Lecture 26, 4/23/05.

1) U-inveriants

1) U-inveriants 1.0) Recap Let G be a connected reductive group over C, UCG be a maximal unipotent subgroup of C&T=G be a maximal torus normalizing U. Consider the homogeneous space C/U (sometimes called the "principal affine space" even though it's not affine) It's acted on by GXT& by Sec 2.3 in Lec 25  $\mathbb{C}[G/u] \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\zeta \star T} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^{\star}} \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{\star}},$ (1) where we write It for the monoid of dominant weights in X(T). We further know (Proposition 1 in Sec 2.0 of Lec 25) that for every commutative algebre A equipped with a vational representation of G by automorphisms we have an algebra iso  $A^{\prime\prime} \leftarrow (C[G/u] \otimes A)^{G}$ In particular if OLG/UI is finitely generated (we will see below that this is the case), then A" is also finitely generated.

Rem: (1) equips ([[(11] w. a X + grading. It comes from the T-action and hence is a C-stable algebra grading. So A" comes equipped w. an algebra grading by  $\mathcal{X}^{\dagger}$ : a highest vector in  $V(\lambda)$ -> A lives in degree 2.\*

1.1) Finite generation. Theorem: For A as above TFAE: (a) A is finitely generated (b) A is finitely generated.

The scheme of the proof is as follows. We first prove  $(6) \Rightarrow$  (a). Then we prove the following claim of independent interest:

Proposition: C[G/4]" ~ CZ+, the monoid algebre of X.+

Using this proposition & (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) we prove (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (6).

Proof of (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Let  $f_{1} \dots f_{k} \in A^{U}$  be generators. Any finite collection of elements of A incl.  $f_{1} \dots f_{k}$  is contained in a finite dimensional G-subrepresentation, say V. Let A'CA be the subalgebra generated by V (hence finitely generated). It's G-steble 2

& contains A", i.e. all highest vectors in A. Since A is a completely reducible G-module, we have A'=A 

Proof of Proposition: First, consider the case when C is semisimple & simply connected. Here Xt is a free monoid (genereted by fundamental weights, w, ... w, ). Recell that C[G/4] is graded by Xtria the T-action and the grading is preserved by G. So  $\mathbb{C}[G/u]^{U} \subset \mathbb{C}[G/u]$  is a graded subalgebra. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{U}]_{\lambda}^{u} = \mathbb{C}$  if  $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}^{+} \& \{0\}$  else. Note that  $\mathbb{C}[C/u]^u \subset \mathbb{C}[C/u] \subset \mathbb{C}[C]$ are domains (G is connected hence irreducible). Pick  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[G/U]_{G_i} \setminus \{0\}$ We claim that C[G/U]" = C[f,...fr]. Indeed for di E Nzo we have I TI fi = C[(/U] W. 2 = Zdiwi. All these monomials are linearly independent (as they are in different degrees) yielding the claim. To prove  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{U}]^{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{X}^+$  in this case note that  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{X}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim}$ C[f, f,] VIR W: +> f: Now consider the general case. We can present G as (Z×G')/F,

where Z is a torus, G' is semisimple & simply connected &  $\Gamma$  is finite & central. Then  $U \hookrightarrow G'$  as max. unip. subgroup &  $G/U \xrightarrow{\sim} (Z \times G'/U)/\Gamma \Rightarrow G[G/U]^U \xrightarrow{\sim} [(G[Z] \otimes G[G'/U]^U)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} (GZ) \otimes GZ_{+}')^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} [exercise] GZ^+ \Box$  $(G[Z] \otimes G[G'/U]^U)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} (GZ(Z) \otimes GZ_{+}')^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} [exercise] GZ^+ \Box$ 3]

Proof of  $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (b)$ .  $\mathcal{X}^+$  is a finitely generated monoid, so  $C\mathcal{X}^+$  $(\simeq \mathbb{C}[G/u]^u)$  is a finitely generated algebra. Applying (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) to C[G/4], we see that C[G/4] is finitely generated. It follows that  $A' \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}[G/U] \otimes A)^G$  is finitely generated 

1.2) Preservetion of normelity Theorem: Let A be a finitely generated domain equipped w. a vational representation of G by algebra automorphisms. TFAE: (i) A is normal (ii) A is normal. Proof: (i) ⇒ (ii) is a general phenomenon that works for any automorphism group, Lemma 1 in Sec 1 of Lec 4. The proof of (ii) ⇒(i) is in several steps. Step 1: Let A denote the integral closure of A in Frac (A). It's finitely generated & G-stable (in Frac(A)). We claim that the action CrA is rational. Let  $X = Spec(A), X = Spec(\tilde{A})$ . Then the natural (dominant) morphism  $\pi: \widetilde{X} \to X$  has the following universal property:  $\forall$  dominent morphism y: Y -> X from a normal (affine) variety Y uniquely factors through X. 4

Note that the product of normal varieties is normal, see Stacks Project, Lemma 33.10.5. So take  $Y = G \times \tilde{X}$  & the composition  $G \times \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{id \times T} G \times X \xrightarrow{a} X$ , where a is the action morphism. It factors as  $G \times \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{T} X$ . The following exercise implies the claim of this step, the to Proposition in Sec 1.1 of Lec 3

Exercise:  $\tilde{\alpha}$ :  $\mathcal{C} \times \tilde{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}$  is an action morphism.

Step 2: Suppose we know  $\widehat{A}^{\mu} = A^{\mu}$ . Since  $A = \widehat{A}$  is G-stable 8 contains A", we get A= A yielding (i). Since A" is normal, it remains to show: (a) Au C Frac (Au) (b) A is integral over A4 Step 3: We prove (a). Let  $f \in \widehat{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime} \subset Frac(\Lambda)^{\prime \prime}$ . Our job is to find f' A" with ff' A. Let I = { f, A | f, F A }. This is a nonzero ideal & it's U-stable. The representation of U is rational & so on every finite dimensional subvepresentation U acts by unipotent operators. By an algebraic group version of Engelis theorem, I nonzero U-fixed vector f & I. Then ff & A, autom. U-invariant, proving. (a).

Step 4: Let  $B := \mathbb{C}[G/u] \otimes A$ ,  $\widetilde{B} := \mathbb{C}[G/u] \otimes \widetilde{A}$ . Then  $\widetilde{B}$  is integ-5

ral over B. We reduce (6) to the following: Claim: Let B, B be algebras equipped w. rational C-representations by automorphisms. Let B -> B be a C-equivariant algebra homomorphism. If B is integral over B, then BG is integral over BG Proof of Claim: Let  $f \in \widetilde{B}^{G} \& f + b_{f} f + \dots + b_{g} = 0 \ w. \ b_{f} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Applying the averaging operators & we get f+d(b,)f+...+d(b\_)=0 & use that  $d(b_i) \in B^G$ Π Remark: There are other properties that A&A" share. For example, we leave it as an exercise to show that for a finitely generated A equipped w. a votional representation of G by automorphisms, A is a domain (resp. reduced) iff so is A.

1.3) Application: normality of determinantal variety Theorem from Sec 1.2 can be used to prove the normality of some varieties: a key point is that the algebra A" is often easy to understand. As an example, taxe MINE The & V = Min (M, n). Consider the "determinantal vanety" X, = {A ∈ Matman | rx A ≤ r} cf. Problem 2 in HW3. 6

Theorem: X, is normal. Proof: The group  $G = GL_m \times GL_n$  acts on  $X_r$  via  $(g,h)A = gAh^{-1}$  Let  $U = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \subset G$ , maximal unipotent. Let Fi(A), i=1,...r, be the minor of size i in the top left corner of  $A \in Mat_{m \times n}$ , so  $F \in \mathbb{C}[X_r]$ . Exercise: F. is U-invariant. We claim that F. F. are algebraically independent & generate  $\mathbb{C}[X_{r}]^{q}$ For this consider the subvariety Y={(0, y, 0)}y: ECJCX, Using Gaussian elimination, one can show that the action mep U×Y -X, is dominant, exercise. So  $a^*: F \mapsto Fl_{\gamma} : \mathbb{C}[\chi_r]^u \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r].$ In particular, C[X, ]" is a domain, so C[X, ] is a domain, see Remark in Sec 1.2. Note that a\*(Fi) = Gi = y, ... y, algebraically independent. It remains to show that a\*(f) ∈ C[G,...Gr] & f ∈ C[X, ]" Note that Clymy, ] C C [ G, ,..., G, "]. Recall that C[X,]" is graded by It (T). We will only cove about the grading by  $\mathcal{X}(T_m) = \mathcal{I}^m$  for  $T_m = \{ diag(*, ...*) \} \subset GL_m$ . 7

Note that Tacts on Y by diag 
$$(t_1, ..., t_m)$$
.  $(y_1, ..., y_r) = (t_1y_1, ..., t_ry_r)$  &  
a is equivariant. Let  $\mathcal{E}_1 ... \mathcal{E}_m \in \mathcal{L}(T_m)$  be the standard basis:  $\mathcal{E}_1$   
sends diag  $(t_1, ..., t_m)$  to  $t_1$ . Then  $y_1$  has degree  $-\mathcal{E}_1$ .  
So, it's enough to consider a homogeneous element  $f \Rightarrow a^*(f)$   
is Laurent monomial in  $G_1 ... G_r$  b/c different monomials have dif-  
ferent degrees. But if a Laurent monomial  $F_1^{d_1} ... F_r^{d_r} \in \mathbb{C}[X_r]$ , then  
we must have  $d_1 \neq 0$ : in order to see this we evaluate the monomial  
of  $\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $S_1$  is a trensposition matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
where  $F_1 = 1$  for  $j \neq i$  &  $F_r = 0$ . We see that the monomials in the  
image of  $a^*$  are exactly  $G_1^{d_1} ... G_r^{d_r}$  w.  $d_1 \neq 0$ , which shows  
 $a^*$ :  $\mathbb{C}[X_r]^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[G_1,...,G_r]$  finishing the proof.  $\square$