. Let A, B be general (associative unital) rings & q: A > B be a homomorphism. Then it still makes sense to consider functors B⊗ ·: A-Mod → B-Mod & Hom, (B, ·): A-Mod → B-Mod (for an A-module M, the B-module structure on Hom (B, M) is given by [by](b')=q(b'b)). They are left & right adjoint of φ* An interesting situation is as follows. Let H=G be finite groups. Let F be a field. Set A=FH, B=FG and let q be the Inclusion A -> B. It turns out that the functors B & · & Hom, (B,.) in this case are isomorphic, both are referred to as the induction of group representations. The claim that these functors are adjoint to the pullback functor (a.K.a. the restriction functor) is known as the Frobenius reciprocity. This was discussed in Lectures 14 & 15 of MATH 353.