Bonus lecture B1: Connections to Algebraic geometry III. 1) Algebra homomorphisms vs polynomial maps 2) L'ategory of affine varieties/schemes. 3) More general varieties/schemes. Refs: [V], Sec. 9.6; [E], Sec 1.9.

1) Algebra homomorphisms vs polynomial maps. Let IF be algebraically closed field. Let X be an algebraic subset of IF" (the set of solutions to a system of polynomial equations). Recall that to X we assign the ideal I(X)= = $\{f \in [F[x_1, \dots, x_n] | f|_X = 0\}$ & the algebra $[F[x] = [F[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(x)]$ whose elements can be interpreted as polynomial functions on X. The natural projection F[x,...x,] ->> F[X] is the restriction to X. Set $\overline{X_i} := X_i|_X$, note that $\overline{X_i} = \overline{X_i}$ generate the algebra F[X].

1.1) Polynomial maps. Definition: Let XCF, YCF be algebraic subsets. A map $\varphi: X \to Y$ is called polynomial if $\exists f_1, f_m \in F[X]$ s.t. $\varphi(\alpha) = (f_1(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) \quad \forall \alpha \in X \quad (in particular, (f_1(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) \in Y).$

Kem: polynomial map $X \rightarrow F = polynomial function on X.$

Exercise: the composition of polynomial maps is polynomial.

In particular, let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a polynomial map $\xi \in [-1Y]$, i.e. $q: Y \longrightarrow F$. Consider the composed polynomial map $q \circ \varphi: X \to F$. When viewed as an element of [F[X], gog will be denoted by q*(g) and called the pullback from g (under q).

Lemma: 1) $\varphi^*: F[Y] \rightarrow F[X] is algebra homomorphism.$ 2) In the generators $\overline{y}_{,}(:=y_{,}|_{y}), \varphi^{*}(\overline{y}_{,}) = f_{,}$ Proof:

1) is exercise, compare to Problem 5 in HW3. $z): \varphi^{\ast}(\overline{\varphi},)(\alpha) = \overline{\varphi}(\varphi(\alpha)) = f(\alpha) \Longrightarrow \varphi^{\ast}(\overline{\varphi}) = f_{\cdot}.$ \square

Examples: 1) The inclusion map (: X C> [F" is polynomial, (* F[x_,...x_n] - F[X] is the restriction map. More generally, if XCYCF" are algebraic subsets, then the inclusion map L: X > Y 15 polynomial & (*: FLY] -> FLX] is g +>g|x.

2) X = F, $Y = V(y_1^2 - y_2^3) \subset F^2$, $Q: X \to Y$, $x \mapsto (x_1^3 - x_1^2)$ is a polynomial map. The ideal (y, 2-y2) is prime (Problem 3 in HWG) hence radical, so $F[Y] = F[y_1, y_2]/(y_1^2 - y_2^3)$. By 2) of Lemma, $\varphi^{*}(\bar{y}) = \chi^{3}, \varphi^{*}(\bar{y}_{1}) = \chi^{2}, this determines \varphi^{*}$ uniquely because J, J, generate the algebra FLY].

1.2) Main result. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem: $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$ defines a bijection between: (I) { polynomial maps $\varphi: X \to Y$ (I) { algebra homomorphisms F[4] → F[X]}.

Proof: Given q= (f_1,...,f_m), q* is the Unique algebra homomorphism $F[Y] \longrightarrow F[X] \text{ s.t. } \varphi^{*}(\overline{y}_{i}) = f_{i} \text{ We'll use this observation}$ to construct the inverse map $(II) \rightarrow (I).$ Given an algebra homomorphism τ: F[Y] → F[X] define $\psi_{\tau}: X \longrightarrow F^{m}$ by $\psi_{\tau}:=(\tau(\overline{y},),...,\tau(\overline{y},))$. We claim im $\psi_{\tau} \subset Y$ (so that ψ_{τ} can be viewed as a polynomial map $X \longrightarrow Y$). We have $\operatorname{im} \psi_{\tau} \subset Y \iff G(\operatorname{im} \psi_{\tau}) = 0 \quad \forall \quad G \in I(Y) \iff$ $G\left(T(\overline{y},),\dots T(\overline{y}_{m})\right)=0$ (*) Note that G(y, , ym)=0 b/c GEI(Y) & T preserves polynomial relations 6/c it's an algebra homomorphism. (*) follows. So we have maps $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*: (I) \stackrel{()}{\Longrightarrow} (I): T \mapsto \varphi_{\overline{z}}$. We have $\psi_{\overline{z}}^*(\overline{y_i}) = [ith coordinate in T] = \tau(\overline{y_i}) \Rightarrow \varphi_{\overline{z}}^* = \tau \ 6/c \ \overline{y_i}'s \ generate.$ On the other hand, $\psi_{\varphi*} = (\varphi^*(\bar{q}_{1}), \dots, \varphi^*(\bar{q}_{m})) = (\varphi_{1}, \dots, \varphi_{m}) = \varphi$. So these maps are mutually inverse, finishing the proof.

2) Lategory of affine varieties/schemes. 2.1) Affine varieties: Similarly to Problem 5 in HW3, we see that: · for algebraic subsets XCF, YCF, ZCF & polynomial $\underbrace{meps \ \varphi: X \to Y, \psi: Y \to Z, we have \ (\psi \circ \varphi)^* = \varphi^* \circ \psi^*}_{3]}$

• (idx)*= id_F[x]

Exercise: prove that, in the notation of the proof of Thm YET' = YE' YE & Yid = id.

So far we have discussed algebraic subsets as subsets of IF." A natural question (motivated, for example, by a similar issue with C-manifolds: embedded into R" vs defined abstractly) is: can we define "algebraic subsets invespective of embedding" a. K. a. affine varieties.

Our first observation is that on the level of algebras the inclusion i: X → [Fⁿ corresponds to the natural surjective map [F[x,...,x_n] → [F[X], in other words, to the identification [F[X]] = [F[x,...,x_n]/I(X) (which is how the algebra [F[X]] was defined to start with). So to "forget" the inclusion should mean to forget the identification [F[X]] = [F[x,...x_n]/I(X). The language of Category theory allows to do this. Consider categories:

1) C: Ob(C) = Ifin. generated F-algebras A w/o nontero milpotents: a∈ A, aⁿ=0 for some n but a≠03. Morphisms: homomorphisms of algebras.

2) C: Ob(C) = [algebras of the form F(x, x,]/I for some n& $\frac{radical ideal [< F[x_1,...,x_n]]}{4}$

So objects of E are labelled by pairs (n, I). Morphisms in E are algebra homomorphisms. 3) $D: (b(\tilde{D}) = \{algebraic subsets in some F^{+}\}$ Morphisms: polynomial maps. By what was explained in the beginning of this section we have functors: $\mathfrak{F}: \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\operatorname{opp}}: X \mapsto \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathbb{I}(X), \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$ $\mathcal{L}: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{opp}} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}: A = \operatorname{F[x_n, \dots, x_n]}/I \mapsto V(I), \tau \mapsto \varphi_{\tau}.$ Crucially important exercise: F&G are mutually inverse. Note that there is an obvious functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, it is full and essentially surjective so is a category equivalence, see Bonus to Lec 13. Definition: The category of affine varieties (over F) is D:= C. PP The objects in D can be thought of as algebraic subsets "irrespective" of embedding into F." This is because in C compared to E we no longer view our algebras as given by F[x,...,x,]/I. The morphisms in D are still polynomial maps.

5

Example: Let X = F & X = V(X = X,2) - F. They have isomorphic algebras of functions: polynomials in one variable but different embeddings. into F".s. From the point of view of algebraic geometry they behave in the same way so can be viewed as the same variety.

While this definition of an affine variety looks like cheeting, we can talk, among other things, about · Algebra of polynomial functions [[X] of an affine variety X (X viewed as an object of C) · Points of X: algebra homomorphisms IF[x] -> IF (compare w. Corollary in Sec 1.2 of Lec 23). · The Zeriski topology on X. Etc.

Kemark: We can generalize the definition of an affine variety to include more general algebras (removing the conditions that our algebras have no nonzero nilpotent elements / are finitely generated) and even general commutative rings: we can define the categories of affine schemes as the opposite category of the category of affine rings. This is useful for various purposes, which are studied in courses on scheme-theoretic algebraic geometry and go beyond the purpose of this introduction

3) More general varieties/schemes. 3.1) What is an algebraic variety. We've discussed affine (algebraic) varieties. Now we are going to address the question in the title. A common approach to constructing geometric objects is to "glue" them from simpler objects. For example, C[~] manifolds are glued from balls in Euclidian spaces: $M = \bigcup_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}$, where $D_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\alpha}}$ {vell' | ||v||<1}. The condition is roughly, that for all & p in the index set, the images of D, AD, under 4, 4, are open subsets in {v ∈ IR" / 11v11<13 and the resulting composition $\varphi_{\beta} \circ \varphi_{\alpha}^{-1} := \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\beta} \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\beta}} \varphi_{\beta} \left(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\beta} \right)$ is C[∞] (which makes sense ble this is a map between open subsets in IP"). Thanks to this definition it makes to speak about various C-objects, e.g. C-maps M-N. Similarly, it makes sense to speak about complex analytic manifolds: we use balls in C and require that qoog'is complex analytic (you might have studied that for n=1in which case the resulting objects appear when you study analytic continuation of holomorphic functions).

Something like this happens for algebraic varieties too. The building blocks are affine algebraic varieties and they are glued together using polynomial isomorphisms: if the variety of interest is reasonable ("separated" in a suitable sense) the inter-¥

section of two open affine subvarieties is again affine so we can just use what we have in this lecture. We can define the notion of a polynomial map (a. K.a. Morphism): $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism if we can cover $X = UU_i, Y = UV_j$ w. open affine varieties s.t. Vi ∃j| q(Ui) cV; & g:U; →V; is a polynomial map of affine varieties.

3.2) Projective varieties and graded algebras, Here comes one of the most important example of the construction sketched above: projective varieties. We start with F" (viewed as a vector space). The projective Space IP" (= IP (F")) as a set consists of 1-dimensional subspaces in F. In other words, it consists of equivalence classes $[x_0:...:x_n]$ w. $(x_0,...x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, where equivalent means proportional. Let us explain how gluing works.

Let $U_i = \{ [x_0 : \dots : x_n] | x_i \neq 0 \}$, $i = 0, \dots n$. Then the map $\mathcal{U}_{i} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i}} \mathcal{F}^{n} \left[x_{0}; \ldots; x_{n} \right] \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i}} \left(\frac{x_{0}}{x_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{x_{i-1}}{x_{i}}, \frac{x_{i+1}}{x_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{x_{n}}{x_{i}} \right) \text{ is a bijection that}$ will be used to identify U; w. F." Note that q; (U; MU.) is given by non-venishing of a single coordinate so is an affine variety (a principal open subset in F"). And one can show that $\varphi_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-\prime} := \varphi_{i}(\mathcal{U}_{i}, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}_{i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{i}(\mathcal{U}_{i}, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}_{i})$ is a polynomial isomorphism.

8

Example: let n=2. Let $y = \frac{X_i}{X_0} \& y = \frac{X_0}{X_1}$ be coordinates on $\varphi_0(U_0) \simeq \Gamma \& \varphi_1(U_1) \simeq \Gamma$. Then $\varphi_1(U_0 \cap U_1)$ is given by yi to & go go' sends y to yo', which is a polynomial isomorphism as we have inverted y.

So [Pⁿ is an algebraic variety in the sense of Sec 3.1.

One can generalize this construction. Let F. F. E.F. [x. x.) be homogeneous polynomials of degree 70. If F: vanishes at a nontero point in Fⁿ⁺¹, then it also vanishes on the line between this point & O. So it makes sense to speak about the zero locus of F; in P" (note that F; is NOT a function $\mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$). This gives rise to the zero locus $V(F_{\mu}, F_{\mu})$ and hence to the notion of an algebraic subset of P."

Exercise: V(F1,...Fx) AU; is an algebraic subset in U; ~F."

So $V(F_{\mu}, F_{\mu})$ is an algebraic variety, varieties of that kind are called projective.

Here's a reason why we care about them. Let F = C. So C^n has the usual topology. And so does P^n with U_i 's being open subsets.

Important exercise: IP" is compact - in the usual topology.

And so, every $V(F_1, F_k)$ is compact. In Geometry & Topology we like compact spaces more than noncompact as they behave better in many ways. And while not all compact (in the usual topology) algebraic varieties are projective, the projective ones are nicest

Now we discuss a connection between projective varieties & graded algebras. The vanishing locus of $V(F_1, ..., F_k)$ depends only on (F1...Fk), a homogeneous ideal.

Exercise: If I < F[x,...,x,] is a homogeneous ideal, then so is its radical.

In fact, V(F_1.-F_k) only depends on V(F_1...F_k), similarly to the affine case. This gives vise to a bijection between · Algebraic subsets of P^h • and radical homogeneous ideals in F[xo, ... xn] not containing 1.

Exercise: What ideal convesponds to p.

So starting from an elgebraic subset in \mathbb{P}^n we get a fingenid graded algebra w/o nilpotent elements, the quotient of $\mathbb{F}[x_0, ..., x_n]$ by the corresponding ideal. Note that the elements of this algebra 10

are not functions on the initial algebraic subset of P." Conversely, let $A = \bigoplus A_i$ be a fingenial graded F-algebra w/o nilpotents s.t. A = F. From this algebra we can construct a projective variety. Namily, if A is generated by A, (A is a graded guotient of F[x, x,]), then we consider the algebraic subset of P" defined by the vernel of Flx. x.] - , which is a homogeneous ideal. In general - if A isn't generated by A, - we have the following: Exercise: $\exists d = d = s.t A_{(d)}$; = $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_{di}$ is generated by A_{di} . A fun fact: the projective variety we get is independent of the choice of d up to an isomorphism. Example: Take A= F[x, x,] (w. usual grading). It gives rise to the projective line P. Now consider A(2). It's generated by $y_0:=x_0^2, y_1:=X_0X_1, y_2:=X_1^2$ The veletions between the elements y_0 , y_1, y_2 are generated by $y_0y_1 - y_1^2$. The corresponding algebraic subset is $[[y_0:y_1:y_2] / y_0y_2 = y_1^2] \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Denote it by X. We are going to construct two mutually inverse polynomial maps between D'&X. Let y: D' -> X be given by $[X_{o}: X,] \rightarrow [X_{o}^{2}: X_{o}X_{i}: X_{i}^{2}]$ Now we define $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$:

11

 $\psi([y_{0}:y_{1}:y_{1}]) = \begin{cases} [y_{0}:y_{1}], if y_{2} \neq 0 \\ [y_{1}:y_{1}], if y_{0} \neq 0. \end{cases}$

Exercise: Check q, y are well-defined & mutually inverse maps. Furthermore, check that q, y are morphisms (in the sense explained in the end of Section 3.1).

A connection with projective varieties is one of the versons to care about graded algebras.