

Invariant theory 6

- 1) Cartan spaces for θ -groups
- 2) Weyl groups for θ -groups
- 3) Vinberg's lemma & applications.

Ref: [V].

1) Cartan spaces for θ -groups

1.0) Reminder (from Lec 5)

The base field is \mathbb{C} . Let G be a connected reductive group, θ an order d automorphism of G , $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$. If we fix a primitive $\varepsilon = \sqrt[d]{1}$, then θ gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$.

As we have seen in Sec 1.2 of Lec 5, G^θ is a reductive group, of positive dimension if $(G, \theta) \neq \{1\}$. Let G_0 be the connected component of 1 in G^θ (we have $G_0 = G^\theta$ if G is simply connected).

We are interested in the representation of G_0 in \mathfrak{g}_1 . It turns out that this family of representations has many features of their special case: adjoint representations of semisimple groups.

For example, in Sec 2.2 we have introduced Cartan subspaces in \mathfrak{g}_1 : maximal (w.r.t. \subseteq) subspaces consisting of pairwise commuting semisimple elements.

1.1) Conjugacy of Cartan subspaces

Any two Cartan subalgebras in \mathfrak{g} are conjugate by an element of G . This generalizes to the graded setup.

Thm (Vinberg) Any two Cartan subspaces in \mathfrak{g}_1 are conjugate by an element of G_0 .

Proof: Let σ^1, σ^2 be Cartan subspaces in \mathfrak{g}_1 & set $z^i := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid [x, \sigma^i] = 0\}$, $i=1,2$.

We will generalize the usual argument for the adjoint representations, in particular, show that $G_0 z^i$ is Zariski dense in \mathfrak{g}_1 .

Step 1: We omit the superscript in this step: set $\sigma := \sigma^1$, $z := z^1$.

Take a Zariski generic $x \in \sigma$, in particular,

$$(*) \quad \ker(\operatorname{ad} x) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 = z.$$

We claim that

$$(1) \quad z \oplus [\mathfrak{g}_0, x] = \mathfrak{g}_1$$

Note that since x is semisimple, $[\mathfrak{g}, x]$ is the sum of eigenspaces for x with nonzero eigenvalues. So

$$(2) \quad \ker \operatorname{ad} x \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, x] = \mathfrak{g}$$

We note that $[\mathfrak{g}_i, x] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$, hence

$$(3) \quad \ker(\operatorname{ad} x) = \bigoplus_i [\ker(\operatorname{ad} x) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i], \quad [\mathfrak{g}, x] = \bigoplus_i [\mathfrak{g}_i, x].$$

Combining (2) and (3) yields (1).

2]

Note that (1) implies that $G_0 z^i$ contains a Zariski open subset in \mathfrak{g}_1 .

Step 2: Our goal in this and further steps is to recover \mathfrak{o}_1 from a Zariski generic element of z^i . We again omit the superscript.

Note that all semisimple elements in z are in \mathfrak{o}_1 (by the maximality condition). So \mathfrak{o}_1 can be recovered as the set of semisimple elements in $\ker(\text{ad } x) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$, for a Zariski generic element $x \in \mathfrak{o}_1$. Our question is therefore how to recover a Zariski generic element of \mathfrak{o}_1 from that of z . We'll see that the answer is: as the semisimple part.

Step 3: We claim that $z_s \in \mathfrak{o}_1 \ \forall z \in z$. Consider the subgroup $Z_G(\mathfrak{o}_1) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{o}_1\}$. It's an algebraic subgroup w. Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{o}_1) = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [y, \mathfrak{o}_1] = 0\}$. Note that $z = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{o}_1) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then $z_s \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{o}_1)$ (exercise) & $z_s \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ by Corollary in Sec 2.1 of Lec 5. Hence $z_s \in z \Rightarrow z_s \in \mathfrak{o}_1$.

Step 4: Let N denote the subset of all nilpotent elements in z , a closed subvariety. Consider the morphism:

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{o}_1 \times N \rightarrow z, (x, y) \mapsto x + y.$$

We claim that (4) is iso. Since z is normal, it's sufficient

3

to prove (4) is bijective (see Fact in Sec 1 of Lec 4). By Step 3, $(z_s, z_n) \in \sigma \times N \forall z \in \mathfrak{z}$. Then $z \mapsto (z_s, z_n)$ is an inverse of (4) (exercise).

Step 5: Since (4) is an isomorphism, $z \mapsto z_s: \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \sigma$ is a morphism. It follows from here and (*) that

$$\mathring{\mathfrak{z}} = \{z \in \mathfrak{z} \mid \ker(\text{ad } z_s) \cap \sigma_1 = \mathfrak{z}\}$$

is Zariski dense (and open) in \mathfrak{z}

By Step 1, $G_0 \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}^i, i=1,2$, contain dense open subsets of σ_1
 $\Rightarrow G_0 \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}^1 \cap G_0 \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}^2 \neq \emptyset$. Replacing σ^2 with $g \cdot \sigma^2$ for suitable $g \in G_0$
 we can assume $\exists z \in \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}^1 \cap \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}^2$. We then recover σ^i from z as explained in Step 2 & get $\sigma^1 = \sigma^2$. \square

2) Weyl groups for θ -groups

Consider the subgroups

$$N_{G_0}(\sigma) = \{g \in G_0 \mid g\sigma = \sigma\} \supset Z_{G_0}(\sigma) = \{g \in N_{G_0}(\sigma) \mid gx = x \forall x \in \sigma\}$$

Note that $Z_{G_0}(\sigma) \triangleleft N_{G_0}(\sigma)$ is normal. Similarly, we get subgroups $Z_G(\sigma) \triangleleft N_G(\sigma)$.

The quotient $N_{G_0}(\sigma)/Z_{G_0}(\sigma)$ to be denoted by W_θ acts σ by linear transformations. It's called the **Weyl group** (of G_0 acting on σ_1).

Lemma: W_θ is finite.

Proof: Note that $W_\theta = N_G(\sigma)/Z_G(\sigma) \hookrightarrow N_G(\sigma)/Z_G(\sigma)$

So it's enough to show $N_G(\sigma)/Z_G(\sigma)$ is finite. This claim reduces to semisimple G (details are an **exercise**). In this case note that the weights of the representation of σ in \mathfrak{g} span σ^* . And $N_G(\sigma)$ preserves this finite set. An element fixing each individual weight must act trivially on σ^* , hence on σ , hence is in $Z_G(\sigma)$. $N_G(\sigma)/Z_G(\sigma)$ embeds into the permutations of a finite set, so is finite.

□

Our first important result re W_θ is a generalization of the Chevalley restriction theorem.

Thm (Chevalley/Vinberg): Let $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{g}$, denote a Cartan subspace and $i: \sigma \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, be the inclusion. The restriction of $i^*: \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\sigma]$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{G_0}$ gives $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{G_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\sigma]^{W_\theta}$.

Exercise: $i^*(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{G_0}) \subset \mathbb{C}[\sigma]^{W_\theta}$

The proof (to be given in Lec 7) follows that of the usual Chevalley restriction theorem for $G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$ but is more involved.

In Sec. 3 we will start developing tools for the proof.

3) Vinberg's Lemma & applications.

3.1) Statement & proof.

Let G be a connected algebraic group, $H \subset G$ a connected algebraic subgroup, V a finite dimensional rational G -representation, $U \subset V$ an H -subrepresentation. Suppose we can find H -subrepresentations $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ & $U' \subset V$ s.t.

$$(i) \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{g}, U \oplus U' = V$$

$$(ii) xu \in U' \nexists x \in \mathfrak{h}', u \in U.$$

Examples: (i) is always achievable when H is reductive. In addition, we can achieve (ii) in the following two situations:

$$(a) V = \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}' = U' \text{ is some } H\text{-stable complement of } \mathfrak{h}$$

$$(b) \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \sigma_i \text{ as before, } H = G_0, U = \sigma_1. \text{ Here we can take } \mathfrak{h}' = \bigoplus_{i \neq 0} \sigma_i \text{ \& } U' = \bigoplus_{i \neq 1} \sigma_i. \text{ To check (ii) is an } \textit{exercise}.$$

Theorem: Under assumptions (i), (ii) above the following holds:
 $\nexists u \in U$, the intersection $G_u \cap U$ is reduced & every connected (in Zariski topology) component of $G_u \cap U$ is a single H -orbit.

Proof:

$$\nexists v \in G_u \cap U \Rightarrow T_v(G_u \cap U) = [G_v = G_u] = \mathfrak{g}_v \cap U = [\mathfrak{h}_v \cap U, \mathfrak{h}'_v \cap U] = \mathfrak{h}_v \cap U = T_v H \cap U \Rightarrow [H\text{-equivariance}] T_v(G_u \cap U) = T_v H \cap U \nexists v \in H \cap U.$$

\square

Since $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a smooth irreducible scheme, this shows $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ is open in G/U & v is a reduced point. This implies our claim. \square

3.2) Applications

We will apply Theorem to study G_0 -orbits of semisimple & nilpotent elements in \mathfrak{g} , (to be called semisimple & nilpotent orbits).

Proposition 1: Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, be semisimple. Then $G_0 x$ is closed.

Proposition 2: The number of nilpotent G_0 -orbits in \mathfrak{g} , is finite.

The ideas of proofs for both propositions are similar: for $G = GL_n$ acting on \mathfrak{gl}_n both claims are known or easy. Then we apply Theorem in Example a (with G becoming H there & GL_n becoming G) to deduce the claims for the adjoint representation of an arbitrary (connected reductive) G . Then we deduce the general case by applying Theorem in Example (b).

Remarks: 1) Prop 2 for the adjoint representation is usually proved using the Jacobson-Morozov theory

2) The converse of Proposition 1 is also true: if $G_0 x$ is closed, then x is semisimple, this will be deduced from Prop 2.

\square

Proof of Prop. 1:

Step 1 ($GL_n \curvearrowright \mathfrak{gl}_n$): It's easy to deduce from the JNF theorem that $\overline{GL_n \cdot x} \supset GL_n \cdot x_s \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ (to do this is an exercise). To deduce the claim from this fact is also an exercise. So, $GL_n \cdot x_s$ has minimal dimension in $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x_s))$ for $\pi: \mathfrak{gl}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n // GL_n$, the quotient morphism, hence $GL_n \cdot x_s$ is closed.

Step 2 ($G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$): By Exercise 2 in Sec 2.3 in Lec 4, G admits a faithful finite dimensional rational representation, V . By §3.1.4 in [OV], the image of an algebraic group homomorphism is closed. So we can view G as an algebraic subgroup of $GL(V)$.

Apply theorem in Example a (to $G \subset GL(V)$). We see that for semisimple $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $GL(V) \cdot x$ is closed $\Rightarrow GL(V) \cdot x \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is closed.

But $G \cdot x$ is a connected component in the closed subvariety $GL(V) \cdot x \cap \mathfrak{g}$, hence is closed.

Step 3: We argue as in the previous paragraph but applying Thm in Example b). This finishes the proof, details are left as an exercise. □

Proof of Prop 2: By the JNF theorem, there are finitely many nilpotent $GL(V)$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. We argue as in the proof of

Prop 1 but use that a variety has only finitely many connected components. Details are left as an *exercise*. \square