

Lazy approach to categories \mathcal{O}, III .

1) Description of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, R, \Sigma}^{\Delta}$

1.0) Recap

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $R = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\wedge_0}$, $K = \text{Frac}(R)$, $\iota: \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow R$ be the natural inclusion. In Sec 2 of Part 2 we have produced a functor $Wh: \mathcal{O}_{\lambda, R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R\text{-mod}$ and proved that:

- It's faithful on $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{\Delta}$
- & fully faithful on $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, R}^{\Delta}$

Our goal now is to give a description of the full subcategory $Wh(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, R, \Sigma}^{\Delta}) \subset \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R\text{-mod}$. An additional ingredient is the analysis of subgeneric behavior done in Sec 1 of Part 2.

1.1) Target category. Recall (Sec 2.1 of Part 2) that

$Wh(\Delta_{\lambda, R}(\lambda)) \simeq R$ where $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts via:

$$\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{(W, \cdot)} \hookrightarrow S(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \underset{\cup}{R}$$

$\mathfrak{h} \in X \mapsto (x) + \langle \lambda + \gamma, x \rangle$

In particular, let $\mathfrak{m}_{\vec{\Sigma}} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the maximal ideal of $\lambda + \gamma$ for $\lambda \in \vec{\Sigma}$ (the same for all such λ). We see that

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\vec{\Sigma}} \text{Wh}(\Delta_{\nu, \rho}(\lambda)) \subset \text{Wh}(\Delta_{\nu, \rho}(\lambda)) \mathfrak{m}_{\vec{\Sigma}}.$$

Since every object $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\nu, \rho, \vec{\Sigma}}$ has a finite filtration by quotients of $\Delta_{\nu, \rho}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \vec{\Sigma}$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_{\vec{\Sigma}}^k \text{Wh}(M) \subset \text{Wh}(M) \mathfrak{m}_{\vec{\Sigma}}$, where k is the length of the filtration.

It follows that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \ni \text{Wh}(M)$ canonically extends to the completion $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})^{\wedge_{\vec{\Sigma}}}$ at $\mathfrak{m}_{\vec{\Sigma}}$.

Now we examine the structure of $\vec{\Sigma} = W \cdot (\lambda + \gamma) \cap \gamma + \Lambda$ where Λ is the root lattice. Note that for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have $w \cdot (\lambda + \gamma) \in \gamma + \Lambda \Leftrightarrow w\lambda - \lambda \in \Lambda \Leftrightarrow w \in \text{im}[\text{Stab}_{W \rtimes \Lambda}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow W]$

Since $W \rtimes \Lambda$ is a reflection group, Stab & its image are reflection subgroups to be denoted by $W_{[\gamma]}$. Every $\vec{\Sigma}$ is a $W_{[\gamma]}$ -orbit hence contains a unique element $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}_{\vec{\Sigma}}$ s.t. $\bar{\lambda} + \gamma$ is anti-dominant for $W_{[\gamma]}$ (for the positive root system consisting of positive roots of W). Let $W^{\circ} = \text{Span}_{W_{[\gamma]}}(\bar{\lambda} + \gamma)$.

Note that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})^{\wedge_{\vec{\Sigma}}}$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}^{W^{\circ}}$. More precisely, we have the following elementary but important

2]

Exercise 1: 1) the action of $Z(\mathfrak{g})^{\wedge \Sigma}$ on $\text{Wh}(\Delta_{\nu, R}(\lambda^-)) \simeq R$ is via an embedding $Z(\mathfrak{g})^{\wedge \Sigma} \hookrightarrow R$ whose image is R^{W^0} .

Denote it by η .

2) The action of $Z(\mathfrak{g})^{\wedge \Sigma}$ on $\text{Wh}(\Delta_{\nu, R}(w\lambda^-))$ for $w \in W_{[\Sigma]}$ is via $w \circ \eta$, where we view w as an automorphism of R .

We need to shrink the target category (technical!)

Exercise 2: Use 2) and $Q_{\nu, R, \Sigma}$ being highest weight to show \exists an ideal $I \subset R^{W^0} \otimes R$

a) $\text{Wh}(Q_{\nu, R, \Sigma}) \subset (R^{W^0} \otimes R)/I\text{-mod}$

b) $R^{W^0} \otimes R / \sqrt{I} = R^{W^0} \otimes_{R^W} R$ ($\Rightarrow R^{W^0} \otimes R / I$ is fin. gen. over R)

& I is generically radical ($\Leftrightarrow [R^{W^0} \otimes R / I] \otimes_R K \simeq K^{\otimes |W_{\Sigma}| / |W^0|}$)

One can make a much more precise (& elegant) statement - especially if one is Soergel:

Fact: We can take $R^{W^0} \otimes R / I = R^{W^0} \otimes_{R^W} R$.

3]

Conclusion: we have seen that the target category for Wh as well as images of standards are recovered from a reflection group, $W_{[+]}$, and its parabolic subgroup W° (and a reflection representation of $W_{[+]}$).

In Sec 1.3 we'll see that a similar claim is true for $Wh(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, R, \Sigma}^{\Delta})$.

1.2) Abstract nonsense

Suppose

- R is a regular complete Noetherian local ring, $\mathbb{F} := R/\mathfrak{m}$.
- \mathcal{C}_R is a highest weight category over R
- $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ is an R -linear abelian category equivalent to $A_R\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{f}_R}$, where A_R is an associative R -algebra that is a finitely generated R -module.
- $\mathfrak{F}_R: \mathcal{C}_R \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ is a right exact R -linear functor

Note that \mathfrak{F}_R is given by $B_R \otimes_{A_R} \cdot$, where B_R is an A_R - A_R -bimodule (w $\mathcal{C}_R \cong A_R\text{-mod}_{\mathfrak{f}_R}$). So for an R -algebra

S , we can consider $A_S := S \otimes_R A_R$, $\underline{A}_S := S \otimes_R \underline{A}_R$, $\mathcal{C}_S = A_S\text{-mod}_{fg}$, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_S$, $\mathcal{T}_S := B_S \otimes_{A_S} \bullet$, etc.

\mathcal{T}_R is supposed to satisfy the following conditions:

(a) $\mathcal{C}_R, \underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ are split semisimple \mathbb{K} -linear categories

& $\mathcal{T}_R: \mathcal{C}_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$

(b) $\mathcal{T}_R(\Delta_R(\tau))$ is flat over R & $L_i \mathcal{T}_R(\Delta_R(\tau)) = 0 \ \forall i > 0, \forall \tau$.

(c) \mathcal{T}_R is faithful on \mathcal{C}_R^Δ .

We call \mathcal{T}_R an **RS** (Rouquier-Soergel) **functor**. For example, take $\mathcal{C}_R = \mathcal{O}_{\downarrow, R, \Sigma}$ & let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_R = R^{w_0} \otimes R/I\text{-mod}$, $\mathcal{T}_R = \text{Wh}$.

Here are consequences of the axioms (a)-(c). First, \mathcal{T}_R is fully faithful on \mathcal{C}_R^Δ , cf. Premium Exercise 3 from Sec 2.2 of Lec 2. The Yoneda description of Ext^1 then shows that $\mathcal{T}_R: \mathcal{C}_R^\Delta \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ is injective on Ext^1 's.

Moreover, we can recover Ext^1 between objects of \mathcal{C}_R^Δ . Since $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ is semisimple there's a divisor $D \subset \text{Spec}(R)$, with the following property:

if $\underline{M}_R, \underline{N}_R \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ are flat over R , then $\text{Ext}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_R}^1(\underline{M}_R, \underline{N}_R)$

is supported on \mathcal{D} . Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subset R$ be the prime ideals corresponding to the components of \mathcal{D} . Let

$$L(R) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} - \text{a localization of } R.$$

We have maps $\mathcal{D}_R: \text{Ext}_{e_R}^1(M_R, N_R) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{e_{\underline{R}}}^1(\mathcal{D}_R M_R, \mathcal{D}_R N_R)$
 $\forall M_R, N_R \in \mathcal{C}_R^\Delta$, & similarly $\mathcal{D}_{L(R)}$.

We also have natural maps induced by localization functor L :

$$L: \text{Ext}_{e_R}^1(M_R, N_R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{e_{L(R)}}^1(M_{L(R)}, N_{L(R)}),$$

& similar maps for \underline{e}_R .

Here's the required description of $\text{Ext}_{e_R}^1(M_R, N_R)$.

Thm (I.L. 23) The following diagram is Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{e_R}^1(M_R, N_R) & \xrightarrow{L} & \text{Ext}_{e_{L(R)}}^1(M_{L(R)}, N_{L(R)}) \\ \mathcal{D}_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{L(R)} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Ext}_{e_R}^1(\underline{M}_R, \underline{N}_R) \xrightarrow{L} \text{Ext}_{e_{L(R)}}^1(\underline{M}_{L(R)}, \underline{N}_{L(R)})$$

where $\underline{M}_R := \mathcal{D}_R(M_R)$, etc, & $M_R, N_R \in \mathcal{C}_R^\Delta$.

Note that the bottom arrow depends only on \underline{e}_R ,
 while the right arrow only depends on the inclusions

$\mathcal{L}_{R_{\beta_i}}^{\Delta} \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{\beta_i}}$. Informally, once we have an RS functor, \mathcal{L}_p is recovered from the target category & its subgeneric behavior.

1.3) Back to \mathcal{O} .

As our first application of Sec 1.2 we give a proof of the following result due to Soergel.

Thm: A regular block of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, \Sigma}$ (one $w \in W^{\circ} = \{1, 3\}$) is determined (up to an equivalence of highest weight categories) by $W_{[1]}$.

There's an immediate generalization to singular blocks, which is proved similarly & is left as *premium exercise*.

Sketch of proof.

For $w \in W_{[1]}$, we write R_w for the R -bimodule R , where R acts from the right by $r \mapsto r$ and from the left by $r \mapsto w(r)$, so that $\text{Wh}(\Delta_p(w \cdot \lambda)) = R_w$.

7

Important (commutative algebra) exercise 1

$\text{Ext}_{R \otimes R}^1(R_u, R_w) \neq 0 \Rightarrow u^{-1}w = 1 \text{ or } s_\alpha$. Moreover, in the latter case this R -bimodule is

$$R_w / R_w \alpha \simeq R_u / R_u \alpha.$$

Thx to this exercise we can take $D = \bigcup \text{Spec}(R/(\alpha))$, where the union is taken over the positive roots of $W_{[1]}$.

Consider the corresponding localization $\mathcal{O}_{\rightarrow, R(\alpha), \vec{\Sigma}}^\Delta$. It splits into $|W|/2$ blocks and so does $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{R(\alpha)}$, the blocks correspond to s_α -orbits in $\vec{\Sigma}$. The functor $\mathcal{P}_{R(\alpha)}$ goes between blocks.

Let \mathbb{F}_α be the residue field of $R(\alpha)$.

Important exercise 2: Let $\lambda \in \vec{\Sigma}$ satisfy $\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$

Then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\rightarrow, R(\alpha)}}^1(\Delta_{R(\alpha)}(\lambda), \Delta_{R(\alpha)}(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda)) \neq 0$ hence W induces isomorphism with $\text{Ext}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{R(\alpha)}}^1(R_{w, (\alpha)}, R_{w s_\alpha, (\alpha)}) = \mathbb{F}_\alpha$ for $\lambda = w \cdot \lambda^-$.

This implies the following characterization of the image of the block: it consists of all objects M s.t. $\exists SES$

$$0 \rightarrow R_{W_{S_2}, (\alpha)}^{\oplus?} \rightarrow M \rightarrow R_{W, (\alpha)}^{\oplus?} \rightarrow 0$$

(w. $w \in W_{[\rightarrow]}$ shortest in its S_2 -coset). Informally: we get all extensions in the right direction and none in the wrong direction. Thm in Sec 1.2 now shows that Ext^1 between two objects in $\text{Wh}(\mathcal{O}_{\nu, R, \Sigma}^{\Delta})$ can be fully recovered inside their Ext^1 in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_R$ without actually knowing $\mathcal{O}_{\nu, R, \Sigma}^{\Delta}$. To finish the proof is left as an *exercise* \square