

Lecture 8: modules over PID, II.

1) Continuation of proof from last lecture

2) Localization of rings.

See refs for Lec 7; + [AM], Intro to Sec 3.

1.1) Proof of existence.

Reminder: A is PID, M is a finitely generated A -module.

Thm (Sec 2, Lec 7) 1) $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, primes $p_1, \dots, p_\ell \in A$, $d_1, \dots, d_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
s.t.

$$M \cong A^{\oplus k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} A/(p_i^{d_i})$$

2) k & $(p_1^{d_1}), \dots, (p_\ell^{d_\ell})$ are uniquely determined by M .

In Sec 3.1, Lec 7, we have reduced 1) of the theorem to:

Claim: Let $N \subset A^{\oplus n}$ be A -submodule. Then \exists basis $e'_1, \dots, e'_n \in A^{\oplus n}$
 $r \leq n$, $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $N = \text{Span}_A(f_1 e'_1, \dots, f_r e'_r)$.

Pick $m \in A^{\oplus n} \setminus \{0\}$. We've defined $\text{GCD}(m) \in A \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. if $m = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i e'_i$
for a basis e'_1, \dots, e'_n , then $\text{GCD}(m) = \text{GCD}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ (i.e. $\text{GCD}(m)$ is
independent of the choice of a basis). We've seen:

\exists basis e'_1, \dots, e'_n s.t. $m = d e'_1$ w. $d = \text{GCD}(m)$.

This is Sec 3.2 of Lec 7.

Proof of Claim: We argue by induction on n : suppose we know the claim for submodules of $A^{\oplus n-1}$. Take $m \in N \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $(\text{GCD}(m))$ is maximal among all $(\text{GCD}(m'))$, $m' \in N$, - it exists b/c A is Noetherian and hence every nonempty set of ideals contains a max'l element (Sec 1.2 of Lec 5).

Take a basis $e_1'', \dots, e_n'' \in A^{\oplus n}$ s.t. $m = de_1''$, $d = \text{GCD}(m)$. We claim that

(*) every element of N is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i''$ w. $a_i \mid d$.

Let $m' = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i'' \in N$. Let $d_0 := \text{GCD}(d, a_1) \Rightarrow \exists x, y \in A$ w. $d_0 = xd + ya_1$. Consider $xm + ym' = d_0 e_1'' + \sum_{i=2}^n ya_i e_i'' \in N$. Then $\text{GCD}(xm + ym')$ = [(ii) of Lemma in Sec 2.3] = $\text{GCD}(d_0, ya_2, \dots, ya_n)$ divides d_0 . By the choice of m , $(d_0) = (d) \Rightarrow d_0 \mid d$. So $a_1 \mid d$ proving (*).

Set $N_0 := N \cap \text{Span}_A(e_2'', \dots, e_n'')$. We claim that

(1) $N = N_0 \oplus A d e_1''$, as submodules in $A^{\oplus n}$

Indeed, $N_0 \cap A d e_1'' = \{0\}$, and (*) implies $N = N_0 + A d e_1''$.

Now apply inductive assumption to $N_0 \subset \text{Span}_A(e_2'', \dots, e_n'') \simeq A^{\oplus n-1}$.

We get a basis $e_2', \dots, e_n' \in \text{Span}_A(e_2'', \dots, e_n'')$ & f_2, \dots, f_r w. $N_0 = \text{Span}_A(f_2 e_2', \dots, f_r e_r')$. Then take $f_1 := d$, $e_1' := e_1''$. (1) implies the claim \square

1.2) Proof of part 2 of Thm: uniqueness.

Fix a prime ideal $(p) \subset A$ & $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Consider $p^s M = (p)^s M$, an A -submodule of M (a special case of taking products of ideal and submodule, Sec 2.2 in Lec 4.)

We have $p^{s+1}M \subset p^s M \twoheadrightarrow$ quotient $p^s M / p^{s+1} M$. The ideal (p) annihilates the quotient, so it can be viewed as $A/(p)$ -module (Sec 2.3 of Lec 4). By Sec 1 of Lec 7, (p) is maximal ideal, so $A/(p)$ is a field. Also $p^s M$ is fin. gen'd over $A \Rightarrow p^s M / p^{s+1} M$ is finitely generated, so

$$d_{p,s}(M) := \dim_{A/(p)} p^s M / p^{s+1} M < \infty.$$

Proposition: For $M \simeq A^{\oplus k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^e A/(p_i^{d_i})$, we have

$$d_{p,s}(M) = k + \#\{i \mid (p_i) = (p) \ \& \ d_i > s\}.$$

Once we know the numbers on the right, 2) of Thm is proved: the number of occurrences of $A/(p^s)$ is $d_{p,s-1}(M) - d_{p,s}(M)$ and $k = d_{p,s}(M)$ for all s s.t. $s > d_i \ \forall i$

Proof of Prop'n:

Step 1: explain how $d_{p,s}$ behaves on direct sums:

Claim: $d_{p,s}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = d_{p,s}(M_1) + d_{p,s}(M_2)$.

Proof of the claim:

$$p^s(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \underset{\cup}{p^s M_1} \oplus \underset{\cup}{p^s M_2} \quad (\text{as submodules in } M_1 \oplus M_2 \text{ w. } p^{s+1} M_i \subset p^s M_i).$$

$$p^{s+1}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = p^{s+1} M_1 \oplus p^{s+1} M_2$$

$\twoheadrightarrow p^s(M_1 \oplus M_2) / p^{s+1}(M_1 \oplus M_2) \simeq p^s M_1 / p^{s+1} M_1 \oplus p^s M_2 / p^{s+1} M_2$
and the claim follows: the dimension of the direct sum of

vector spaces is the sum of dimensions of summands

Step 2: Need to compute $d_{p,s}$ of possible summands of M :

$$A, A/(p^t), A/(q^t), (q) \neq (p).$$

i) A :

$$A \xrightarrow{p^s} p^s A \text{ is a module isomorphism}$$

$$\begin{matrix} A \\ \cup \\ (p) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{matrix} p^s A \\ \cup \\ p^{s+1} A \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} p^s A / p^{s+1} A \xleftarrow{p^s \cdot ?} A/(p) \text{ as vector spaces}$$

over the field $A/(p) \Rightarrow d_{p,s}(A) = 1.$

ii) $A/(p^t) =: M'$; if $s \geq t \Rightarrow p^s M' = \{0\} \Rightarrow d_{p,s}(M') = 0$
if $s < t \Leftrightarrow (p^s) \supseteq (p^t)$ so

$$p^s M' / p^{s+1} M' \simeq p^s A / p^{s+1} A \text{ as } A/(p)\text{-modules.}$$

so $d_{p,s}(M') = 1$ by i)

iii) $M'' = A/(q^t)$ but q, p are coprime so $(q^t) + (p^s) = A$
 $\Rightarrow p^s M'' = p^{s+1} M'' = M'' \Rightarrow p^s M'' / p^{s+1} M'' = 0$

Summing the contributions from the summands together, we arrive at the claim of the theorem \square

Example: $A = \mathbb{F}[x]$ (\mathbb{F} is alg. closed field), M finite dim'l / \mathbb{F}
($\Leftrightarrow \kappa = 0$), $p = x - \lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$), X is the operator given by x .
 $p^s M = \text{Im}(X - \lambda I)^s \Rightarrow d_{p,s}(M) = \text{rk}(X - \lambda I)^s - \text{rk}(X - \lambda I)^{s+1}$

Corollary of Prop'n : Two matrices $X_1, X_2 \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ are conjugate $\Leftrightarrow \text{rk}(X_1 - \lambda I)^s = \text{rk}(X_2 - \lambda I)^s \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.
(b/c conjugate matrices \Leftrightarrow isomorphic $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -modules).

2) Localization We've seen a bunch of constructions of rings:

- direct products
- rings of polynomials
- quotient rings
- completions (HW 1)

Now we discuss another construction w. rings - localization. It generalizes the construction of \mathbb{Q} from \mathbb{Z} . The general construction takes a commutative ring A and a suitable subset of A .

Definition: A subset $S \subset A$ is **multiplicative** if

- $1 \in S$
- $s, t \in S \Rightarrow st \in S$

Now we proceed to defining the localization $A[S^{-1}]$.

Consider $A \times S$ (product of sets), equip it w. equivalence relation \sim defined by

$$(*) (a, s) \sim (b, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists u \in S \mid uta = usb.$$

Exercise: Check that \sim is indeed an equivalence relation.

Let $A[S^{-1}]$ be the set of equivalence classes. The class of (a, s) will be denoted by $\frac{a}{s}$.

Addition & multiplication in $A[S^{-1}]$ are introduced by:

$$\frac{a_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_2}{s_2} := \frac{s_2 a_1 + s_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2}, \quad \frac{a_1}{s_1} \frac{a_2}{s_2} := \frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2}$$

Proposition: These operations are well-defined (the result depends only on $\frac{a_1}{s_1}, \frac{a_2}{s_2}$, not on $(a_1, s_1), (a_2, s_2)$) & equip $A[S^{-1}]$ w. structure of a commutative ring (w. unit $\frac{1}{1}$). Moreover, $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}], a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$, is a ring homomorphism.

Proof: omitted in order not to make everybody very bored...

Def'n: The ring $A[S^{-1}]$ is called the **localization** of A (w.r.t. S).

Examples: 1) Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ & $S = \{1, 2, 4\}$. Every equivalence class in $A \times S$ contains a unique element of the form $(a, 2)$ w. $a = 0, 2, 4$. The homomorphism $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$ is surjective (e.g. $1 \rightarrow \frac{2}{2}$) and the kernel is (3) . So $A[S^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Details are **exercise**.

2) $S = \{\text{all invertible elements in } A\}$ is multiplicative. Every

equivalence class in $A \times S$ contains a unique element of the form $(a, 1)$ and ι is a ring isomorphism. Details are also an exercise.

Exercise: $A[S^{-1}]$ is the zero ring $\Leftrightarrow 0 \in S$.