

Lecture 19, 4/2/25

1) GIT Hamiltonian reductions: $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ & CM space.

Refs: [E], [Nak], [CG].

1) GIT Hamiltonian reductions: $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ & CM space.

1.0) GIT Hamiltonian reduction.

We've considered Hamiltonian reductions for actions of compact groups on symplectic $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ -manifolds. The same constructions work for actions of reductive groups on symplectic algebraic varieties (cf. Bonus to Lec 16). A basic example is a symplectic vector space V over $\mathbb{C}$ w. form $\omega_{\mathbb{C}} \in \Lambda^2 V^*$ & action of G coming from $G \rightarrow \text{Sp}(V)$. This action is Hamiltonian w. moment map $\mu: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by the same formula as in the compact case: $\langle \mu(v), x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \omega(xv, v)$. So for $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ & $\theta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ we can consider the **GIT Hamiltonian reduction** $V //_{\lambda}^{\theta} G := \mu^{-1}(\lambda) //^{\theta} G$. If the G -action on $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)^{\theta^{-ss}}$ is free then an algebraic version of the Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer thm from Sec 1.2 in Lec 16 shows that $V //_{\lambda}^{\theta} G$ carries an algebraic symplectic form: a unique 2-form $\underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}}$ s.t. $(\pi^{\theta})^* \underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}} = \underline{\zeta}^* \omega_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\underline{\zeta}: \mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta^{-ss}} \hookrightarrow V$ is the inclusion. To rigorously construct $\underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an **extended exercise**; hint: if G acts freely on $X^{\theta^{-ss}}$, then π^{θ} is a principal G -bundle, this is b/c π^{θ} is glued from categorical

quotients, for which the claim is Corollary in Sec 1.4 of Lec 14.

In this lecture we will consider special cases of this construction: Hilbert schemes of points on $\mathbb{C}^2$ & Calogero-Moser spaces.

1.1) Construction.

We are interested in V of a special form: let V_0 be a vector space. Set $V := V_0 \oplus V_0^* (= T^*V_0)$ & equip it with the following symplectic form:

$$\omega((v_1, \alpha_1), (v_2, \alpha_2)) = \langle v_1, \alpha_2 \rangle - \langle v_2, \alpha_1 \rangle$$

- the natural form of T^*V_0 . Let G act linearly on V_0 . This action extends to the G -action on $V_0 \oplus V_0^*$, which preserves ω .

Lemma 1: We have

$$\langle \mu(v, \alpha), x \rangle = \langle xv, \alpha \rangle \quad \forall v \in V_0, \alpha \in V_0^*, x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof:

$$\langle \mu(v, \alpha), x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \omega(xv, v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle xv, \alpha \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle v, x\alpha \rangle = \langle xv, \alpha \rangle \quad \square$$

We will need the following choice of (G, V_0) . Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let U be an n -dimensional space. Set $G = GL(U)$ & let $V = \text{End}(U) \oplus U$ w. a natural G -action. We identify $\text{End}(U)$ w. $\text{End}(U)^*$ (& $\mathfrak{g}$ w. $\mathfrak{g}^*$) using the trace form: $(A, B) := \text{tr}(AB)$. So we can identify V w.

$$V = \text{End}(U)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U \oplus U^*$$

2

& view μ as a morphism $V \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$.

Lemma 2: Under these identifications, we have

$$\mu(A, B, i, j) = [A, B] + ij, \quad A, B \in \text{End}(U), i \in U, j \in U^*,$$

where we view i as a linear map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow U$ so that ij is a rk 1 linear operator $U \rightarrow U$, $u \mapsto j(u)i$.

Proof: Let $\mu_1: \text{End}(U)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$, $\mu_2: U \oplus U^* \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$ be the moment maps for the actions of G on $\text{End}(U)$. By properties of moment maps explained in Sec 1.3 of Lec 16, we have

$$\mu(A, B, i, j) = \mu_1(A, B) + \mu_2(i, j).$$

$$\text{We have } \text{tr}(\mu_2(i, j)x) = \langle j, xi \rangle = \text{tr}(jxi) = \text{tr}(ijx).$$

Since the trace form is non-degenerate, this implies $\mu_2(i, j) = ij$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Similarly, } \text{tr}(\mu_1(A, B)x) &= \text{tr}(B(x.A)) = [x.A = [x, A]] = \text{tr}(B[x, A]) \\ &= \text{tr}([A, B]x) \Rightarrow \mu_1(A, B) = [A, B]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

1.2) Semistable points.

The lattice $\mathcal{X}(G)$ is identified with $\mathbb{Z}$ via $n \mapsto \det^n$. Similarly, $(\sigma^*)^G$ is identified w. $\mathbb{C}$ via $z \mapsto z \text{tr}$.

We start by describing $V^{\theta-ss}$ for $\theta > 0$ and $\theta < 0$. Recall, Sec 1.2 of Lec 17, that $V^{\theta-ss}$ depends only on the sign of θ .

Proposition:

- 1) For $\theta < 0$, $(A, B, i, j) \in V^{\theta-ss} \Leftrightarrow i$ is "cyclic" for A, B , i.e. U is the only A & B -stable subspace containing i is U .
- 2) For $\theta > 0$, $(A, B, i, j) \in V^{\theta-ss} \Leftrightarrow j$ is "cocyclic" for A, B , i.e. $\{0\}$ is the only A & B -stable subspace contained in $\ker j$.

Proof: 1) We use the Hilbert-Mumford criterium for semistability (1) of Thm in Sec 1.1 of Lec 18): $(A, B, i, j) \in V^{\theta-ss}$ if from the existence of $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t) \cdot (A, B, i, j)$ for $\gamma: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow G$ it follows that $\langle \theta, \gamma \rangle \leq 0$. We write $U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_n(\gamma)$ w. $U_n(\gamma) = \{u \in U \mid \gamma(t)u = t^n u\}$.
Then:

- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)A$ exists iff $\bigoplus_{n \geq m} U_n(\gamma)$ is A -stable for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Same for B .

- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)i$ exists iff $i \in \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_n(\gamma)$.
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)j$ exists iff j vanishes on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_n(\gamma)$.

So if i is cyclic then $U = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} U_n(\gamma)$. Since
 $\langle \gamma, \theta \rangle = \theta \sum_n n \dim U_n(\gamma)$.

we see that if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t) \cdot (A, B, i, j)$ exists, then $\langle \gamma, \theta \rangle \leq 0$, so $(A, B, i, j) \in V^{\theta-ss}$. Conversely, let i be not cyclic. Then let $U' \subsetneq U$ be an (A, B) -stable subspace containing i & let U'' be a complement. Take γ w. $U_0(\gamma) = U'$, $U_{-1}(\gamma) = U''$. For this γ , we have $\langle \gamma, \theta \rangle = -\theta \dim U'' > 0$,

showing $(A, B, i, j) \notin V^{\theta-ss}$.

2) The case of $\theta > 0$ is similar & is left as an exercise.

Here's a stronger statement

Exercise: Identify U w. U^* , yielding $A^*, B^* \in \text{End}(U)$, $j^*: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U$ & $i^*: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $(A, B, i, j) \mapsto (B^*, A^*, j^*, i^*)$ restricts to $V^{\theta-ss} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{(-\theta)-ss}$ $\square$

Corollary: For $\theta \neq 0$, $\zeta \cap V^{\theta-ss}$ is free.

Proof:

For $\theta < 0$ use that any element of U can be written as $P(A, B)i$, where P is a noncommutative polynomial in 2 variables. An element of ζ that stabilizes A, B, i also stabilizes $P(A, B)i$, hence is trivial.

The case of $\theta > 0$ is handled using Exercise in the proof of Proposition. $\square$

1.3) Calogero-Moser spaces. ([E], Sec 1.5)

Assume in this section that $\lambda \neq 0$.

Proposition: Let $(A, B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(\lambda)$. Then

(a) The only A & B -stable subspaces in U are U & $\{0\}$

(b) The stabilizer of (A, B, i, j) in G is trivial.

(c) $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)^{\theta^{-ss}} = \mu^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof:

(a) Let $U' \subsetneq U$ be a nonzero (A, B) -stable subspace. Let $C := [A, B] - \lambda \text{Id}$, this is a $\text{rk } 1$ operator, equal to $-ij$. We write A', B', C' for the restrictions of A, B, C to U' & A'', B'', C'' for the induced operators on $U'' := U/U'$. We have $\text{tr } C' = \text{tr } [A', B'] - \text{tr } \lambda \text{Id}_{U'} = -\lambda \dim U' \Rightarrow C' \neq 0$ & similarly $C'' \neq 0$. This implies $\text{rk } C \geq \text{rk } C' + \text{rk } C'' \geq 2$, contradiction, proving (a).

(b) By Schur's Lemma applied to (a), we see that the stabilizer consists of scalar operators. Note that $i, j \neq 0$, so if a scalar operator acts trivially on i it must be the identity.

(c) is a direct corollary of (a) & Proposition in Sec 1.2. $\square$

Corollary: 1) $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a smooth subvariety in V of pure codimension n^2

2) $V //_{\lambda}^{\theta} G$ is a smooth affine symplectic variety of $\dim = 2n$ independent of θ .

Proof: left as an **exercise**: use the previous proposition. Use

Sec 1.1 of Lec 16 for 1). Use Corollary in Sec 1.4 of Lec 14 to show that $\mu^{-1}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(\lambda)/G$ is a principal G -bundle; Sec 1.0 to establish an algebraic symplectic form; and Proposition in Sec 1.3 of Lec 17 to show that

$$V_{\lambda}^{\theta} G \rightarrow V_{\lambda} G$$

is an isomorphism. $\square$

Remark: The reduction $V_{\lambda}^{\theta} G$ is known as the **Calogero-Moser space**, it is a compactified phase space of the Calogero-Moser system and was defined by Kazhdan, Kostant & Sternberg.

1.4) $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ ([Nak], Sec 1)

Here we investigate $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$ w. nonzero θ . Here's the main technical result.

Proposition: Let $(A, B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}$

- 1) If $\theta < 0$, then $j = 0$
- 2) If $\theta > 0$, then $i = 0$.

Before proving this result, let's explain its significance. Assume $\theta < 0$ first. Since $j = 0$, we have $ij = 0 \Rightarrow [A, B] = 0$. So $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss} =$

$\overline{\neq}$

$= \{(A, B, i, 0) \mid \mathbb{C}[A, B]i = \mathbb{C}^n\}$. The action of G on $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta=ss}$ is free.

Then $\mu^{-1}(0) //^{\theta} G \xrightarrow{\sim}$ the set of orbits $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta=ss} / G$ (recall that $\mu^{-1}(0) //^{\theta} G$ parameterizes closed G -orbits in $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta=ss}$).

Note that $\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \mid f(A, B)i = 0\}$ is a codimension n ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ depending only on the G -orbit of (A, B, i) . Conversely, given a codimension n ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, we can choose an identification $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ & define $A, B \in \text{End}(U)$ as the operators of multiplication by x & y & i as $1 + I \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Then $(A, B, i, 0) \in \mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta=ss}$. Note that different identifications $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/I \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ differ by an element of G and so we get maps between $\mu^{-1}(0) //^{\theta} G$ & $\{\text{codim } n \text{ ideals in } \mathbb{C}[x, y]\}$. In fact, these maps are mutually inverse (exercise). A stronger statement is true: $\mu^{-1}(0) //^{\theta} G$ is a "fine moduli space" (it comes w. a universal family) parameterizing codim n ideals known as the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Similarly to Corollary in Sec 1.3 the Hamiltonian reduction construction shows that $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is a smooth symplectic variety of dimension $2n$.

The case of $\theta > 0$ is handled using Exercise in Sec 1.2 (left as exercise).

Proof of Proposition:

Step 1: We start w. the following linear algebra fact:

if $A, B \in \text{End}(U)$ satisfy $\text{rk}[A, B] \leq 1$, then A, B are upper-triangular in some basis. It's enough to show that U admits a proper A & B -stable subspace, then we can argue by induction on $\dim U$.

We can replace A w. $A - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_U$ for suitable λ & assume A is degenerate. If $\ker A$ is B -stable, then we are done. Otherwise $\exists u \in \ker A$ w. $v := ABu \neq 0$. Note that $v = [A, B]u$ & then $\text{im}[A, B] = \mathbb{C}v$. We claim that $\text{Im } A$ is B -stable. Indeed, $BAu = ABu - [A, B]u$. The 1st summand is in $\text{Im } A$ & the 2nd is in $\mathbb{C}v \subset \text{Im } A$. So $\ker A$ or $\text{Im } A$ are a proper A -stable subspace.

Step 2: We claim that for $(A, B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ we have

$$(*) \quad \langle j, \mathbb{C}\langle A, B \rangle i \rangle = 0,$$

where we write $\mathbb{C}\langle A, B \rangle$ for the algebra of noncommutative polynomials in A & B ; $(*)$ & Proposition in Sec 1.2 finish the proof.

To prove $(*)$ we note that

$\langle j, f(A, B)i \rangle = \text{tr}(f(A, B)ij) = -\text{tr}(f(A, B)[A, B]) = 0$ [A, B are upper triangular in some basis $\Rightarrow [A, B]$ is strictly upper triangular, so is $f(A, B)[A, B] = 0$] $\square$

91

1.5) Remarks

1) One can also describe $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ although this is technically the hardest ([CG], Sec 2).

$$(**) \quad (\mathbb{C}^2)^n / S_n \longrightarrow \mu^{-1}(0) // G$$

is an isomorphism, where the morphism is induced by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_n), 0, 0).$$

One can show that:

- $(**)$ is surjective on the level of points - using Step 1 of the proof of Proposition in Sec 1.4.
- $(**)$ is a closed embedding: by using a description of generators of $\mathbb{C}[(\mathbb{C}^2)^n]^{S_n}$ going back to Weyl.
- $\mu^{-1}(0)$ (and hence $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$) is reduced. This requires:
 - showing that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ has $n+1$ irreducible components characterized by $\dim \mathbb{C}\langle A, B \rangle = i$ at the generic point.
 - showing that each of the components contains a free orbit
 - using properties of moment maps to show that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is generically reduced of $\dim = 2n^2 + n$ and then deducing it's reduced.

We also note that the natural morphism $\mu^{-1}(0) //^\theta G \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0) // G$ (for $\theta < 0$) is the Hilbert-Chow map sending an ideal I to its support counted w. multiplicities.

2) This construction generalizes to $PGL(r) \curvearrowright \text{Rep}(Q, r)$, see Example 2 in Sec 2 of Lec 18 (the current construction is a special case: $\bigcirc_n \leftarrow q$). The resulting Hamiltonian reductions are known as Nakajima quiver varieties and are very important in Geometric representation theory & Mathematical Physics.