

## Invariant theory ♯, 02/03/05.

1) Proof of Chevalley restriction theorem.

2) Weyl group is a complex reflection group.

Refs: [V]; [PV], Sec 8.3.

### 1.0) Reminder

We are in the setting of Sec 1.0 of Lec 6:  $G$  is a connected reductive group/ $\mathbb{C}$  w. an order  $d$  automorphism  $\theta$  giving rise to the grading  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$ . We care about the action of the connected reductive group  $G_0 = (G^\theta)^\circ$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ .

In Lec 5 we have introduced Cartan subspaces  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ :

maximal subspaces of pairwise commuting semisimple elements.

Such a subspace is acted on by the finite group  $W_\theta = N_{G_0}(\mathfrak{a})/Z_{G_0}(\mathfrak{a})$ , the Weyl group. We have stated the following general version of the Chevalley restriction theorem due to Vinberg:

**Thm:** Let  $\iota: \mathfrak{a} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$  denote the inclusion map. Then

$$\iota^*: \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{G_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}]^{W_\theta}$$

In Sec 3.2 we have shown two results useful to prove

1]

the theorem:

Proposition 1: If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is semisimple, then  $G_0 x$  is closed.

Proposition 2: The number of nilpotent  $G_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is finite.

### 1.1) Closed $G_0$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_1$

Proposition 3: We have  $G_0 x_s \subset \overline{G_0 x} \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ . In particular, if  $G_0 x$  is closed, then  $x$  is semisimple.

Proof: We first prove that  $\overline{G_0 x} \ni 0$  for nilpotent  $x$  and then reduce to this case by passing to a suitable  $\theta$ -stable subgroup of  $G$ .

Case 1:  $x$  is nilpotent. Let  $N$  denote the locus of nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , a closed subvariety stable under the action of  $G_0 \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  acts by scaling. Since  $N/G_0$  parameterizes the closed  $G_0$ -orbits in  $N$ , our task is to show  $N/G_0 = \text{pt.}$

First, observe that  $\mathbb{C}[N]^{G_0} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable b/c the actions of  $G_0$  and  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  commute. We have the following diagram of algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} & \xrightarrow{(1)} & \mathbb{C}[N]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \\ & & \cup \\ \mathbb{C}[N/G_0] & \xleftarrow{(2)} & \mathbb{C}[N/G_0]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}[N]^{G_0 \times \mathbb{C}^\times} \end{array}$$

(1) is surjective by Proposition in Sec 1.4 in Lec 3. Note

2]

that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}$  b/c the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action is by scaling. Hence  $\mathbb{C}[N]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}[N/G_0]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}$ .

On the other hand, Proposition 2 in Sec. 1.0. and the surjectivity of  $N \rightarrow N/G_0$  imply that  $N/G_0$  is finite (as a set).

Since  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is connected, its action on a finite variety is trivial.

Hence  $\mathbb{C}[N/G_0]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}[N/G_0]$ .

Case 2:  $x$  is general. Set  $L = Z_G(x_s)^\circ$  (in fact,  $Z_G(x_s)$  is already connected - this is a so called Levi subgroup of  $G$ )

Adapting an argument of the proof of Proposition in Sec 1.2 of Lec 5) we see that  $L$  is reductive. Since  $\theta(x_s) = \varepsilon x_s$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \Rightarrow x_s \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , by Corollary in Sec 2.1 of Lec 6), we have  $\theta(Z_G(x_s)) = Z_G(\theta(x_s)) = Z_G(x_s)$ . So  $L$  is  $\theta$ -stable.

Note that  $x_s, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1$  and  $\overline{L_0} x_n \ni 0$  by Case 1. Also,  $L_0$  fixes  $x_s \Rightarrow L_0 x = x_s + L_0 x_n \Rightarrow x_s \in \overline{L_0} x \subset \overline{G_0} x$ .  $\square$

From the proof we can deduce property (6) from the intro to Sec 1 in Lec 7.

**Corollary** (of the proof): Let  $\pi: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0$  denote the quotient morphism. Every fiber consists of finitely many orbits.

Proof: Recall, Sec 1.4 of Lec 3, that the points of  $\mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  are in bijection with the closed  $G_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . By Propositions 1 & 3 those are exactly the semisimple orbits. Moreover, Proposition 3 shows that  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  are in the same fiber of  $\mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow G_0 x_s = G_0 y_s$ .

**Exercise:**  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , there's a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{nilpotent } Z_G(x_s)^\theta\text{-orbits in } \mathfrak{l}_1 \\ Z_G(x_s)^\theta \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_0\text{-orbits } G_0 y \text{ w. } G_0 y_s = G_0 x_s \\ G_0(x_s + Z) \end{array} \right\}$$

The set in the l.h.s. is finite by Proposition 2. □

## 1.2) Proof of Theorem

Geometrically, we have the unique morphism  $\underline{\iota}: \mathfrak{a}/W_\theta \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathfrak{g}_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{a}/W_\theta & \xrightarrow{\underline{\iota}} & \mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0 \end{array}$$

and we want to prove that  $\underline{\iota}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  is normal it's enough to show  $\underline{\iota}$  is bijective (cf. Sec 1 of Lec 4)

Step 1 (surjectivity)

Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}(X)$  lie in the unique closed  $G_0$ -

orbit. The element  $x$  is semisimple by Prop 3 hence lies in some Cartan subspace ( $\mathbb{C}x$  is a subspace consisting of pairwise commuting s/simple elements). We can replace  $x$  w. a conjugate & assume  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\underline{c}(W_{\theta}x) = X$ , so  $\underline{c}$  is surjective.

Step 2 (injectivity)

Since  $G_{\theta}x$  is closed  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{a}$  and each fiber of  $\pi$  contains a unique closed  $G_{\theta}$ -orbit the injectivity reduces to checking:

$$(*) \quad x \in G_{\theta}y \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{a} \Rightarrow x \in N_{G_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{a})y$$

So suppose  $G_{\theta}x = G_{\theta}y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G_{\theta}$  w.  $g.y = x$ . Both Cartan subspaces  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $g.\mathfrak{a}$  contain  $x$ . Let  $L = Z_{\mathbb{C}}(x)^{\circ}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}, g.\mathfrak{a} \subset L$ , and are Cartan subspaces there. Hence  $\exists h \in L$  w.  $g.\mathfrak{a} = h.\mathfrak{a}$ . Note that  $h.x = x$ . Hence  $(h^{-1}g).y = x$  &  $h^{-1}g.\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow h^{-1}g \in N_{G_{\theta}}(\mathfrak{a})$  finishing the proof.  $\square$

2) Weyl group is a complex reflection group.

2.1) Complex reflection groups.

Definition: Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space/ $\mathbb{C}$ .

- $s \in GL(V)$  is called a **complex reflection** (a.k.a. pseudo-reflection) if it has finite order &  $\text{rk}(s - \text{id}) = 1$

- A finite subgroup  $W \subset GL(V)$  is called a **complex reflection**

subgroup if it is generated by complex reflections.

Examples: 1) A complex reflection group preserving a real form  $V_{\mathbb{R}} \subset V$  (resp. a rational form  $V_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset V$ ) is the same thing as a real reflection group (resp. a crystallographic reflection group a.k.a. the Weyl group of a semisimple Lie algebra).

2) If  $\dim V = 1$ , then any finite subgroup of  $GL(V)$  is a complex reflection group.

Here is the main reason why one cares about complex reflection groups is the following result.

Thm (Chevalley-Shephard-Todd). For a finite subgroup  $W \subset GL(V)$   
TFAE:

- 1)  $W$  is a complex reflection group
- 2)  $V//W$  is an affine space
- 3)  $\pi: V \rightarrow V//W$  is flat ( $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[V]^W$ -module).

We won't give a complete proof, it can be found in [B], Ch. V, §5. Some implications are easier. For example, 2)  $\Rightarrow$  3)

□

follows from a basic commutative algebra observation that a finite dominant morphism  $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is flet. We will prove  $2) \Rightarrow 1)$  below.

## 2.2) Main result

Thm 1 (Vinberg):  $W_\theta \subset GL(\sigma)$  is a complex reflection group

Combining this with theorems from Secs 1.0 and 2.1 we arrive at:

Corollary:  $\mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma // W_\theta$  is an affine space.

Vinberg's proof in the general situation is not pleasant (involves some case by case considerations). We will only prove an important special case: when  $G_0$  is semisimple. Here we have the following cute general result due to Panyushev.

Theorem 2: Let  $U, V$  be finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces, and  $\Gamma \subset GL(U)$  &  $G \subset GL(V)$  be finite and (connected) s/simple subgroups, respectively. If  $U // \Gamma$  &  $V // G$  are isomorphic as varieties, then  $\Gamma$  is a complex reflection group (and hence  $U // \Gamma$  is an affine space).

The proof is essentially topological & uses the following concept.

**Definition:** Let  $X$  be an irreducible variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $X$  is **strongly simply connected** if  $X \setminus Y$  is simply connected  $\forall$  closed subvariety  $Y \subset X$  w.  $\text{codim}_x Y \geq 2$ .

**Example/exercise:**  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is strongly simply connected.

Panyushev's theorem follows from the following two results to be proved next time. We use the notation of Thm 2 for both.

**Proposition 1:**  $V//G$  is strongly simply connected.

**Proposition 2:** If  $U//\Gamma$  is strongly simply connected, then  $\Gamma$  is a complex reflection group.