Invariant theory 3, 1/22/25

1) Categorical quotients

Refevences: [PV], Secs 4.3, 4.4, 1.2.

1.0) Kecap & goals In Sec 1.3 of Lec 2 we have introduced reductive algebraic groups (over C); GL, (C), SL, (C), SO, (C), Sp2, (C) provide examples. We have seen that for the class of (finite dimensional) rational representations there is an averaging operator in the sense of Sec 1.1 of Lec 2, i.e. a collection (d,), where V runs over the rational representations s.t. (a1):  $im d_V \subset V^G$ (a2): d, (v) = v + v ∈ V4 (a3): d is functorial:  $\varphi \circ d_V = d_V \circ \varphi + \varphi \in Hom_{\mathcal{L}}(V,V')$ .

The existance of 2 implies:

Thm (Hilbert): Let G be reductive & V be a vational finite dimensional representation of G. Then C[V]4 is finitely generated.

In this lecture we will be concerned w. a more general situation. Let F be an algebraically closed (for simplicity) field & X be an affine variety over IF. Suppose that an algebraic group G acts on X in an algebraic way (i.e. the action map  $a: G \times X \longrightarrow X$  is a morphism). The action is by (auto) morphisms of X, hence it gives rise to an action of Con F[X] by algebra automorphisms.

Proposition 1: Suppose F= C& C is reductive. Then F[X]G is finitely generated.

As discussed in Sec 3 of Lec 1, we can form the variety, X/1G, the categorical quotient for GAX - later in this lecture we will justify the name - together with a dominant morphism  $\mathfrak{R}: X \rightarrow X// \mathcal{C}$ . We have stated:

Proposition 2: 9° is surjective & every fiber contains a unique closed (-orbit.

The main goal of this lecture is to prove Propositions 182 & related properties. 2

1.1) General rational representations. Let G be an algebraic group over IF. We can generalize the notion of rational to not necessarily finite dimensional representations as follows:

Definition: Let V be a representation of G. We say that V is rational if  $\forall v \in V \exists$  finite dimensional subrepresentation V < V w. v E V, which is rational (as a C-representation).

Example: Let V be a finite dimensional rational representation. Step 3 of the proof of Thm in Sec 1.2 of Lec 2 shows that IF[V] is rational.

The following generalization of this Example is a crucial ingredient in proving the propositions from Sec 1.0.

Proposition: Let X be an affine variety (or a finite type affine scheme over F) w. a Gaction. Then IF[x] is a vational C-representation.

Proof: The action morphism a: G × X → X gives the pullback 3

 $a^*: F[X] \longrightarrow F[G \times X] \xrightarrow{\sim} F[G] \otimes F[X]. Take f \in F[X]. Then$ we can find linearly independent  $f_{1,...,f_{k}} \in F[X], h_{1,...,h_{k}} \in F[G] w$ .  $a^{*}f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \otimes h_{i} \iff f(qx) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x)h_{i}(q) \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{C}, x \in X.$  In particular, for any g, we have  $g^{-!}f \in Span_F(f_i)$  (6/c  $\lfloor g^{-!}f \rfloor(x) = f(gx)$ ). So flies in a finite dimensional subrepresentation. We need to show it's rational. Set Vo: = Spen (fi) First, we claim Span  $(g, f | g \in G) = V$ . Indeed, since  $h_{\mu}, \dots, h_{\kappa} \in V$ F[G] are linearly independent I going & G s.t. the vectors (h: (g,),..., h; (g, )) ∈ F, i=1,.., K, are linearly independent. From here we see that V = Span (git +), yielding the claim. Now we need to show V is a rational representation, equivalently, metrix coefficient [g+> (B, g. 2) ] = FLG] & BEV, veV. We can pick o:= f 6/c that function was chosen arbitrarily. Then  $<\beta, q. v7 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} <\beta, f_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} (q^{-1})$ giving a polynomial function on G& finishing the proof

We will need the following property. If  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a homomorphism of rational representations, then q restricts to a linear map  $\mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

Lemma: Assume G is reductive (and F=C). If q is surjective, 4

then  $\varphi(U^G) = V^G$ 

Proof: If U, V are finite dimensional, then this follows from the existence of averaging operator - exercise (use (a2)& (a3)). In the general case, pick of & uego (3). By definition, I finite dimensional rational U.CU w. UEU. Now use the finite dimensional case for  $\varphi|_{U_a}$ :  $U_a \rightarrow \varphi(U_a)$  to see that  $v \in \varphi(U_0^G).$ 

Here's an actually stronger claim

Exercise: Suppose that G is an algebraic group over IF s.t. the class of (finite dimensional) vational representations admits an averaging operator, L. Show that I uniquely extends to all rational representations so that (a1)-(a3) continue to hold.

1.2) Proof of Proposition 1

We will use Proposition from Sec 1.1 to realize C[X] as a G-equivariant algebra quotient of C[V] for suitable (finite dimensional) rational G-representation V and then use Lemma in Sec 1.2 & Hilbert's thm to finish the proof. 5]

Step 1: Let  $f_{\mu}$   $f_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  be algebra generators. By Propin In Sec 1.1 ] fin. dim. rational G-subrepresentation Vic C[X] w. f. EV! Set V':= \$ V' The inclusion V' ([X] gives vise to a C-equivariant algebra homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[V'^*] = S(V') \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X].$ (1) Since  $f_{\mu}$ ,  $f_{\mu} \in V'$ , (1) is surjective. And V' is rational (as a quotient of a vational representation  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i^{(i)}$ . Now take  $V := V_i^{(*)}$ 

Step 2: Apply Lemma from Sec 1.2 to  $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[V] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ from Step 1. The restriction  $\varphi: \mathbb{C}[V]^G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]^G$  is still an algebra homomorphism. By Hilbert's thm from Sec 1.2 in Lec 2, C[V] is finitely generated. Hence so is its quotient C[X] "

Rem: Step 1 (that geometricelly means: X -V G-equivariant. ly) doesn't need ( to be reductive

1.3) Universal property Consider the morphism IT: X -> X//G. The following lemma justifies the name "categorical quotient."

Lemma: Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine 6

variety X. Let Y be another affine variety & ψ: X → Y be a *G*-invariant morphism. Then  $\exists : \psi : X//G \rightarrow Y w$ . (2) ψ=ψ°9Υ. Proof:  $\psi$  is G-invariant  $\Leftrightarrow h \circ \psi \in \mathbb{C}[X]^G \not\vdash h \in \mathbb{C}[Y]$  (to see  $\Leftarrow$ let  $(h_1, h_n): Y \hookrightarrow A^n$  and use  $h:=h_i, i=1, ..., n) \iff im \psi^* \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$ . Set  $\psi: X//G \rightarrow Y$  to be the dual morphism to  $\psi^*: \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]^{\mathcal{H}}$ Then y\*=51\*° y\* ⇐ (2). The uniqueness of y satisfying (2) is an exercise Exercise 2: Let X', X be two affine varieties w. C-actions. Let  $\varphi: X' \to X$  be a *G*-equivariant morphism. Then  $\exists!$ 

q: X//C -> X//C making the following diagram commutative: ∫ກ′ (\*) X'//G \_\_\_\_\_ ×//G Moreover,  $\varphi^* \colon \mathbb{C}[X]^G \to \mathbb{C}[X']^G$  is the restriction of  $\varphi^*$ .

1.4) Further properties of sr As before, in this section G is a reductive group acting on an affine variety X. The following implies Prop 2 from Sec 1.2. ¥

Proposition: i) IT is surjective

ii) Let X'a X be a closed (-stable subvariety & q: X' > X denote the inclusion. Then of from Exercise 2 in Sec 1.3 is a closed inclusion & it identifies X//G w. sr(X').

iii) Let X', X' < X be G-stable closed subvarieties w. X' NX'= . Then  $\mathcal{T}(X_1') \cap \mathcal{T}(X_2') = \phi$ .

Proof: i) Let x ∈ X//G & m ⊂ C[X]<sup>G</sup> be its maximal ideal. The claim that  $\pi^{-1}(x) \neq \phi$  is equivalent to (3)  $\mathbb{C}[X]m(=Span_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(m)) \neq \mathbb{C}[X]$ Suppose (3) fails:  $\exists f_{k} \in h_{k} \in h_{k} \in C[X] s.t.$  $(3') \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i h_i = 1$ We want to apply the averaging operator to (3') - but first we need to construct it. Choose a rational representation Vas in Sec 1.2 so that C[V] -> C[X] G-equivariantly Let C[V] si be the space of elements of deg = i & C[X] si be its image in C[X] so that C[X]= U Č[X]\_si. Now we can argue as in Step 3 of the proof of Hilbert's theorem (Sec 1.2 of Lec 2):  $\mathbb{C}[X]_{\leq i}$  is a rational representation so 8

comes with averaging operator,  $d_i$ . Then we define  $d: \mathbb{C}[X] \to \mathbb{C}[X]$ by:  $d(f) = d_i(f)$  for  $f \in C[X]_{\leq i}$ . Apply 2 to (3'). Arguing as in Steps 4,5 of the proof of Hilbert's thm, we get  $1 = \mathcal{L}(\Sigma f_i h_i) = \Sigma f_i \mathcal{L}(h_i)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}(h_i) \in \mathbb{C}[X]^G$ , this implies 1 Em leading to contradiction.

ii)  $\varphi^*: \mathbb{C}[X] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X']$  is G-equivariant. By Lemma in Sec 1.1, q\* restricts to C[X]4 -> C[X']4 So q: X//G -> X//G is a closed embedding. In diagram (\*), It' is surjective by (i), so 9r(X') = im q ~ X//G proving the claim.

iii) Set  $X' = X'_{1} \sqcup X'_{2}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{C}[X'] = \mathbb{C}[X'_{1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}[X'_{2}]$  w. diagonal Gartion  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X']^{G} = \mathbb{C}[X'_{1}]^{G} \oplus \mathbb{C}[X'_{2}]^{G} \Leftrightarrow X'_{1}/G \longrightarrow X'_{1}/G \sqcup X'_{2}/G$ Now iii) follows from ii) (applied to the inclusions  $X'_{1}, X'_{2}, X' \longrightarrow X$ ).

Covollary: Every fiber of Ir contains a unique (Zavisni) closed G-orbit.

Proof: I x EXIIC, IT'(x) is a non-empty (by i) & C-stable closed subvariety. Any orbit of minimal dimension is closed, 9

so there's at least one. If there are two distinct closed arbits X, & X', then X' X' = Q. Then Jr (K') = x contradicting iii) D

In particular, XIIG indeed parameterizes the closed G-orbits in X.

Exercise: If G is finite, then every fiber of It is a single G-prhit.

10