Bonus: Proof of Thm from Sec 2.2 in Lec 10. Our goal here is to prove a stronger version of Theorem in Sec. 2.2 of Lec 10. Namely, we consider an action of a (connected) s/simple group G on a vector space V s.t. (a) VIIG is an affine space (6) Every fiber of 9: V → V//G contains finitely many orbits We've seen in Sec 2.1 of Lec 2.1 that gr is flat. We pick $e \in \mathcal{R}^{-1}(o)$ s.t. Ge is open in $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(o)$. In Sec 2.2 of Lec 10 we have produced a homomorphism $\mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ of the form $t \mapsto (\delta(t), t^*)$ s.t. t. e = e for the resulting C^* -action on on V. Then we take a C-stable complement S to g.e in V and set S: = e + S. This is a C-stable affine subspace intersecting he at e transversally. Theorem (Knop): st: S ~> V//G.

Rem: one can relax (b) to Ge being, an irreducible component of π⁻¹(π(o)) and remove (a) altogether (premium exercise). A more interesting question is how to relax to semisimplicity of G.

We are now going to implement the strategy of the proof described in Sec 2.4 of Lec 10.

1) Step 1: lows of smooth points of Ir Here we are proving the following: Claim: V:= {v & V | dv Tr is not surjective } hes codim 72 in V. We will use the following easy fact Fact: Let X, Y be irreducible varieties & r: X -> Y be a dominant morphism. Then {x \in X^{reg} | T(x) \in Y^{reg} & dx T is surjective } is Zariski open & non-empty. Apply this to T: V -> V//G (both varieties are smooth) Since It is G-invariant, V'is G-stable. Assume the controry of Claim and take an irreducible component D < V' of codim 1. Take an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]$ defining D. Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 1 in

Lec 8 shows $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G$. Step 2 of that proof shows that $T(D) \cong D//G$ is also a divisor defined by f but in V//G. Note that this description implies $D = T^{-1}(T(D))$ as <u>subschemes</u> of V.

We are going to show that I xE D" s.t. dx IT is surjective leading to a contradiction. First notice that since f is irreducible, $D:=\{x \in D^{e_{g}} | d_{x}f \neq 0\}$ is non-empty and open. By Fact applied to $\pi: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}/\!/\mathcal{G}$ we see that $\mathcal{D}^{2} := \{ x \in \mathcal{D}^{reg} \mid \mathcal{T}(x) \in (\mathcal{D}//\mathcal{G})^{reg}, \alpha_{x}(\mathcal{T}|_{\mathcal{D}}) \text{ is surjective} \}$ is open & non-empty. We claim that d, Ir is surjective t $x \in D^{1} \cap D^{2}$. This follows from the next commutative diagram of SES's: $0 \longrightarrow T_{\pi(x)}(\mathcal{D}//\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\bullet} T_{\pi(x)}(\mathcal{V}//\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\bullet} 0$ We arrive at a contradiction w. choice of D. 2) Step 2: contracting C-action & consequences Note that the action of C^* on S is linear (via $S \xrightarrow{\sim} S_o$) therefore in a suitable basis it looks like t(u,...u,)=(tu,...tu) We say that the action is contracting if all ni70.

Lemma: The C-action on S is contracting.

3

Proof: Assume the contrary: I ue Solfor w. t. u = t u for lzo.

Step 1: Since t. v= t & &(t) v & v & V & &(t) & G, the morphism IV intertwines this action of C on V with the action of C on V//G induced by (t, 5) +> t v, which is contracting. Now consider v= e+u. We claim that N(v)=0 We have t.v=e+t-u. It follows that lim t.v exists in V and equals e. So (1) $\lim_{t \to \infty} t. \mathcal{T}(v) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathcal{T}(t.v) = \mathcal{T}(\lim_{t \to \infty} t.v) = \mathcal{T}(e) = 0$

Since the action of C'on VIIG is contracting, (1) implies T(v)=0 (where we abuse the notation & write O for T(0))

Step 2: We can replace u in Step 1 with au HaEC* 103. It follows that M(e+au) = 0 HaEC. On the other hand, S intersects Ge transversally at e. Since Ge is open in T'(0), we see that e is an isolated point of SAT'(0). This contraducts e+aue SAST-1(0) and finishes the proof I

We are going to deduce two corollaries from the lemma.

4

Corollary 1: $(9r/_{s})^{-1}(0) = \{e\}$ (as a subset) Proof: Note that since π is C-equivariant, $(\pi/_{5})^{-1}(0) = \pi^{-1}(0) \Lambda S$ is C'-stable. As was mentioned in Step 2 of the proof of Lemma, e is an isolated point of (I's) (0). Since the C-action on S is contracting, this implies (9r/s)-1(0) = le3. \square

Corollary 2: T_sS⊕T_sGs=V H s∈S.

Proof: First we observe that the set $\{s \in S \mid T_s S \oplus T_s G_s\}$ is C^{*}-stable and contains e. It remains to show that this set is Zariski open. First, observe that since the action of C^{*} normalizes G and contracts S to e, we have dim Gs > dim Ge $\forall s \in S$. On the other hand, Ge already has the maximal possible dimension for an orbit in V. So dim Gs = dim Ge $\forall s \in S$. Denote this number by d.

We have a morphism $S \rightarrow Gr(d,V)$, $s \mapsto T_s Gs$. The lows $\{U \in Gr(d,V) \mid U \oplus S_o = V\} = Gr(d,V) \text{ is open. We have } T_s S = S_o + s.$ From here we conclude that $\{s \in S \mid T_s S \oplus T_s Gs = V\}$ is Zaviski open in S finishing the proof \square

5

3) Completion of the proof As advertised in Sec 2.4 of Lec 10, we need two claims. We write My for M/s & O for M(0).

Lemma 1: $\mathfrak{R}: S \longrightarrow V//G$ is finite.

Proof:

6

The actions of \mathbb{C}^{\times} on $\mathbb{C}[S]$, $\mathbb{C}[V//G] = \mathbb{C}[V]^{G}$ equip these algebras w. gradings, say $\mathbb{C}[S]_{i} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[S] \mid t.f = t^{-i}f \}$ Since the actions are contracting, these gradings are positive $(e.g. \mathbb{C}[S] = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[S]_{i} \ \& \mathbb{C}[S]_{i} = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[V//G]_{i}$ be the maximal ideal of 0 in $\mathbb{C}[V//G]$. Now recall (Corollary 1 in Sec 2) that $\mathcal{N}_{S}^{-1}(o) = \{e\}$. In particular, $\mathbb{C}[S]/\mathbb{C}[S]\mathfrak{m}$ is finite dimensional. A graded version of the Narayama lemma implies that $\mathbb{C}[S]$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{C}[V//G]$ (details are left as an exercise) finishing the proof \square

Lemma 2: It is stale outside of codim 2, i.e. codim { s ∈ S | d, T, is not iso } 72 Proof: Consider the morphism d: G × S → V, (g, s) +> gs. Thx to Corol-

lary 2 in Sec 2, 2 is smooth (exercise) in particular all fibers

have the same dimension. Combining the smoothness of a w. Claim in Sec 1 we see that the locus {(q,s) | d(q,s) (9 ~ 2) is not surjective } - G×S has codimension 72. But [ST-2](q,s)= ST_s(s). This implies the claim of Lemma. П

Now we are ready to finish the proof. The morphism I's: $S \rightarrow V//G$ between isomorphic affine spaces is finite & etale outside of codim 2. Since an affine space is strongly simply connected any such morphism is an isomorphism.

