

Free Field Realization

Daishi Kiyohara

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	The finite-dimensional case	2
2.1	Recollection about vector fields	2
2.2	The homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[N_+] \otimes \mathfrak{h})$.	2
2.3	Geometric realization of dual Verma modules	3
3	Formulas for the action on vector fields	5
3.1	The case of \mathfrak{sl}_2	5
3.2	The general case	5
3.3	The homomorphism $\rho_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(N_+)$	6
4	The Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$	6
4.1	The ind-scheme $\mathcal{L}U$	7
4.2	Vector fields on $\mathcal{L}U$	7
4.3	The homomorphism $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$	8
4.4	The general case	10
5	The completed Weyl algebra	10
5.1	Definition	10
5.2	A filtration on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and a short exact sequence	11
5.3	Non-splitting	12
5.4	What comes next	13

Abstract

The notes are prepared for the seminar *Representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level* at MIT in Spring 2024.

1 Overview

We are in the process of proving an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{z}(V_{\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Op}_{LG}(D)]$, which further implies an isomorphism $Z(\widetilde{U}_{\kappa_c}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})) \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Op}_{LG}(D^\times)]$. The strategy for the proof is to embed both algebras inside $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*[[t]]dt]$.

We begin by reviewing the counterpart of the embedding $\mathfrak{z}(V_{\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*[[t]]dt]$ in the finite-dimensional case, which coincides with the map used in the Harish-Chandra isomorphism, as well as constructions that are useful in the affine case.

2 The finite-dimensional case

2.1 Recollection about vector fields

Recall that if we have a group action $\alpha : G \times X \rightarrow G$, with G a Lie group, there is an induced Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\alpha_* : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$$

sending $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ to the vector field $\alpha_*(z)$ on X defined by

$$(\alpha_*(z)f)(x) := \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} f(\exp(-\epsilon z)x).$$

Let us briefly review some properties of vector fields. For a smooth affine scheme X , the vector fields on X are precisely the derivations on the ring of functions $\mathbb{C}[X]$. For smooth affine schemes X and Y , we have a map $\text{Vect}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \otimes \text{Vect}(Y) \oplus \mathbb{C}[Y] \otimes \text{Vect}(X)$, or equivalently,

$$\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}[X] \otimes \mathbb{C}[Y]) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}[X] \otimes \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}[Y])) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[Y] \otimes \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}[X])) \quad (1)$$

which sends $\varphi \mapsto (\varphi|_{\mathbb{C}[Y]}, \varphi|_{\mathbb{C}[X] \otimes 1})$. It is an isomorphism of Lie algebras with respect to the Lie bracket on RHS defined by $[f\varphi, g\psi] = f\varphi(g)\psi - g\psi(f)\varphi$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, $\varphi \in \text{Vect}(Y)$, $g \in \mathbb{C}[Y]$ and $\psi \in \text{Vect}(X)$. Consequently, letting $D(X)$ be the algebra of differential operators on X , we can check that

$$D(X \times Y) = D(X) \otimes D(Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

Let G be an algebraic group. The Lie algebra of left-invariant (resp. right-invariant) vector fields \mathfrak{g}_l (resp. \mathfrak{g}_r) are identified with \mathfrak{g} .

The action of G on itself induces a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(G)$ which is equivariant for the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} and the left G -action on $\text{Vect}(G)$. It factors through an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}_r \subset \text{Vect}(G)$$

mapping $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ to $-x_r$ where x_r is the corresponding right G -equivariant vector field.

Remark 2.1. If G is abelian, then we can identify x_l and x_r . In general, letting $\iota : G \rightarrow G$ be the inversion, $d\iota$ gives an isomorphism between \mathfrak{g}_l and \mathfrak{g}_r .

Remark 2.2. The inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_l \subset D(G)^{G_l}$ lifts to an isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}_l) \cong D(G)^{G_l}$. Similarly, $D(G)^{G_r} \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_r)$.

2.2 The homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[N_+] \otimes \mathfrak{h})$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of rank ℓ with Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$, and let $\mathfrak{b}_\pm = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pm$ be Borel subalgebras.

Let G be the connected simply connected algebraic group corresponding to \mathfrak{g} , and let N_\pm and B_\pm be the unipotent and Borel subgroups corresponding to \mathfrak{n}_\pm and \mathfrak{b}_\pm respectively. There is an isomorphism of varieties $N_+ \times H \cong B_+$ sending $(n, t) \mapsto nt$. Note that $N_+ \times H$ admits the following actions:

- An N_+ -action from the left: $(n', (n, t)) \mapsto (n'n, t)$
- An H -action from the left: $(t', (n, t)) \mapsto (t'nt'^{-1}, t't)$
- An H -action from the right: $((n, t), t'') \mapsto (n, tt'')$

Now the isomorphism 1 becomes

$$\text{Vect}(N_+ \times H) \cong (\text{Vect}(N_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}[H]) \oplus (\text{Vect}(H) \otimes \mathbb{C}[N_+]) \otimes \mathbb{C}[N_+].$$

Noting that right H -action is trivial on \mathfrak{h} and $\text{Vect}(N_+)$, while standard on $\mathbb{C}[H]$, it follows that

$$\text{Vect}(N_+ \times H)^{H_r} \cong \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[N_+] \otimes \mathfrak{h}). \quad (2)$$

Remark 2.3. We can upgrade the isomorphism 2 to the level of algebra

$$D(N_+ \times H)^{H_r} = (D(N_+) \times D(H))^{H_r} = D(N_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Now consider the homogeneous space G/N_- with left G -action and right H -action, noting that these actions commute. There is an induced map of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(G/N_-)^{H_r}.$$

By considering the restriction to the open B_+ -orbit $B_+[1] \subset G/N_-$, it induces a map of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(B_+)^H = \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[N_+] \otimes \mathfrak{h}). \quad (3)$$

Remark 2.4. We first note that the map $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow D(B_+)$ induced by $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(B_+)$ preserves the filtrations with respect to the PBW filtration on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the order of differential operators on $D[B_+]$. Then, the associated graded $S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T^*B_+]$, where T^*B_+ denotes the cotangent bundle, can be described as the composition of the following maps:

1. The classical comoment map $S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T^*(G/N_-)]$
2. The restriction $\mathbb{C}[T^*(G/N_-)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T^*B_+]$

The first map is injective because $T^*(G/N_-) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is dominant, while the second map is clearly injective.

2.3 Geometric realization of dual Verma modules

Definition 2.5. Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Consider the one-dimensional representation \mathbb{C}_χ of \mathfrak{b}_+ on which \mathfrak{h} acts by χ and \mathfrak{n}_+ acts by zero. The *Verma module* with highest weight $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the \mathfrak{g} -module defined by

$$M_\chi := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_\chi = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\chi.$$

Remark 2.6. The underlying \mathfrak{n}_- -module of M_χ is always isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$, while its the \mathfrak{h} -module structure is the tensor product $U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi$.

Remark 2.7. Noting that we have the weight decomposition $M_\chi = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \chi - Q_+} M_\chi[\mu]$, where Q_+ is the positive part of the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $Q_+ = \{\sum_i n_i \alpha_i : n_i \geq 0\}$. The *dual \mathfrak{g} -module* M_χ^* is the \mathfrak{g} -module

$$M_\chi^* := \bigoplus_{\mu \in \chi - Q_+} M_\chi[\mu]^\vee$$

with the \mathfrak{g} -action defined by

$$(x \cdot \varphi)(m) = \varphi(-\tau(x) \cdot m)$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\varphi \in M_\chi^*$ and $m \in M_\chi$, where τ is the involutive automorphism on \mathfrak{g} such that $\tau(h_i) = -h_i$, $\tau(e_i) = f_i$, $\tau(f_i) = e_i$.

Exercise 2.8. The duality functor is exact and contravariant, and its square is the identity functor. Moreover, the duality functor preserves the formal character.

We now define a modified \mathfrak{g} -module structure on $\mathbb{C}[N_+]$ which depends on $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Definition 2.9. For $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let us write $\text{ev}_\chi : U(\mathfrak{h}) = S(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The modified \mathfrak{g} -module structure on $\mathbb{C}[N_+]$ is defined by the composition

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow D(N_+) \otimes U\mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{-\otimes \text{ev}_\chi} D(N_+),$$

noting that $\mathbb{C}[N_+]$ is naturally a $D(N_+)$ -module. The resulting \mathfrak{g} -module is denoted by $\mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi$.

Theorem 2.10. *There is an isomorphism between \mathfrak{g} -modules*

$$\mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi \cong M_\chi^*.$$

Proof. We will prove the dual statement $\mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi^* \cong M_\chi$. We first note that the Killing form identifies \mathfrak{n}_+^* with \mathfrak{n}_- . The exponential map identified N_+ with \mathfrak{n}_+ , and it is H -equivariant. It follows that the character of the dual \mathfrak{g} -module $\mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi^*$ can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } \mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi^* &= \text{char } \mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi = e^\chi \sum_\lambda \mathbb{C}[N_+]_\lambda e^\lambda \\ &= e^\chi \sum_\lambda S(\mathfrak{n}_+)_\lambda e^\lambda \\ &= e^\chi \sum_\lambda S(\mathfrak{n}_-)_\lambda e^\lambda \\ &= \text{char } M_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is a pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \times \mathbb{C}[N_+] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\langle \alpha, f \rangle := (\alpha \cdot f)(1)$$

for $\alpha \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[N_+]$, where we view α as a left-invariant differential operator on N_+ via the identification $U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \cong D(N_+)^{N_+}$. Note the following properties of the pairing:

- The pairing is non-degenerate in the first argument. Indeed, if we have $(\alpha \cdot f)(1) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[N_+]$, then by the left-invariance α it follows that $(\alpha \cdot f)(n) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[N_+]$ and $n \in N_+$, hence $\alpha = 0$.
- The pairing is H -equivariant, so we can split it into pairings between the individual weight spaces. It follows that the induced pairing between the individual weight spaces is perfect.
- The pairing is $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ -equivariant, i.e., $\langle \alpha\alpha', f \rangle = \langle \alpha', \alpha'f \rangle$ for $\alpha, \alpha' \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ and all $f \in \mathbb{C}[N_+]$.

As a consequence, there is an isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \cong \mathbb{C}[N_+]^*$ as right $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ -modules, and so there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[N_+]^* \cong U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ as left $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ -modules via the anti-isomorphism. We can see that $\mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi^*$ is a free $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ -module generated by its highest weight vector. We conclude that $\mathbb{C}[N_+]_\chi^* \cong M_\chi$ as \mathfrak{g} -modules. \square

Exercise 2.11. 1. The algebra homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow D(B_+)^{H_r} = D(N_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h})$ restricts to an embedding $\iota : U(\mathfrak{g})^G \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$.

Hint. The left- B_+ -invariant part $(D(B_+)^{H_r})^{B_+}$ is precisely the left H -invariant part of $(D(B_+)^{H_r})^{N_+}$. The latter invariants coincide with $U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h})$.

2. From Theorem 2.10, conclude that an element z of $U(\mathfrak{g})^G$ acts on M_χ^* by the scalar $\text{ev}_\chi(\iota(z))$.

3. Conclude that ι coincides with the embedding used to construct the Harish-Chandra isomorphism.

Hint. The center $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ acts on M_χ and M_χ^* by the same scalars. To see this, note that the action is by scalars on both \mathfrak{g} -modules and recall that they have the same irreducible constituents.

3 Formulas for the action on vector fields

Let us now discuss an explicit formula to compute the Lie algebra homomorphism (3), $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(B_+)^{H_r}$. For this, let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $y \in B_+$. Since $G^\circ := B_+N_- \subset G$ is open and dense, we can write $\exp(-tx)y \in G^\circ$ for sufficiently small t . In the open dense subset G° every element z can be uniquely expressed as the product $z = z_+z_-$ with $z_+ \in B_+$ and $z_- \in N_-$. Then, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, its image $\rho(x) \in \text{Vect}(B_+)$ satisfies $(\rho(x) \cdot f)(y) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} f((\exp(-\epsilon x)y)_+)$.

3.1 The case of \mathfrak{sl}_2

Let us first work out the case $G = \text{SL}_2$ explicitly. Let y be a coordinate on \mathfrak{n}_+ .

Image of e . By the choice of coordinate y , we have

$$e \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Image of h . We can compute the image of h by considering the left H -action on $B_+ \cong N_+ \times H$ given by $(t', (n, t)) \mapsto (t'nt'^{-1}, t't)$. Then we can see that

$$h \mapsto -2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + h \in \text{Vect}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_+] \otimes \mathfrak{h}).$$

Image of f . Since f has weight -2, its image $\rho(f)$ lies in $(\text{Vect}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \oplus (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}_+] \otimes \mathfrak{h}))_{-2}$ so it can be written in the form $\rho(f) = \alpha y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \beta y h$ for some α, β . The commutator relation $[e, f] = h$ implies

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \alpha y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \beta y h \right] = 2t\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \beta h,$$

so we find that $\alpha = -1, \beta = 1$. We conclude that

$$f \mapsto -y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + y h.$$

3.2 The general case

Let $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_+}$ be the coordinates on N_+ so that $\mathbb{C}[N_+] = \mathbb{C}[y_\alpha]$ holds and the weight of y_α is α , which is possible by considering an H -equivariant isomorphism between \mathfrak{n}_+ and N_+ , say the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{n}_+ \rightarrow N_+$. Note that with this choice of coordinates, the variables y_α correspond to coordinate functions g_α on the root subspace \mathfrak{n}_+ .

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let e_i, f_i, g_i be the generators of \mathfrak{g} . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(e_i) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha_i}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}} P_\beta^i(y_\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta} \\ \rho(h_i) &= - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \beta(h_i) y_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta} + h_i \\ \rho(f_i) &= \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} Q_\beta^i(y_\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta} + h_i y_{\alpha_i} \end{aligned}$$

where $P_\beta^i(y_\alpha)$ and $Q_\beta^i(y_\alpha)$ are polynomials in $y_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$, of degree $\alpha_i - \beta$ and $-\alpha_i - \beta$ respectively.

Example 3.2. Let $e_{\alpha+\beta} = E_{13}$, $e_\alpha = E_{12}$, $e_\beta = E_{23}$. For $\mathfrak{n}_+ \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3$, the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula simplifies to $x * y = x + y - \frac{1}{2}[x, y]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(e_{\alpha+\beta}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha+\beta}} \\ \rho(e_\alpha) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}y_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha+\beta}} \\ \rho(e_\beta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta} + \frac{1}{2}y_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha+\beta}}.\end{aligned}$$

3.3 The homomorphism $\rho_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}(N_+)$

By passing (3) to the first direct summand, we obtain a Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}(N_+)$. Following the approach in [2], we work with the flag variety G/B_- and consider the open dense orbit $U := N_+[1] \subset G/B_-$. Choose a faithful representation V of \mathfrak{g} , say the adjoint representation.

Proposition 3.3. *For $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $z \in N_+$, we have*

$$\rho_0(a) \cdot z = -z(z^{-1}az)_+ \quad (4)$$

where b_+ denotes the projection of an element $b \in \mathfrak{g}$ onto \mathfrak{n}_+ along \mathfrak{b}_- .

Proof. For sufficiently small ϵ , we can write $\exp(1 - \epsilon a)z = Z_+(\epsilon)Z_-(\epsilon)$ with $Z_+(\epsilon) \in N_+$ and $Z_-(\epsilon) \in B_-$. By the definition of the action we have

$$(\rho_0(a) \cdot f)(y) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} f(Z_+(\epsilon)).$$

For the rest of the proof, we work with the dual number $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. Choosing a faithful representation V , we have an embedding $G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$. Noting that $a \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ and $z \in N_+ \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$, we can write

$$(1 - \epsilon a)z = Z_+(\epsilon)Z_-(\epsilon) \in G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2). \quad (5)$$

Let $Z_+(\epsilon) = z + \epsilon Z_+^{(1)}$ and $Z_-(\epsilon) = 1 + \epsilon Z_-^{(1)}$ for some $Z_+^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ and $Z_-^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{b}_-$. Note that $Z_+^{(1)}$ coincides with $\rho_0(a) \cdot z$. By comparing the coefficient of ϵ in (5), we obtain $-az = Z_+^{(1)} + zZ_-^{(1)}$. It follows that

$$-z^{-1}az = z^{-1}Z_+^{(1)} + Z_-^{(1)}.$$

We can ensure that $\mathfrak{h}_+ \subset \{\text{strictly upper triangular matrices}\}$, $\mathfrak{b}_- \subset \{\text{lower triangular matrices}\}$ and $N_+ \subset \{\text{upper unitriangular matrices}\}$. For such a presentation, choose a weight basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V and order it so that $\mathrm{wt}(v_i) > \mathrm{wt}(v_j)$ implies $i < j$. Then the term $z^{-1}Z_+^{(1)}$ in (5) is a strictly upper triangular matrix and is also an element of \mathfrak{g} because the remaining two terms are. It follows that $z^{-1}Z_+^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{n}_+$. Since $-z^{-1}az$ lies in \mathfrak{g} and $Z_-^{(1)}$ lies in \mathfrak{b}_- , we obtain $-(z^{-1}az)_+ = z^{-1}Z_+^{(1)}$. The statement now follows by rewriting $Z_+^{(1)} = -z(z^{-1}az)_+$. \square

4 The Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$

In the finite-dimensional case, we obtained a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}(U)$ by considering the left G -action on the flag variety G/B_- and restricting the induced vector field on the open dense orbit $U = N_+[1] \subset G/B_-$. Our next goal is to obtain an affine analog of this.

4.1 The ind-scheme $\mathcal{L}U$

For $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider the scheme $t^N \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ whose R -points are given by

$$t^N \mathbb{C}[[t]](R) = \left\{ \sum_{i \geq N} a_i t^i : a_i \in R \right\} = \prod_{i \geq N} \mathbb{A}^1(R).$$

Equivalently, it is the affine scheme

$$t^N \mathbb{C}[[t]] = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_i]_{i \geq N}.$$

For each N , there is a closed embedding $t^N \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow t^{N-1} \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ coming from the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[x_i : i \geq N-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_i : i \geq N]$ sending $x_i \mapsto x_i$ for all $i \geq N$ and $x_{N-1} \mapsto 0$.

Definition 4.1. We define the *loop space* $\mathcal{L}U$ of U as the following ind-affine ind-scheme

$$\mathcal{L}U := \varprojlim_{N < 0} U \times t^N \mathbb{C}[[t]].$$

For the basics of ind-affine ind-schemes, we refer the reader to [1, Appendix].

Since we can identify the orbit $U = N_+[1]$ with N_+ and further with \mathfrak{n}_+ , say via the exponential map, we can write $U = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[y_\alpha]_{\alpha \in \Delta_+}$. For each $N < 0$, we can identify $U \times t^N \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ as the affine scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}]_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, n \geq N}$, and we can consider the canonical restriction map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}]_{n \geq N} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}]_{n \geq N'} \\ y_{\alpha,n} &\longmapsto \begin{cases} y_{\alpha,n} & n \geq N' \\ 0 & n < N' \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We define the algebra of functions on $\mathcal{L}U$ to be the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{N < 0} \mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}]_{n \geq N}$. More concretely, any element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ can be uniquely represented as a possibly infinite series

$$P_0 + \sum_{N < 0} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} P_{\alpha,N} y_{\alpha,N}$$

where $P_0 \in \mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}]_{n \geq 0}$ and $P_{\alpha,N} \in \mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}]_{n \geq N}$ for each N .

Remark 4.2. Note that $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ is a topological algebra with the natural topology of an inverse limit. The basis of open neighborhoods of 0 in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ is given by the ideals $I_N = (y_{\alpha,n})_{n \leq N}$ for $N \leq 0$.

4.2 Vector fields on $\mathcal{L}U$

Definition 4.3. The *vector fields* on $\mathcal{L}U$ are the continuous derivations of the topological algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$.

More explicitly, using the topology on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$, we can write

$$\text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{\alpha,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha,n}} : P_{\alpha,n} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U] \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} P_{n,\alpha} = 0 \right\}.$$

First, every vector field on $\mathcal{L}U$ can be expressed in this form since it is uniquely determined by the images of the topological basis $y_{\alpha,n}$ and it has to send sequences converging to 0 to sequences converging to 0. To see that such an expression defines a continuous derivation, note that every infinite sum with $P_{\alpha,n} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ gives a derivation $\mathbb{C}[y_{\alpha,n}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$.

Exercise 4.4. Show that this linear map extends to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ by continuity if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} P_{\alpha,n} = 0$. In this case, the extension is automatically a derivation.

Remark 4.5. $\text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ is a topological space where the basis of open neighborhoods of 0 is given by

$$I_{N,M} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{\alpha,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha,n}} : P_{\alpha,n} \in I_N \text{ for all } n < M \right\}$$

for $N \leq 0$ and $M \geq 0$. Equivalently, $I_{N,M}$ is the subspace generated by $y_{\alpha,n}, n \leq N$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha,m}}, m \geq M$. The commutator of derivations turns $\text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ into a topological Lie algebra.

4.3 The homomorphism $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$

We want to construct a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\rho : \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U).$$

We describe the homomorphism explicitly in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Note that in this case the flag variety G/B is identified with the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 . Let us consider the subspace $\mathcal{L}\mathbb{A}^1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathcal{L}(G/B) = \mathcal{L}\mathbb{P}^1$ where $x(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} x_m t^m$. Note that the target is not an ind-scheme. For the computation, let us choose the topological basis for $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{sl}_2$: $e_n = e \otimes t^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $h_n = h \otimes t^n = \begin{pmatrix} t^n & 0 \\ 0 & -t^n \end{pmatrix}$ and $f_n = f \otimes t^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 4.6. *The map $\rho : \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ sends*

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &\longmapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \\ h_n &\longmapsto -2 \sum_{i+j=n} x_{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\ f_n &\longmapsto - \sum_{i+j+k=n} x_{-i} x_{-j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The computation is similar for each case of e , h and f , but we discuss all cases for completeness. We may work with the dual number $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$.

1. We first consider $e_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(e_n)\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \left(\exp(-\epsilon e_n) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\epsilon t^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} \sum_m x_m t^m + \epsilon t^n \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

so it implies that $\rho(e_n) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$.

2. Next, we consider $f_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(f_n)\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \left(\exp(-\epsilon f_n) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\epsilon t^n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 - \epsilon t^n x(t) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the second entry is invertible so in the projective space we can write the result as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(f_n)\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \epsilon t^n x(t))x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} x(t) - \epsilon \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i+j=m} x_i x_j t^{m+n} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From this computation, it follows that

$$\rho(f_n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i+j=m} x_i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+n}} = \sum_{i+j+k=n} x_{-i} x_{-j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}.$$

3. Finally, we consider $h_n = \begin{pmatrix} t^n & 0 \\ 0 & -t^n \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(h_n)\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \left(\exp(-\epsilon h_n) \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\epsilon t^n} x(t) \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \varphi \begin{pmatrix} x(t) - 2\epsilon \sum_m x_{m-n} t^m \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From this computation, we obtain

$$\rho(h_n) = -2 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{m-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} = -2 \sum_{i+j=n} x_{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

□

Lemma 4.7. *The map $\rho : \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. Note that for $x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ we have $[x, y] = [xt^n, yt^m] = [x, y]t^{n+m}$. For example, $[e_n, h_m] = -2e_{n+m}$. It is easy to check the commutator relation directly. For example, we can see that

$$[\rho(e_n), \rho(h_m)] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, -2 \sum_{i+j=n} x_{-i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right] = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+m}} = -2\rho(e_{n+m}).$$

It implies that $\rho([e_n, h_m]) = \rho(-2e_{n+m})$ coincides with $[\rho(e_n), \rho(h_m)]$.

□

Remark 4.8. We can assemble these formulas in a simple way by introducing the generating function $e(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e_n z^{-n-1}$ and similarly for h and f . For convenience, we write $a_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ and $a_n^* = x_{-n}$, and consider the generating functions

$$a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} z^{-n-1}$$

$$a^*(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^* z^{-n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{-n} z^{-n}.$$

Then we can simplify the formulas in Lemma 4.6 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} e(z) &\mapsto a(z) \\ h(z) &\mapsto -2a^*(z)a(z) \\ f(z) &\mapsto -a^*(z)^2 a(z) \end{aligned}$$

4.4 The general case

Now let \mathfrak{g} be any simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . In this general situation, we can construct a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\widehat{\rho}: \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U).$$

For this, we choose a faithful representation V of \mathfrak{g} , again say the adjoint representation, and use the following formula analogous to (4)

$$\widehat{\rho}(a \otimes t^m) \cdot x(t) = -x(t) \left(x(t)^{-1} (a \otimes t^m) x(t) \right)_+$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x(t) \in N_+((t))$, where z_+ denotes the projection of an element $z \in \mathfrak{g}((t))$ onto $\mathfrak{n}_+((t))$ along $\mathfrak{b}_-((t))$.

There are several ways to check that $\widehat{\rho}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. For the approach that uses formal loops, we refer to [3].

Moreover, we can establish an affine-analog of Proposition 3.1 by replacing each element $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ by its generating function $a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-m-1}$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let e_i, f_i, g_i be the generators of \mathfrak{g} . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\rho}(e_i(z)) &= a_{\alpha_i}(z) + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}} P_\beta^i(a_\alpha^*(z)) a_\beta(z) \\ \widehat{\rho}(h_i(z)) &= - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \beta(h_i) a_\beta^*(z) a_\beta(z) \\ \widehat{\rho}(f_i(z)) &= \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} Q_\beta^i(a_\alpha^*(z)) a_\beta(z) \end{aligned}$$

where $P_\beta^i(y_\alpha)$ and $Q_\beta^i(y_\alpha)$ are polynomials in $y_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$, of degree $\alpha_i - \beta$ and $-\alpha_i - \beta$ respectively.

5 The completed Weyl algebra

5.1 Definition

As before, let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let Δ_+ be the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} . We first consider the Weyl algebra \mathcal{A} generated by $a_{\alpha, n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha, n}}$ and $a_{\alpha, n}^* = y_{\alpha, -n}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the commutator relations $[a_{\alpha, n}, a_{\beta, m}^*] = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{n, -m}$ (and all other commutators vanish).

Definition 5.1. The *completed Weyl algebra* is the following completion of \mathcal{A} :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \varprojlim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}(a_{\alpha,n}, a_{\alpha,m}^*)_{n,m \geq N}.$$

Exercise 5.2. Show that the completed Weyl algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ has a well-defined product.

More explicitly, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ consists of power series of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq N_0} (P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + Q_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^*)$$

where $P_{\alpha,n}, Q_{\alpha,n} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that such an expression is not unique.

5.2 A filtration on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and a short exact sequence

Consider a filtration of \mathcal{A} whose n -th piece $\mathcal{A}_{\leq n}$ consists of sums of monomials each containing at most n variables of the form $a_{\alpha,n}$. We can similarly define a filtration on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, which is not exhaustive.

Explicitly, the zeroth piece is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq N_0} Q_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^* : Q_{\alpha,n} \in \mathbb{C}[a_{\alpha,n}^*]_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

Note that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0$ is a commutative topological algebra identified with $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$.

Similarly, we can describe the first piece of the filtration as follows

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1} = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq N_0} (P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + Q_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^*) : P_{\alpha,n} \in \mathbb{C}[a_{\alpha,n}^*]_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, Q_{\alpha,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\leq 1} \right\}.$$

Exercise 5.3. 1. Prove that $[\mathcal{A}_{\leq i}, \mathcal{A}_{\leq j}] \subset \mathcal{A}_{i+j-1}$ and use the continuity argument to deduce that $[\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq i}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq j}] \subset \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{i+j-1}$.

2. Conclude that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$ admits a Lie algebra structure.

Proposition 5.4. *There is a short exact sequence of Lie algebras (where $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ is an ideal in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$)*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U] \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}[\mathcal{L}U] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. In order to define the map $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}[\mathcal{L}U]$, we need to associate to each element of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$ some continuous derivation on $\mathcal{L}U$. For this, letting $\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$, we consider the endomorphism on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ by

$$\alpha \cdot f = [\alpha, f]$$

for $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$ via the identification $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U] = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0$.

Exercise 5.5. This is a continuous derivation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}U]$.

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0$ is commutative with respect to the commutator, it is clear that $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}[\mathcal{L}U]$ factors through the quotient,

$$\bar{\varphi} : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1} / \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0 \longrightarrow \text{Vect}[\mathcal{L}U].$$

We want to show that $\bar{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.

Idea for the proof. Before going into the detail, let us explain the idea for the proof. For simplicity, suppose that there is only one variable y , i.e., Δ_+ is a singleton. We can construct the inverse map of $\bar{\varphi}$ by sending a vector field $\sum_n P_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}$ to the expression $\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n a_n + \sum_{n < 0} a_n P_n$.

Exercise 5.6. The expression is indeed an element of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$ and this construction gives a two-sided inverse of $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Let us give the proof in general. We note that an arbitrary element of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$ can be written in the form

$$\sum_{n \geq N_0} (P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + Q_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^*)$$

where $P_{\alpha,n} \in \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}[a_{\alpha,n}^*]_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $Q_{\alpha,n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\leq 1}$. Moreover, we can express each $Q_{\alpha,n}$ as $Q_{\alpha,n}^0 + \sum_{m \in K_n} R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} a_{\beta,m}$ where $Q_{\alpha,n}^0, R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and K_n is a finite set. Then φ maps the n -th term $P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + Q_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^*$ to

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + Q_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^*) &= \varphi \left(P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + (Q_{\alpha,n}^0 + \sum_{m \in K_n} R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} a_{\beta,m}) a_{\alpha,n}^* \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + Q_{\alpha,n}^0 a_{\alpha,n}^* + \sum_{m \in K_n} R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} a_{\alpha,n}^* a_{\beta,m} + \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{n,-m} R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(P_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n} + \sum_{m \in K_n} a_{\alpha,n}^* R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} a_{\beta,m} \right) \\ &= P_{\alpha,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha,n}} + y_{\alpha,-n} \sum_{m \in K_n} R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\beta,m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that φ annihilates $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0$. The image clearly lies in the ideal $I_{n,n} \subset \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U})$. It follows that φ matches the bases of open neighborhoods of 0.

From the explicit description, it is clear that the kernel of φ consists of the power series with all coefficients $P_{\alpha,n}$ and $R_{\alpha,n}^{\beta,m}$ zero, i.e., $\ker \varphi = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_0$. By setting $Q_{\alpha,n}^0$ to be zero, we can see that φ is surjective. \square

5.3 Non-splitting

Theorem 5.7. *The short exact sequence in Proposition 5.4 does not split.*

Instead of giving a proof, we explain an indication of non-splitting by contrasting the situation to the finite-dimensional case when the analogous short exact sequence splits.

Let X be a smooth affine scheme. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \longrightarrow D(X)_{\leq 1} \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the splitting, we construct a map $\text{Vect}(X) \rightarrow D(X)_{\leq 1}$ by sending a vector field ξ to the unique first-order differential operator D_{ξ} which annihilates the constant function 1. Here, the construction crucially relies on the fact that the algebra $D(X)$ of differential operators acts on $\mathbb{C}[X]$.

In contrast, the completed Weyl algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ does not act on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}]$. For example, if we consider the element

$$: \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,-n}^* a_{\alpha,n} := \sum_{n < 0} a_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,-n}^* + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\alpha,-n}^* a_{\alpha,n} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1},$$

its naive action on the constant function $1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}]$ diverges. Note that the image of $: \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,-n}^* a_{\alpha,n} :$ under φ is exactly the Euler vector field $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_{\alpha,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha,n}} \in \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U})$.

Instead, the completed Weyl algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ acts on its Fock representation $M_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}[a_{\alpha,n}, a_{\alpha,m}^*]_{n < 0, m \geq 0}$, which is the quotient of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ by the left ideal generated by $a_{\alpha,n}, n \geq 0$ and $a_{\alpha,m}^*, m > 0$.

5.4 What comes next

The non-splitting of the short exact sequence means that we cannot canonically lift $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathcal{L}U)$ to $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$. In fact, there is no such lift. However, it turns out that we can lift it to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\kappa_c} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\leq 1}$ where $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\kappa_c}$ is the central extension at the critical level. Since the construction is technical and requires effort, we refer the reader to [2, Sections 5.5, 5.6] for the proof.

Moreover, by considering deformations, we can construct a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\kappa_c} \longrightarrow \widetilde{D}(\mathcal{L}N_+) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}((t))]$$

where $\widetilde{D}(\mathcal{L}N_+)$ denotes the completed Weyl algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. We can show that it gives rise to an embedding $Z(\widetilde{U}_{\kappa_c}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}((t))]$, which is exactly what we need.

References

- [1] Ekaterina Bogdanova. *Opers I*. seminar notes.
- [2] Edward Frenkel. *Langlands correspondence for loop groups*, volume 103 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [3] Ivan Loseu. *Formal loops*. seminar notes.