Lecture 2: Kings, ideals & modules II. a) Quatient rings: contrd. 1) Operations with idealy. 2) Maximal ideals. 3*) Why to care about ideals: connection to geometry Ref's: [AM], Chapter 1, Sections 3 and 6; [E], Sec 1.6. BONUS: Non-commutative counterparts 2. 0) Recall Proposition & Exercise 1 from Sec 3.2 of Lec 1. Examples (of quotient rings) 1) A = 72, I = (n) (=n72), A/I = 72/n72 - residues mod n. 2) A= 7/[x], de 7/ not a complete square, I:=(x2-d)cA. Then A/I is naturally identified with the subring Z[Va] = { a+6va a 6 = Z f of C Check: homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{T}[x] \to \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{I}], f(x):=f(\mathcal{I})$ • $\varphi(x^2 d) = 0 \Rightarrow I c \ker \varphi \rightsquigarrow \varphi \colon \mathcal{X}[x]/I \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}[J]$ • $\varphi(a+bx) = a+b \int x$ so φ is surjective $\Rightarrow [Exer 1] \varphi$ is surject • $\forall f \in \mathcal{R}[x] \exists a, b \in \mathcal{R} \& g(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x] | f(x) = a+bx+g(x)(x^2-d)$ $(exercise) \Rightarrow \ker \varphi = I \Rightarrow [Exer 1] \varphi$ is injective. So $\varphi: \frac{\pi}{2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{\pi}{3}$ an isomorphism. 1

Exercise 1 (to be used below) Here we compare sets of ideals in A & in A/I. Namely show that the following maps are mutually inverse bijections: J'(J) efideals JCA JD IJ = J $\underline{J} \in \{ ideals \ \underline{J} \subset A/I \} \ni \pi(J) = J/I$ This exercise is often useful when we study inclusions of ideals ICJCA. We could try to replace this triple w. EOJCJ/ICA/I & assume the smaller ideal is zero. Exercise 2: Let $F_y \in A[x_y, x_n], y \in Y$, where Y is a set Then there's a bijection between: (i) Ring homomorphisms A[x,...,xn]/(Fyly∈Y)→B and (ii) { φ , b_n , b_n }, where $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a neg homomorphism & $b_i \in B$ are s.t $F_{y}(b_{y,...}, b_{n}) = 0$ $\forall y \in Y$. Here $F_i \in B[x_{y,...}, x_{n}]$ is obtained from $F_{y} \in A[x_{y,...}, x_{n}]$ by applying φ to the coefficients.

This generalizes Example 2 from Section 2.

1) Operations with ideals Setting: A is commutative ring, pick ideals I, JCA.

Def: The sum I+J:= fa+6 aEI, 6EJZCA, The product $I:J:=\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}b_{i} \mid \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}, a_{i} \in I, b_{i} \in J\},\$ The ratio $I:J:=\{a \in A \mid a J \in I\},\$ The radical VI: = {a ∈ A [] n ∈ K, w. a" ∈ I }.

Proposition: INJ, I+J, IJ, I: J, JT are ideals.

Proof for JI (the other parts are exercises): Need to check (0) JI ≥0. (0) $\sqrt{1} = 0$. (1) $a \in A, b \in \sqrt{T} \Rightarrow ab \in \sqrt{T} \Rightarrow \sqrt{T}$ is abelian subgroup. (2) $q, b \in \sqrt{T} \Rightarrow a + b \in \sqrt{T}$ (2) $q, b \in \sqrt{T} \Rightarrow a + b \in \sqrt{T}$ bic A is commutative $(0) \leftarrow I \supset I$ (1): $b \in JI \Rightarrow \exists n \ w. \ b^n \in I \Rightarrow (ab)^n \neq a^n b^n \in I \Rightarrow ab \in JI.$ (2) $g \in \sqrt{I} \Rightarrow \exists n w. q^n \in I$ $(a+6)^{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} {\binom{2n}{i}} a^i b^{2n-i} \in I \Longrightarrow a+6 \in \sqrt{I}$ again, use that A is commive EI if izn EI if isn \square Example (generators): $I = (f_1, f_n), J = (g_1, g_m)$. Then: Exercise: Show that IJ = (fig: | i=1,-n, j=1,-m)

Rem: For INJ, I: J, JI - generators may be tricky... Example: $A = \mathcal{I}, I = (a)$. Want to compute \sqrt{I} : $a = p_1^{\mathcal{A}_k}, p_i$ primes, $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{70}$. $b \in \sqrt{I} \iff b^n$: a for some $n \iff b: p_1 \dots p_k \iff \sqrt{(a)^2} = (p_1 \dots p_k)$. divisible by Exercise: for general A, I, show $\sqrt{JI} = JI$. 2) Maximal ideals 2.1) Definition Def: An ideal m < A is maximal if: $\cdot m \neq A$ · If M' another ideal st M = M' & A, then M'= M. i.e. maximal = maximal w.r.t. inclusion among ideals = A. Lemma (equivalent characterization): TFAE: (1) Kn is maximal (2) A/m is a field

Proof: We claim that both (1)&(2) are equivalent to: (3) The only two ideals in A/m are for & A/m.

4

(1) ⇐ (3): b/c of bijection { ideals in A containing m } ⇐ { ideals in A/m }, Exercise 1 in Sec 0.

(3) ⇐ (2): Remark & exercise in the end of Section 3.1 of Lecture 1. Π

2.2) Examples of maximal ideals. 1) A= TL, so every ideal is of the form (a):=aTL for aETL. (a) is maximal (=> a is prime. Indeed, the inclusion (a) = (b) is equivalent to 6:a.

2) A= F[x] (F is field), (f) is maximal (=> f is irreducible, for the same reason as in the previous example. For example, for F= C. (or any alg. closed field), the maximal ideals are exactly (X-d) for deff.

 $3) A = \mathbb{F} [x_1, x_n]$ $d=(d_1,\ldots,d_n)\in F^n \longrightarrow K_n := \{f\in F(x_1,\ldots,x_n], f(\alpha)=0\} \text{ is an ideal}$ (exercise). We claim it's maximal ⇒ ideal I ₽ m, contains 1. ∃ fEI w f(a) ≠ 0. Write f as polynomial in x,-d,...x,-d, (EM,) $\sim f = f(\alpha) + g \quad w. \quad g \in M_{\alpha} \subset I \implies f(\alpha) \in I \implies 1 \in I.$ In fact, this way we get all max ideals in F[x,.x], if F is algebraically closed. This is a special case of the result known as the "weak Nullstellensatz" to be proved later in the class.

2.3) Existence. Proposition: Every nonzero (commutative) ring has at least one maximal ideal.

We will prove this later for "Noetherian" rings (all ideals are finitely generated), a justification is that essentially every ring we encounter in this course is Noetherian. The general proof is based on Zorn's lemma from Set theory (axiom of choice).

Definitions: let X be a set. · A partial order = on X is a binary relation s.t.

· Y⊆X is linearly ordered (under ≤) if Hx, y∈Y have x=y or y=x. • poset = a set equipped with partial order.

Example: X:={ideals I < A / I = A}, <:= 5

Zorn lemma: Let X be a poset. Suppose that: (*) & linearly ordered subset Y = X = an upper bound in X, 6

i.e $x \in X$ s.t. $y \le x \neq y \in Y$ Then \exists a maximal element $z \in X$ (i.e. $x \in X \& z \le x \Rightarrow z = x$).

Note that both the condition & the conclusion are essentially vacuous for finite sets

Proof of Proposition: X, ≤ are as in Example. Want to show (*): let Y be linearly ordered subset of X, being linearly ordered in our case means: # I, J EY have I S J or J ST. Set I = U I. We claim this is an ideal, # A (note: unlike the intersection, the union of ideals may fail to be an ideal). Need to show : (i) \tilde{I} is an ideal $\ll a+b\in \tilde{I}$ as long as $gb\in \tilde{I}$, Check: $a, b \in \tilde{I} = \bigcup I \Rightarrow \exists I, J \in Y \text{ s.f. } a \in I, b \in J.$ Can assume $I \subseteq J \Rightarrow a, b \in J \Rightarrow a+b \in J \subseteq \tilde{I}$. This shows (i). $(ii) \quad \widetilde{I} \neq A \iff 1 \notin \widetilde{I}$ I. is an ideal But $1 \notin I$ for every $I \notin Y \implies \widetilde{I} = (I \nexists I)$ Apply Zorn's lemma to finish the proof of Proposition.

3) Why to care about ideals: connection to geometry Let F be an infinite field and A:= F[x,...x,]. We can view elements of A as (polynomial) functions $F^{n} \rightarrow F$ (since Fis infinite different polynomials give different functions exercise (using induction on n); of course, not every function $F^{n} \rightarrow F$ is polynomial. Let $X \subset F^{n}$ be a subset Important exercise: $I(x) = \{f \in A \mid f|_x = 0\}$ is an ideal (compare to $E_X 3$ in Sec 2.2). Further $I(x) = \sqrt{I(x)}$

By a polynomial subset in F" we mean the set of solutions of some system of polynomial equations. The following is one of the basic results in Commutative algebra (to be proved much later) Thm (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz): Suppose IF is algebraically closed. The map $X \mapsto I(x)$ is a bijection between: · polynomial subsets of F" • ideals $I \subset A$ s.t. $I = \sqrt{I}$.

Polynomial subsets of F (a.K.a. affine algebraic varieties) are basic spaces studied in Algebraic geometry. Null-stellensatz is just the first connection between Algebvaic geometry and Commutative algebra that, in particular, tells us that ideals have geometric significance.

BONUS: Non-commutative counterparts part 2.

B1) Proper generalizations or what we discussed in this lecture will be for two-sided ideals. For two such ideals I, I it still manes sense to talk about INJ, I+J, IJ, I: J - those are still 2-sided idealy. For JI the situation is more interesting: the definition we gave doesn't produce an ideal (look at I= 103 in Matz(C)]. Under some additil assumptions, still can define a 2-sided ideal. We'll explain this for I=103, for the general case just take the preimage of Vro3 = A/I under A-M/I.

Definition: A two-sided ideal ICA is called <u>infootent</u> if ∃ n∈ Zzo] In= {03.

Exercise: The sum of two nicpotent ideals is a nicpotent ideal.

Under additional assumption: A 15 "Northerian" for 2-sided ideals there's an automatically unique maximal nilpotent ideal. We take this ideal for NO3?

B2) Now we discuss maximal idealy. Definition: A ring A is called simple if it has only 2 two-sided ideals, Eo3 & A.

Exercise: Maty (F) is simple for any field F.

Premium exercise: Weyl = F < x, y7 / (xy - yx - i) is simple if Char F = 0 & not simple if Char F > 0.

A two-sided ideal mcA is maximal if A/m is simple.

