

- 1) Equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules vs finite # of orbits.
- 2) Applications to Representation theory.

1.1) Equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $G/H$ . Let  $G$  be an algebraic group,  $H \subset G$  its closed subgroup. Let  $H^\circ \subset H$  denote the connected (=irreducible) component of 1, this is an algebraic normal subgroup &  $H/H^\circ$  is a finite subgroup

**Theorem 1:** We have a category equivalence  $\text{Coh}^G(G/H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(H/H^\circ)$ .

Proof: Recall that  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  is a principal  $H$ -bundle. Hence  $\pi^*: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/H}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_G): \pi_*(?)^H$ . In fact, the functors  $\pi^*, \pi_*(?)^H$  lift to functors between  $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{G/H}), \text{Coh}^{G \times H}(\mathcal{D}_G)$  (more precisely, they lift to functors between the categories of weakly  $G$ -equivariant modules - and then restrict to subcategories of strongly equivariant modules). This an exercise. The lifts are again mutually quasi-inverse equivalences. Similarly,  $\text{Coh}^{G \times H}(\mathcal{D}_G) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{\text{pt}})$ . What remains to show is that  $\text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{\text{pt}}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(H/H^\circ)$ . For this we notice that for  $M \in \text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{\text{pt}})$ , the equivariance condition just say  $\xi_M = 0 \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ . So  $H^\circ$  must act trivially. This establishes an equivalence we need.  $\square$

Remark: The equivalence in the theorem should be compared to  $\text{Coh}^G(G/H) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(H)$ . Also note that, for a  $G$ -variety  $X$ , one can talk about its  $G$ -equivariant fundamental group,

$\mathcal{D}_G^c(X)$  that controls  $G$ -equivariant covers. We have  $\mathcal{D}_G^c(G/H) \cong H/H^\circ$  (exercise). For reasons explained in the previous lecture, we should expect the irreducible  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_{G/H}$ -modules to be classified by  $\text{Irrep}(\mathcal{D}_G^c(G/H))$ . And this is indeed the case: from  $V \in \text{Rep}(H/H^\circ)$ , we can explicitly construct the corresponding  $\mathcal{D}$ -module. This is done as follows: take  $\mathcal{O}_{G/H^\circ}$ . This is a  $G \times H/H^\circ$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $G/H^\circ$ . Let  $\pi: G/H^\circ \rightarrow G/H$  be the natural map. It's a cover w. Galois group  $H/H^\circ$ . Then  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{G/H^\circ})$  is a  $G \times H/H^\circ$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $G/H$ . Our equivalence sends  $V$  to  $(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{G/H^\circ}) \otimes V)^{H/H^\circ}$ . In particular, this  $\mathcal{D}$ -module is  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent. So, for  $X = G/H$ , every  $G$ -equivariant coherent  $\mathcal{D}$ -module is  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent and hence holonomic.

### 1.2) Equivariant $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on $G$ -varieties w. fin. many orbits.

This is the case where we can completely describe irreducible  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_i$  be the decomposition into  $G$ -orbits &  $\mathcal{O}_i \cong_G G/H_i$ .

**Theorem 2:** 1) Every coherent  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module is holonomic.

2) Irreducible  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules are classified by  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \text{Irrep}(H_i/H_i^\circ)$ : to  $V \in \text{Irrep}(H_i/H_i^\circ)$  we assign  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_i, M_V)$ , where  $M_V$  is the irreducible  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\mathcal{O}_i$  constructed in the remark.

Proof: 1): We can order the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_i$  in such a way that  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_i} \supseteq \mathcal{O}_j \Rightarrow i \leq j$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_1$  is the open orbit. Then

$X_0 = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^l O_i$  is an open  $G$ -stable subvariety. We prove  $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$  by induction on  $i$ . The case of  $i=1$  follows from the remark above. Now suppose we know  $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$  and want to prove  $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_{i+1}}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_{i+1}})$ . Let  $j: X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$  denote the inclusion map. Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$ . Then  $j^*\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) \Rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{F} \in \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$  by preservation of holonomicity. The kernel of  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{F}$  is supported on  $X_{i+1} \setminus X_i = O_{i+1}$ . It's  $G$ -equivariant. By the equivariant version of Kashiwara's lemma, it's a pushforward of an equivariant coherent  $\mathcal{D}$ -module from  $O_{i+1}$ . The latter must be holonomic hence the kernel is holonomic, and therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  is holonomic. This finishes the proof of 1).

2): We know that the irreducible holonomic  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $X$  are precisely  $IC(\mathbb{Z}, V)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a locally closed  $G$ -irreducible smooth subvariety in  $X$  and  $V$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The subvariety  $\mathbb{Z}$  has a unique open  $G$ -orbit, say  $O_i$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $V|_{O_i}$  is still irreducible so comes from  $V \in \text{Irrep}(H_i/H_i^\circ)$ . It's easy to see  $(O_i, V)$  is uniquely recovered from the irreducible  $\mathcal{D}$ -module. This gives the required bijection.  $\square$

Rem: This theorem generalizes to the case when  $X$  is a closed (not necessary smooth) subvariety in a smooth variety  $\tilde{X}$  w. a  $G$ -action, and still the number of  $G$ -orbits in  $X$  is finite.

## 2) Applications to Representation theory.

We are going to consider specific examples of  $H \curvearrowright X$  (w. fin. many orbits) that are of relevance for Representation theory. There will be two families of examples.

1)  $G$  is a connected semisimple algebraic group,  $X = G/B$ , its flag variety &  $H \subset G$  is a connected subgroup acting on  $X$  w. finitely many orbits (such subgroups are called "spherical"). Two examples of  $H$  we consider are:  $H = N$ , the unipotent radical of  $B$ , and  $H = (G^\sigma)^\circ$ , where  $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$  is an involution.

2)  $X = \mathcal{N}$  is the nilpotent cone (= the subvariety of all nilpotent matrices) in  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{S}_n^{\mathfrak{k}}$  &  $G = \mathrm{PG}_n^{\mathfrak{k}}$  or  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  acting by conjugations.

### 2.1) Localization theorems.

It turns out that the  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $G/B$  are closely related to representations of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ . This is the content of the localization theorem(s) due to Beilinson & Bernstein.

Recall that a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the same thing as a representation of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / (x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g})$ , the universal enveloping algebra. The Lie algebra homomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(G/B)$  coming from  $G \curvearrowright G/B$  extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/B) := \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ . It turns out one can describe  $\mathcal{D}(G/B)$  using this homomorphism

For this we need a description of the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  due to Harish-Chandra. We can decompose  $B = T \ltimes N$ , where  $T$  is

a maximal torus and  $N$  is the maximal unipotent subgroup. Let  $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebras. Let  $W = N_G(T)/T$  be the Weyl group. Finally, consider the system of positive roots  $\Delta^+ \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  - the weights of  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Set  $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ .

Harish-Chandra proved that the center  $\mathbb{Z} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$  is identified with the algebra of invariants  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}^*]^{(W, \cdot)}$  for the shifted action of  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ :  $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $f_z$  denote the corresponding element. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  acts by scalars on every irreducible module. For each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$   $\exists!$   $\mathfrak{g}$ -irrep  $L(\lambda)$  w. highest wt.  $\lambda$ . By the constr'n of  $f_z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  acts on  $L(\lambda)$  by  $f_z(\lambda)$ .

Set  $m_0 := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid f_z(0) = 0\}$  ( $= \mathbb{Z} \cap U(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ),  $U_0 := U(\mathfrak{g})/m_0$ . This is the quotient of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  whose repr'n thry is the most interesting. Here's the first of localization theorems:

**Theorem 2:** The homomorphism  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/B)$  descends to an isomorphism  $U_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(G/B)$ .

We write  $U_0\text{-mod}$  for the category of finitely generated  $U_0$ -modules. We have the global section functor

$$\Gamma: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \rightarrow U_0\text{-mod}.$$

As with every global section functor, we have  $\Gamma := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{G/B}}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}, \cdot)$ .

So  $\Gamma$  has left adjoint:  $\text{Loc}: U_0\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$  given by  $\mathcal{D}_{G/B} \otimes_{U_0} \cdot$ .

Here's the "main" localization theorem:

**Theorem 3:** a) Every object in  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$  has no higher cohomology & is generated by its global sections.

6)  $\Gamma: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod:Loc}$  are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences.

Rem: For an algebraic subgroup  $H \subset G$ ,  $\Gamma$  & Loc give mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between  $\text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$  &  $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^H$ . It's the latter category we'd like to understand and we do this by understanding the former.

2.2) Category  $\mathcal{O}$ . Consider the case when  $H=N$ , the maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . We have the Bruhat decomposition:  $G = \coprod_{w \in W} NwB$  (where  $w$  is a lift of  $w$  to  $N_G(T)$ ). This gives rise to  $G/B = \coprod_{w \in W} NwB/B$ . Note that  $NwB/B$  is identified with  $N/(N \cap wBw^{-1})$  as an  $N$ -variety (in fact, this is an affine space). Every closed subgroup of a unipotent algebraic group is unipotent, in particular, connected. Using Theorem 1, we see that the irreducibles in  $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$  are classified by the elements of  $W$ . More precisely, let's write  $X_w$  for  $NwB/B$  &  $\mathcal{O}_w$  for the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{X_w}$  on  $X_w$ . Then the irreducibles in  $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$  are precisely  $\text{IC}(X_w, \mathcal{O}_w)$ .

The functor  $\Gamma$  identifies  $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$  with  $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^N$ . The irreducibles in  $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^N$  are precisely  $L(\lambda)$  w.  $\lambda \in W \cdot 0$ . In fact,  $\Gamma(\text{IC}(X_w, \mathcal{O}_w)) = L(w \cdot (-2\rho))$ .

Rem: • Let  $j_w$  be the inclusion  $X_w \subset G/B$ . The objects  $\Gamma(j_{w*} \mathcal{O}_w)$ ,  $\Gamma(j_w! \mathcal{O}_w)$  are the dual Verma and Verma modules w. highest wt  $w \cdot (-2\rho)$ .

• The equivalence  $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^N$  is the

first step in the proof of Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures on the characters of the modules  $L(w \cdot (-2\rho))$  (by Beilinson-Bernstein & Brylinski-Kashiwara).

2.3) Harish-Chandra modules. The classification of irreducibles in  $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^N$  can be done by elementary representation theoretic tools. Here's another example of an important representation theoretic category, where the classification of irreducibles requires to use equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules: categories of Harish-Chandra (HC)  $\mathcal{U}_0$ -modules. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive alg'ic group.

**Definition:** A symmetric subgroup of  $G$  is a subgroup of the form  $(G^\sigma)^\circ$ , where  $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$  is an involution of  $G$ .

Example: • Let  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The inner involutions  $\sigma'$  are conjugate to  $A \mapsto \Sigma A \Sigma^{-1}$  w  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$ . The corresponding symmetric subgroups are  $GL_k \times GL_{n-k}$  (block-diagonal matrices). The outer involutions are  $A \mapsto (A^*)^{-1}$  w.r.t. orthogonal or (for even  $n$ ) symplectic forms. The corresponding subgroups are  $SO_n$  &  $Sp_n$  (the latter for even  $n$ ).

• Let  $G = K \times K$ , where  $K$  is a connected reductive group. Then  $(k_1, k_2) \mapsto (k_2, k_1)$  is an involution & the corresponding symmetric subgroup is  $K$  embedded into  $G$  diagonally.

**Definition:** Let  $K \subset G$  be a symmetric subgroup. By a HC  $(\mathcal{U}_0, K)$ -module we mean an object in  $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^K$ .

Such modules appear in the study of infinite dimensional representations of reductive real Lie groups.

Here's a key fact for geometric understanding of irreducible HC modules.

**Fact:**  $K$  acts on  $G/B$  with finitely many orbits,

Example: Let  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ . It has a unique involution: conjugation with  $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1)$ . The subgroup  $K$  is  $T$ , the one dimensional torus of diagonal matrices. We have  $G/B = \mathbb{P}^1$  & 3 orbits for  $T$ :  $\{0\}$ ,  $\{\infty\}$  & the complement.

Premium exercise (on Linear algebra!): check the finiteness claim for the action of  $K$  on  $G/B$  for  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  &  $K$  listed above.

So the localization theorem allows to classify the irreducible HC  $(U_0, K)$ -modules in geometric terms.

Example: Let's see what happens in the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  example. We have 2  $T$ -fixed points, 0 and  $\infty$  - with connected stabilizers. They contribute two irreducible HC modules (positive & negative anti-dominant Verma modules). The complement is a free orbit. It contributes one irreducible HC module.

Anti-premium exercise: what is this module?

In general, however, there will be disconnected stabilizers, for example for the open  $\mathrm{SO}_n$ -orbit on  $\mathrm{FL}_n = \mathrm{SL}_n/B$ , the stabilizer is  $\{\pm 1\}^{n-1}$  (exercise).

#### 2.4) Equivariant $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on the nilpotent cone.

Let  $N$  be the subvariety of nilpotent matrices in  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ . The group  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  acts on  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  by conjugation stabilizing  $N$ . The orbits in  $N$  are labelled by the partitions of  $n$  - via JNF.



The stabilizers in  $G_n$  are all connected: for  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  its stabilizer is all non-degenerate matrices in the centralizer:  $\{A \in \mathcal{O}_n^* \mid [A, e] = 0\}$ . So the irreducible  $G_n$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $\mathcal{N}$  are naturally labelled by the partitions of  $n$ . This is closely related to the Springer theory that we tried to study at the seminar.

Since the center of  $G_n$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{N}$  (& on  $\mathcal{O}_n^*$ ) the  $G_n$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules are the same as  $PG_n$ -equivariant. If we replace  $PG_n$  with  $SL_n$ , we get more equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. To an irreducible  $SL_n$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -module we can assign a residue mod  $n$  that encodes the scalar by which the center of  $SL_n$  ( $\cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ) acts on that  $\mathcal{D}$ -module.

The most interesting case is when the residue is coprime to  $n$ . Denote the residue by  $a$ .

Premium exercise: There is a unique such  $\mathcal{D}$ -module, it's associated to the principal nilpotent orbit (i.e. the one with a single block). Denote it by  $M_{a,n}$ .

The  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules in the exercise (one for each  $a$  mod  $n$  coprime to  $n$ ) are very interesting - and there are still things to be understood about objects closely related to them. For example, the irreducible representation  $L(\mu)$  of  $SL_n$  with highest weight  $\mu$  appears in  $M_{a,n} \iff \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n \equiv a \pmod{n}$  ( $\Rightarrow$  is a tautology) and the multiplicity is  $\frac{1}{n} \dim L(\mu)$  (which is an integer - an exercise).