# CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS AT T=0 AND CALOGERO-MOSER SPACES

#### IVAN LOSEV, WRITTEN BY SETH SHELLEY-ABRAHAMSON

ABSTRACT. These are notes for a talk in the MIT-Northeastern Spring 2015 Geometric Representation Theory Seminar. We discuss rational Cherednik algebras at t=0.

## Contents

1.	Calogero-Moser Spaces	1
2.	Poisson Structure	2
3.	Smoothness	3

## 1. Calogero-Moser Spaces

We use notation from the previous lecture, with  $\mathfrak{h}:=V$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, and  $W\subset GL(\mathfrak{h})$  is a finite subgroup generated by complex reflections. We have the  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$ -algebra  $\mathbb{H}_0=\mathbb{H}/(T)$ , the generic rational Cherednik algebra at T=0. It is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded with  $\deg\mathfrak{h}^*=\deg W=0$ ,  $\deg\mathfrak{h}=\deg C_s=1$ . One has other gradings as well, for example,  $\deg\mathfrak{h}=\deg W=0$  and  $\deg\mathfrak{h}^*=1$ . For a  $c\in\mathcal{C}$ , we have the associated specialization  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}$ , a filtered  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

We briefly recall a few results from Yi's talk.

a) We have the PBW theorem, stating that the natural  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$ -linear multiplication map

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}] \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes S\mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{H}_0$$

is an isomorphism.

**b**) Let  $\mathcal{Z} = Z(\mathbb{H}_0)$  denote the center of  $\mathbb{H}_0$ , and similarly let  $\mathcal{Z}_c = Z(\mathbb{H}_{0,c})$  denote the center of  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}$ . We have the Satake isomorphism, which states that the maps

$$\mathcal{Z} \to e \mathbb{H}_0 e$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_c \to e \mathbb{H}_{0,c} e$$

given by  $z \mapsto ze$  are isomorphisms. The algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_c$  is filtered, as the specialization of the graded algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and we have

$$\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{Z}_c = \mathcal{Z}_0 = S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)^W.$$

 $Date \hbox{: February 3, 2015}.$ 

c) Let  $\delta = (\prod_{s \in S} \alpha_s)^? \in S\mathfrak{h}^{*W}$  denote a W-invariant power of the discriminant element, so that  $\mathfrak{h}^{reg}$  is the associated principal open set. Then we have the localization lemma, stating that the following diagram of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$ -algebras commutes, where the diagonal map is given by the Dunkl operator embedding:

$$\mathbb{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}_0[\delta^{-1}]$$

$$\cong \bigvee_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[T^*\mathfrak{h}^{reg}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}W}$$

All maps here are injective maps of algebras, with the vertical map an isomorphism  $\mathbf{c}^*$ ) Similarly, setting  $\delta^* = (\prod_{s \in S} \alpha_s^{\vee})^? \in S\mathfrak{h}^W$ , we have the diagram

$$\mathbb{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}_0[\delta^{*-1}]$$

$$\cong \bigvee_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[T^*\mathfrak{h}^{*reg}] \rtimes \mathbb{C}W}$$

Let  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{Z})$ . Then there is a natural flat map  $X \to \mathcal{C}$ , and  $X_c := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{Z}_c)$  is the fiber above  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . We call X and  $X_c$  Calogero-Moser spaces.

Note by PBW we have an embedding  $S\mathfrak{h}^W \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}_0$ . Even better:

Lemma 1. 
$$S\mathfrak{h}^W \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W} \subset \mathcal{Z}$$
.

*Proof.* That  $S\mathfrak{h}^{*W} \subset \mathcal{Z}$  follows immediately from the Dunkl operator embedding. The case of  $S\mathfrak{h}^W$  is similar, using the diagram  $\mathbf{c}^*$ ) above.

So, we see 
$$\mathcal{Z} \supset \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}] \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W} \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{W}$$
.

**Lemma 2.**  $\mathcal{Z}$  is free graded rank |W| module over  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}] \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W} \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{W}$ .

Proof. We see that  $S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$  is a direct summand of  $S\mathfrak{h} \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^*$  as a  $S\mathfrak{h}^W \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W}$ -module (in fact, as a  $S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ -modules) - indeed, a complement is given by  $(1-e)(S\mathfrak{h} \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^*)$  where  $e=\frac{1}{|W|}\sum_{w\in W}w\in \mathbb{C}W$ . By the Chevalley theorem,  $S\mathfrak{h} \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^*$  is a free module over  $S\mathfrak{h}^W \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W}$ , and hence  $S(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$  is a finitely generated projective, hence free, module over  $S\mathfrak{h}^W \otimes S\mathfrak{h}^{*W}$ . The rank is |W|, as one can see for example by considering the fiber at a point of  $(\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)^{reg}$ . This gives the result for the specialization at c=0. The result then follows from a version of Nakayama's lemma and the facts that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded with  $\deg \mathcal{C}=1$  and that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is free over  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$ .

So we see that the natural maps  $X \to \mathcal{C} \times \mathfrak{h}/W \times \mathfrak{h}^*/W$  and the specialization  $X_c \to \mathfrak{h}/W \times \mathfrak{h}^*/W$  are finite degree-|W| maps. In view of  $\mathbf{c}$ ) and  $\mathbf{c}^*$ ) we see over  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathfrak{h}^{reg}/W \times \mathfrak{h}^*/W$ , X is  $\mathcal{C} \times (\mathfrak{h}^{reg} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/W$ , and similarly over  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathfrak{h}/W \times \mathfrak{h}^{*reg}/W$ , X is  $\mathcal{C} \times (\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^{*reg})/W$ .

## 2. Poisson Structure

We define a  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$ -linear Poisson bracket on  $\mathcal{Z}$  as follows. Let  $\iota: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{H}$  be any  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$ -linear lift of the inclusion  $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{H}_0$  to the algebra  $\mathbb{H}$ :



By the PBW theorem, a lift  $\mathbb{H}_0 \to \mathbb{H}$  exists, giving the existence of a lift  $\iota$ . Given  $a, b \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , that a is central in  $\mathbb{H}_0$  implies  $[\iota(a), h], [\iota(b), h] \in T\mathbb{H}$ . It follows from the Jacobi identity that  $[[\iota(a), \iota(b)], h] \in T^2\mathbb{H}$ . It follows that  $[\iota(a), \iota(b)] \in T\iota(\mathcal{Z}) + T^2\mathbb{H}$ . We then define

$$\{a,b\} := \frac{1}{T}[\iota(a),\iota(b)] \bmod (T)$$

It is an exercise to see that this is independent of the lift  $\iota$ , that  $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$  is Poisson, and of degree -1. It is easy to see that  $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$  vanishes on  $S\mathfrak{h}^W$  and  $S\mathfrak{h}^{*W}$ .

**Definition 3.** Let X be an affine Poisson variety. A closed subscheme  $Y_0 \subset X$  is called Poisson, if  $\{\mathbb{C}[Y], I(Y_0)\} \subset I(Y_0)$ , where we write  $I(Y_0)$  for the ideal of  $Y_0$ .

**Definition 4.** We say a Poisson variety Y has finitely many leaves if Y has a finite stratification  $Y = \coprod_i Y_i$  into locally closed subvarieties such that  $Y_i$  is symplectic and  $\overline{Y_i}$  is Poisson. We call the  $Y_i$  the symplectic leaves of Y.

**Exercise 5.** Let V be a symplectic vector space,  $\Gamma \subset Sp(V)$  a finite subgroup, and  $Y = V/\Gamma$ . Then the symplectic leaves of Y are in bijection with the conjugacy classes of stabilizers  $\Gamma_v$  of  $\Gamma$ , with the conjugacy class  $[\Gamma']$  corresponding to the image in  $V/\Gamma$  of  $\{v \in V : \Gamma_v = \Gamma'\}$ .

**Exercise 6.**  $Y^{sing} \subset Y$  is Poisson. Also, the nonsymplectic locus in a smooth Poisson variety is a Poisson subvariety.

**Proposition 7.**  $X_c$  has finitely many symplectic leaves.

*Proof.* The Poisson structure on  $Z_0 = S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$  is the standard Poisson structure restricted from  $S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)$ . Indeed, the bracket is independent of the lift  $Z_0 \to \mathbb{H}_{T,0}$ . The standard Poisson bracket on  $S(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$  comes from a lift

$$\iota_0: \mathcal{Z}_0 \to \mathcal{D}_T(\mathfrak{h})^W \subset \mathbb{H}_{T,0} = \mathcal{D}_T(\mathfrak{h}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}W.$$

It follows that the zero fiber of the scheme  $X_{\mathbb{C}c}/\mathbb{C}c$  is generically symplectic, so  $X_c$  is generically symplectic. Now let  $Y \subset X_c$  be an irreducible Poisson subvariety. It is enough to show Y is generically symplectic. Indeed, by the previous exercise, the locus, where Y is not smooth or not symplectic is a proper Poisson subvariety and we can apply the induction on dimension of Y.

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[X_c]$  be the ideal of Y. The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X_{\mathbb{C}c}]$  is the Rees algebra  $R_t(\mathbb{C}[X_c]) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{C}[X_c]_{\leq i}t^i$ . Consider the subscheme  $Y_{\mathbb{C}c} \subset X_{\mathbb{C}c}$  defined by  $R_t(I)$ . Its zero fiber is a Poisson subscheme in  $X_0 = (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)/W$  and hence has finitely many leaves. In particular, it is generically symplectic. So  $Y_c$  is generically symplectic.  $\square$ 

## 3. Smoothness

It is natural to ask whether  $X_c$  can be smooth, and to characterize the smooth points.

Let us address the smoothness. In the case  $W = G(\ell, 1, n)$  (this is the group  $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) all  $X_c$  are Nakajima quiver varieties, and  $X_c$  is smooth for generic c. There is exactly one more exceptional complex reflection group (known as  $G_4$ ) where a generic Calogero-Moser space is smooth.

 $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{Z}_c$ -module, so we can view it as a coherent sheaf on  $X_c$ . Now consider the subvariety

$$X_c^{sph} := \{x \in X : \dim(\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e)_x \text{ is minimal}\}.$$

This is a nonempty Zariski open subset. Over  $\mathfrak{h}^{reg}/W \times \mathfrak{h}^*/W$ ,  $X_c$  is  $(\mathfrak{h}^{reg} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/W$ . There,  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e$  is  $\mathbb{C}[T^*\mathfrak{h}^{reg}]$ , which is a free rank-|W| module over  $\mathbb{C}[T^*\mathfrak{h}^{reg}]^W$ . So we see the minimal fiber dimension of  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e$  is |W|.

Theorem 8.  $X_c^{sph} = X_c^{smooth}$ .

For  $x \in X_c^{sph}$ , we have the associated maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x \subset \mathcal{Z}_c$ , and one has  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}/\mathbb{H}_{0,c}\mathfrak{m}_x = \operatorname{Mat}_{|W|}(\mathbb{C})$  by considering the isomorphism  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c} \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{Z}_c}(\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e)$  from Yi's talk.

Proof. First we show  $X_c^{smooth} \subset X_c^{sph}$ . We need to show that all fibers of  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e$  have dimension |W| on  $X_c^{smooth}$ , and for this it suffices to produce a (local) connection for  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e$  over this open set. To this end, given a section s of  $\mathbb{H}_{0,e}$  and  $\xi$  some vector field, we define  $\nabla_{\xi}s$  as follows. First note that, since we are only interested in constructing the connection locally, i.e, in a neighborhood of each point, it suffices to assume  $\xi$  is a Hamiltonian vector field v(f) for some given function f. Indeed,  $X^{smooth}$  is symplectic by Proposition 7 so every point has a neighborhood where one can choose a basis of vector fields consisting of Hamiltonian vector fields and it is enough to define the connection on the basis elements. Let  $\iota_c: \mathcal{Z}_c \to \mathbb{H}_{T,c}$  be a lift as in the previous section, and pick a lift  $\tilde{s}$  of the section s in  $\mathbb{H}_{T,c}e$ . Then define

$$\nabla_{\xi} s := \frac{1}{T} [\iota_c(f), \tilde{s}] \mod (T).$$

Note that the right hand side is independent of  $\tilde{s}$  (but, generally, depends on  $\iota$ ).

Now we show the reverse inclusion  $X_c^{sph} \subset X_c^{smooth}$ . Recall that an affine variety Y is smooth if and only if  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$  has finite homological dimension. Observe also that we have the following bound on homological dimension

HomDim 
$$\mathbb{H}_{t,c} \leq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h} < \infty$$

This follows from the fact that  $\operatorname{gr}\mathbb{H}_{t,c}=S(\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}^*)\rtimes\mathbb{C}W$  has homological dimension  $2\dim\mathfrak{h}$ , and that the homological dimension is does not increase under filtered deformations. So, for  $f\in\mathcal{Z}_c$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}[f^{-1}]$  always has finite homological dimension. If  $X_{c,f}$ , the principal open subset associated to f, is contained in  $X_c^{sph}$  then  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}[f^{-1}]$  is  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{Z}_c[f^{-1}]}(\mathbb{H}_{0,c}e[f^{-1}])$ , a vector bundle. But then we see  $\mathbb{H}_{0,c}[f^{-1}]$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{Z}_{0,c}[f^{-1}]$ , so the latter has finite homological dimension and  $X_{c,f}$  is smooth, as needed.