

Rational Representations in Positive Characteristic

Jize Fu

§0 Introduction and Notations

We give a quick review of classical results in the rational repn theory of algebraic groups in char p .

- Induction functor
- (Dual) Weyl modules
- Steinberg Tensor Product Theorem
- Kempf's Vanishing Theorem
- Linkage Principle

Reference

- Ivan's notes Rational Repns. in Positive Char.
- Jantzen's book Repns of Algebraic Groups.
- Joshua Ciappara and Geordie Williamson Lectures on the Geometry and Modular repn. Theory of Algebraic Groups.

Notations.

- p : prime number
- \mathbb{F} : algebraically closed field of char $= p$.
- G : connected algebraic group / \mathbb{F} unless otherwise stated
- $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie } G$ over \mathbb{F}
- $\text{Rep}(G)$: the category of all rational repns. of G .
- $\text{Rep}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{dom}}(G)$: the category of all finite dim repns. of G .
- Λ : weight lattice of G
- $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda$: dominant weights
- $T \subset B \subset G$: maximal torus, Borel.
- W : Weyl group
- $U = \text{Rad}(B)$: unipotent radical of B .
- U : opposite unipotent
- $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$: simple roots of G .

α_0 : negative root s.t. α_0^\vee is minimal

$\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r$: simple affine roots

w_0 : longest element of W .

§1. Family of Rational Representations.

§1.1 Weights.

"Reps of T/\mathbb{F} and Reps of T/\mathbb{C} are NOT different"

Lemma $\forall V \in \text{Rep}(T)$, V is completely reducible and irreps are precisely characters.

$$\forall V \in \text{Rep}(G), \quad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X} V_{\lambda}$$

$$V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V \mid t \cdot v = \chi(t)v, \forall t \in T\}$$

Note that $W = N_G(T)/T$, then $V_{\lambda} = V_{w \cdot \lambda} \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda, w \in W$.

§1.2

$H \leq G$: algebraic subgroup

We have a natural functor

$$\text{res}_H^G: \{G\text{-mod}\} \rightarrow \{H\text{-mod}\}.$$

Goal Find the right adjoint functor

$$\text{Ind}_H^G: \{H\text{-mod}\} \rightarrow \{G\text{-mod}\}$$

Construction

"geometric approach"

$$\forall M \in \text{Rep}(H),$$

$$G \times^H M := G \times M / H \quad H \curvearrowright G \times M \text{ anti-diagonally}$$

$G \times^H M$ becomes a homog. v.b. / G/H .

$$\text{Ind}_H^G M := T(G/H, G \times^H M)$$

"algebra interpretation"

Consider the $G \times H$ -mod structure on $M \otimes \mathbb{F}[G]$

$$(g \cdot h) \cdot (m, f) := (hm, L(g)R(h)f), \text{ where } L \text{ and } R \text{ denote the left and right regular reps.}$$

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(M) = (M \otimes \mathbb{K}[G])^H = \{f \in \text{Map}(G, M) \mid f(gh) = h^{-1}f(g) \forall g \in G, h \in H\}$$

Thm (Frobenius Reciprocity)

$$\forall M \in \text{Rep}(H), N \in \text{Rep}(G),$$

$$\text{Hom}_G(N, \text{Ind}_H^G M) \cong \text{Hom}_H(\text{Res}_H^G N, M)$$

§1.3 Dual Weyl Modules & Weyl Modules

$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $\lambda^* := -w_0 \lambda$, $w_0 \in W$: longest element.

• Def (Dual Weyl module / Weyl module) ①

• Dual Weyl module

$$M(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{F}^{-\lambda^*})$$

• Weyl module

$$W(\lambda) := M(\lambda^*)^*$$

There is another construction using hyperalgebra.

• Def (Kostant's \mathbb{Z} -form)

Let $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra generated by

$$\{e_{\alpha}^{(n)}, f_{\alpha}^{(n)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

• Def (Hyperalgebra)

$$U_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) := U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}$$

• Def (Weyl module)

$W(\lambda) := U_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) / \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{I} is the ideal generated

by

• $U_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{n}^+)^{\circ}$

• $h - \lambda(h)$, $h \in U_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{h})$

• $(f_{\alpha})^{(k)}$, $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $k > \lambda(h_{\alpha})$.

Example $G = SL_2$ $G/B = \mathbb{P}^1$, $\Lambda^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$G \times^B \mathbb{F}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{O}(n)$$

$$h^0(n) = \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) = \mathbb{F}[x, y]_n$$

• Lemma $\forall V \in \text{Rep}(G)$

$$a) \text{Hom}_G(V, M(\lambda)) \cong \text{Hom}_B(V, \mathbb{F}_{-\lambda^*})$$

$$b) \text{Hom}_G(W(\lambda), V) \cong \text{Hom}_B(\mathbb{F}_\lambda, V)$$

Proof a) (easy) exercise

$$b) \text{Hom}_G(W(\lambda), V) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{F}_{\lambda^*})^*, V)$$

Say "by duality"

$$\cong \text{Hom}_G(V^*, \text{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{F}_{\lambda^*}))$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_B(V^*, \mathbb{F}_{\lambda^*})$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_B(\mathbb{F}_\lambda, V)$$

(4)

Lemma $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $\text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{F}_\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\dim M(\lambda)^u = 1$ and $M(\lambda)^u = \mathbb{F}_\lambda$

Proof.

$$M(\lambda) = (\mathbb{F}_{-\lambda^*} \otimes \mathbb{F}[G])^B \Rightarrow$$

$$M(\lambda)^u = \{ f \in (\mathbb{F}_{-\lambda^*} \otimes \mathbb{F}[G])^B \mid f(u_1 u_2) = \lambda(u) f(u) \text{ for } u_1 \in U^+, u_2 \in T, u \in U \}$$

Brubart Thm \Rightarrow

$U^B \hookrightarrow G$ is dense

$$\Rightarrow \dim(M(\lambda)^u) \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, $M(\lambda)^u \neq \emptyset$ since U is unipotent. Then $\dim(M(\lambda)^u) = 1$

Consider the evaluation map

$$\varepsilon: M(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\lambda \quad f \mapsto f(1).$$

Then ε is a B -map and is clearly inj. on $M(\lambda)^u$. Then $M(\lambda)^u \subseteq M(\lambda)_\lambda \Rightarrow M(\lambda)^u = M(\lambda)_\lambda$.

• Corollary $\dim \text{Hom}_G(W(\lambda), M(\mu)) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$.

• Thm. $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda^+ \exists !$ simple repr. $L(\lambda) \in \text{Rep}(G)$ w/ highest wt.

λ . Moreover, $L(\lambda)$ is the unique irreducible submodule of $M(\lambda)$ and the unique quotient of $W(\lambda)$.

Proof ① $\text{soc}_G(M(\lambda))$ is simple

like BGL - The proof of this is similar to the situation in category \mathcal{O} .

② $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, $L(\lambda) := \text{soc}_G(M(\lambda))$. Then $L(\lambda)$ has the following prop.

$$L(\lambda)^u = L(\lambda)\lambda, \text{ and } \dim L(\lambda)^u = 1.$$

③ Frob. Reciprocity \Rightarrow

\forall simple G -mod. S , $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda$ s.t.

$\text{Hom}_G(S, \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{F}\lambda) \neq 0$ i.e. $S = \text{soc}_G \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{F}\lambda$. Existence \checkmark

Uniqueness follows from ②. □

Recall that

$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{Q} e_\alpha := (d\chi_\alpha)(\mathbb{C}) \in (\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}})_\alpha)$, $\chi_\alpha: G_{\alpha, \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Z}}$

Choose a basis ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n of $\text{Hom}(G_{\alpha, \mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. $\beta_i := (d\psi_i)(\mathbb{C}) \in \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}})$

Thm (cf. Ivan's Talk) $\text{Dist}(G_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset U(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$ has the following basis

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+} e^{-d\alpha} \prod_{\beta \in \Pi} \binom{\beta}{m_\beta} \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+} e^{\alpha^{n_\alpha}}, \text{ where } k_\alpha, n_\alpha, m_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Def The Weyl module $W(\lambda) := \text{Dist}(G_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F})$ ~~$\langle \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^+} \alpha \lambda, (e^{-\alpha})^{\lambda_\alpha} \rangle$~~

argumentation ideal

$$\text{Dist}(G_{\mathbb{F}}) \langle e_\alpha^{\binom{k_\alpha}{\alpha}} \binom{\beta}{m_\beta} - \binom{\alpha+\beta}{m_\beta} e^{-\alpha} \mid m_\beta > 0, k_\alpha > \alpha \lambda_\alpha \rangle.$$

In this way $\text{Dist}(G)/\text{Dist} \mathbb{I}$ is f.d. and has the same univ. prop. \Rightarrow coincide w/ previous def.

§2. Steinerberg Tensor Product Theorem.

§2.1 The Frobenius Morphism.

Recall that we defined the Frobenius morphism

$$\text{Fr}_G^1: G \rightarrow G^{(1)},$$

in the previous lecture.

If G is defined over \mathbb{F}_p , then $G^{(1)} \cong G$. Composing an isomorphism w/ Fr_G^1 , we get a Frobenius endomorphism.

$$\text{Fr}_G^1: G \rightarrow G.$$

• Prop. (i) Let V be an irred. $G^{(1)}$ -mod. Then the pull back of V along Fr_G^1 is also irred.

(ii) The pullback of V along Fr_G^1 has highest w.r.t. p times that of V . $\text{Fr}_G^1(L(\lambda)) \cong L(p\lambda)$.

Proof. Rough ideas

① Fr_G^1 is an epimorphism

② On the maximal torus, Fr_G^1 is the "raising to power p " map. \square

§2.2 Main Thm.

Assume that G is semi-simple and simply connected. This assumption is "harmless" in the sense that

any reductive group G admits a surj. central isogeny w/ finite kernel from $T \times G'$, where $T := Z(G)^\circ$, G' : semi-simple & simply connected

Def Let

$$\Lambda_1^+ := \{ \lambda \in \Lambda^+ \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle < p, \forall i=1,2,\dots,r \}.$$

Elements in Λ_1^+ are called the p -restricted weights.

For any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$,
 $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i \lambda_i$, $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda_1^+$. Note here we may use
of our assumption that G is s.i.s. and s.i.c.
This expression is unique.

• Thm (i) $L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(L(\lambda_1)) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Fr}_G^{*m}(L(\lambda_m))$.

(ii) $G_i \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow G/L(L(\lambda_i))$ is inner. Recall that G_i is the first Frob kernel.

§2.3 The Case of SL_2

Lemma Let $i=0, 1, \dots, p-1$, and M an inner G_i -mod. Then $L(i) \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(M)$ is inner.

Proof Inner G_i -mods $L(0), \dots, L(p-1)$ are also inner as \mathfrak{g} -modules $\Leftrightarrow G_i$ -modules.

So, every inner \mathfrak{g} -submod of $L(i) \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(M)$ takes the form $L(i) \otimes M_0$, $M_0 \subset \text{Fr}_G^*(M)$. If $L(i) \otimes M_0$ is also a G_i -submodule, then $M_0 \subset \text{Fr}_G^*(M)$ is a $G_i^{(i)}$ -mod $\Rightarrow L(i) \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(M)$ is inner.

Coro (i) For $i=0, 1, \dots, p-1$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$, $L(i+j) \cong L(i) \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(L(j))$
(ii) $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda^+$, then

$L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(L(\lambda_1)) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Fr}_G^*(L(\lambda_m))$, where $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m$ are as in the previous section.

§2.4 Repns of G_1

Motivations. Recall in the SL_2 -case, we relate the \mathfrak{g} -structure on a rational repn of G_1 to the G_1 -module structure.

• Prop Repns of G_1 is equivalent to that of $\text{Lie}(G_1)$ as a p -Lie algebra.

Proof Idea

Recall that $\forall p$ -Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, x \mapsto x^{[p]})$, $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g}) := U(\mathfrak{g}) / U(\mathfrak{g}) \langle x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in \mathfrak{g} \rangle$ the restricted

enveloping algebra

$$U^{(p)}(\text{Lie}(G)) \cong \text{Dist}(G_1)$$

□

• Prop Reps of T_1 in completely reducible and irred. are parametrized by $\Lambda/p\Lambda$.

↓ Proof Ideas

Write down basis in $\text{Dist}(G_1)$. Then triangular decomposition allows us to apply the standard highest wt. theory.

□

Consider

$$M_1(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_{B_1}^{G_1} \mathbb{F}(-\lambda^*)$$

$$W_1(\lambda) := M_1(\lambda^*)^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } M_1(\lambda) &= (\mathbb{F}[G_1] \otimes \mathbb{F}(-\lambda^*))^{B_1} \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_p[U_1] \otimes (\mathbb{F}[B_1] \otimes \mathbb{F}(-\lambda^*))^{B_1} \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}[U_1] \otimes \mathbb{F}(-\lambda^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim M_1(\lambda) = p \dim U_1 = p \dim U$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \dim W_1(\lambda) = p \dim U$$

• Remark In fact, we can define $M_r(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_{B_r}^{G_r} \mathbb{F}(-\lambda^*)$, and $W_r(\lambda) := M_r(\lambda^*)^*$. In this case, $\dim M_r(\lambda) = \dim W_r(\lambda) = p^r \dim U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$

• Prop $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda/p\Lambda \exists!$ simple $L_1(\lambda) \in \text{Rep}(G_1)$ which is the unique irred subrep. of $M_1(\lambda)$ and unique quotient of $W_1(\lambda)$.

Example $M_1((p-1)\rho) = W_1((p-1)\rho) = L_1((p-1)\rho)$. Steinberg rep of G_1

In fact, $\lambda \in \Lambda/p^r\Lambda$, we have $L_r((p^r-1)\lambda) = M_r((p^r-1)\lambda)$: Steinberg rep. Irreducible are parametrized by $\Lambda/p^r\Lambda$.

§2.5 Proof of the Main Theorem

Again, we assume that G is semi-simple & simply connected.

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_1^+, \quad \lambda = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i \lambda_i$$

• Thm $L(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes_{(\mathbb{F}_r^*)^m} L(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\lambda_m)$ ⁽¹⁾

Proof (1) Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_1^+$. Restriction of $L(\lambda)$ to G_1 is isom. to $L(\lambda)$.

• $\varphi_g : G \rightarrow G$ conj. by g . Then $\bigoplus_{g \in G} L \cong L \forall$ simple G_1 -mod L and $g \in G$.

(if A is a finite dim algebra w/ a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$, then $G \cong \text{Irr}(A)$. If G is connected, then this action is trivial)

② • Given a simple G_1 -mod L , get a proj. repr. of G_1 on L .

③ • (Steinberg's result) For semi-simple and simply connected groups, any proj. repr. lifts to a linear repr. This is standard in char 0.

• Verify that the highest wt. λ' of the resulting lift is λ .

④ $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_1$, and $\mu \in \Lambda_1^+$, $L(\lambda + \mu) \cong L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$ ⁽¹⁾

The proof in the case of SL_2 goes through.

§3. Kempf's Vanishing Theorem.

Question

How to compute the characters of $M(\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda)$?

We know the situation for SL_2 :

• Thm. Let G be a connected reductive group. The characters of $M(\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda)$ are the same as that of simple module w highest w.r.t. λ in char 0.

§3.1 Kempf's Thm.

The following Thm is the key ingredient in the proof of the main theorem.

• Thm. $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ Let $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ denote the line bundle $G \times^B \mathbb{A}^1_\lambda$ over G/B .

Then

$$H^i(G/B, \mathcal{O}(\lambda)) = 0, \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Proof ① May assume that G is semi-simple and simply connected.

(Can find G_1 : s.s. and s.c., G_2 : torus s.t.

$G_1 \times G_2 \xrightarrow{p} G$ is a surj. central isogeny. $p^{-1}(B(G)) = B_1 \times G_2$

$p^{-1}(T(G)) = T_1 \times G_2$. $T_1 \subset B_1 \subset G_1$.

λ defines a character of $T_1 \times G_2 \Rightarrow \lambda$ gives rise to $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda(T_1)$

and $\lambda_2 \in \Lambda(G_2)$. $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda_1, \alpha^\vee \rangle \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \in \Lambda(T_1)^+$.

$G/B \cong G_1/B_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{G_1/B_1}(\lambda_1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{G/B}(\lambda)$.

② ~~But~~ $\text{Rep}(B)$ has enough injectives \Rightarrow

$R\text{Ind}_B^G : D^+(\text{Rep}(B)) \rightarrow D^+(\text{Rep}(G))$, and in fact

$R\text{Ind}_B^G : D^b(\text{Rep}(B)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{Rep}(G))$

Geometrically, $R\text{Ind}_B^G$ is the same as taking cohomology of homogeneous vector bundles over G/B .

i.e. $R\text{Ind}_B^G(M) \cong RP(G/B, G \times^B M), \forall M \in \text{Rep}_{\text{loc}}(B)$.

③ $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \mathcal{O}_{G/B}(\lambda)$ is ample $\Leftrightarrow \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Pi$.

④ By Serre's cohomological criterion for ampleness, $\exists r \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(\lambda+r)$ is ample, $H^i(G/B, \mathcal{O}(-r) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\lambda+r)^{\otimes r}) = 0 \forall i > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= H^i(G/B, \mathcal{O}((p^r-1)\rho + p^r\lambda)) \\ &= R\text{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{F}_{(p^r-1)\rho} \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r \mathbb{F}_{-\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

transitivity of induction since G/B

⑤ Prop $\forall r \geq 1, M \in \text{Rep}(B)$, Idea of proof $\text{Ind}_B^{G/B}$ is exact \otimes is affine

$$R\text{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{F}_{-(p^r-1)\rho} \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M) \cong L((p^r-1)\rho) \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r R\text{Ind}_B^G M.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} G/r &\triangleleft G/B, \quad G/r \triangleleft G \\ G/r/B/r &\cong B/B/r \quad \text{Consider } (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M \text{ as a } G/r/B/r \text{-module} \end{aligned}$$

$$R\text{Ind}_{G/r/B/r}^{G/r}((\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M) \cong R^i \text{Ind}_{G/r/B}^G((\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M).$$

Recall that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/r & \longleftarrow & G/r/B/r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \longleftarrow & B \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow R^i \text{Ind}_{G/r/B/r}^{G/r}((\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M) \cong R^i \text{Ind}_B^G M \text{ as } G\text{-modules (via } G \cong G/r/G)$$

Translate G -mod structure via $G \rightarrow G/r$, need requires the Frobenius

twist

$$(\text{Fr}_G^*)^r (R^i \text{Ind}_B^G M) \cong R^i \text{Ind}_{G/r/B}^G((\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M)$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} &L((p^r-1)\rho) \otimes (\text{Fr}_G^*)^r (R\text{Ind}_B^G M) \\ &\cong L((p^r-1)\rho) \otimes R^i \text{Ind}_{G/r/B}^G((\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M) \\ &\cong R^i \text{Ind}_{G/r/B}^G(L((p^r-1)\rho) \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M) \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

$$L((p^r-1)\rho) \cong \text{ind}_{B/r}^{G/r/B}(\mathbb{F}_{(p^r-1)\rho}) \quad (\text{Exercise})$$

$$\Rightarrow R^i \text{Ind}_{\text{Gr } B}^G (\mathbb{C}(p^r-1)g \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M)$$

$$\cong R^i \text{Ind}_{\text{Gr } B}^G \circ \text{Ind}_{B}^{\text{Gr } B} (\mathbb{F}(p^r-1)g \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M) \quad (*)$$

Note that $\text{Gr } B/B \cong \text{Gr}/B_r \triangleleft U_r$ is affine $\Rightarrow \text{Ind}_{B}^{\text{Gr } B}$ is exact
 Hence $(*) \cong R^i \text{Ind}_{\text{Gr } B}^G \circ R \text{Ind}_{B}^{\text{Gr } B} (\mathbb{F}(p^r-1)g \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M)$
 $\cong R^i \text{Ind}_{B}^G (\mathbb{F}(p^r-1)g \otimes (\text{Fr}_B^*)^r M)$

③ & ④ complete the proof.

□

How does Kempf's vanishing Theorem help us understand characters of $M(\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda)$?

Cartan involution

① $\text{ch}(W(\lambda)) = \text{ch}(H^0(\lambda))$
 (Recall that $\exists \tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$ s.t. $\tau^2 = \text{id}$, $\tau|_T = \text{id}|_T$, and $\tau(U_\alpha) = U_{-\alpha} \forall \alpha \in \Phi \setminus \emptyset$. Then $W(\lambda) \cong \tau H^0(\lambda)$.)

② $\text{ch}(H^0(\lambda)) = \chi(\mathbb{F}\lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \text{ch } H^i(\mathbb{F}\lambda)$
 This is by Kempf vanishing Thm.

§3.2 Ext Vanishing and Highest W.t. Structure

Prop $\text{Ext}_G^i(W(\lambda), M(\mu)) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^+$

Proof. Note that $(\cdot)^*$ is a contravariant self-equiv. on $\text{Rep}(G)$.
 Then

$$\text{Ext}_G^i(W(\lambda), M(\mu)) = \text{Ext}_G^i(W(\lambda^*), M(\mu^*)). \text{ Assume that } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

$$\text{Ext}_G^i(W(\lambda), M(\mu)) = \text{Ext}_B^i(W(\lambda), \mathbb{F}\mu^*).$$

All T-w.t.s in $W(\lambda) \gg -\lambda^*$

All T-w.t.s in $\mathbb{F}[B]$ are ≥ 0 linear combination of positive roots.
 \Rightarrow all w.t.s in an inj. resolution are $\leq -\mu^*$ w/ μ^* only in deg 0.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_B^i(W(\lambda), \mathbb{F} \cdot \omega^*) = 0.$$

□

Exercise Rep_{SU}(G) is a highest w.t. cat. w/ simples $L(\lambda)$, standard, ~~$W(\lambda)$~~ $W(\lambda)$, and costandards $M(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \leq \mu$.

$$\textcircled{1} \text{Ext}_A^i(W(\lambda), M(\mu)) \simeq H^i(G, H^0(-w_0\lambda) \otimes M(\mu)).$$

$$\textcircled{2} H^i(G, H^0(-w_0\lambda) \otimes H^0(X)) \simeq H^i(B, H^0(-w_0\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{F}M) \\ \simeq H^i(B, \mathbb{F} \cdot w_0\lambda \otimes H^0(X)). \quad (*)$$

↑

Tensor identity & Kempf's Vanishing.

LHS (*) $\neq 0$ if \exists w.t. v of $H^0(-w_0\lambda)$ and v' of $H^0(X)$ s.t.

$$\mu + v, w_0\lambda + v' \in -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mathbb{F}^+$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ht}(\mu + v), \text{ht}(-w_0\lambda + v') \leq -i. \\ & \text{ht}(\sum n_i \alpha_i) = \sum n_i. \end{aligned}$$

α simple

$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \dots$ for j. module

of K as a B -mod.

I_0 : inj hull of K , all wt. space of I_j f.d.

$$\begin{array}{l} H^0(-w_0\lambda) \quad \rightarrow 0 \\ H^0(\mu) \quad \text{smallest wt} \quad w_0\mu \end{array}$$

all wt. w of I_j ,
wt $(N \mathbb{F}^+, \text{ht}(\mu) \geq j$.

$$\Rightarrow -w_0\lambda + w_0\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \mu.$$

$$0 = \text{ht}(-w_0\lambda + w_0\mu) \leq \text{ht}(-w_0\lambda + v) \leq -i. \quad \textcircled{2} \Rightarrow i > 0.$$

§4 Linkage Principle.

Question

Which $L(\lambda)$ occurs ~~non-zero multiplicity in~~ ~~non-zero many times in~~ $M(\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda)$? (In fact $W(\lambda)$ and $M(\lambda)$ have the same characters \Rightarrow belong to the same \mathfrak{k} -class).

Recall

\mathfrak{g} : complex semisimple Lie algebra. \mathcal{O} : category \mathcal{O}
 simple objects are parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}$ Cartan.
 Bruhat order \leq on Λ : $\mu \leq \lambda$ if $\exists \lambda_0 = \lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k = \mu$ s.t.
 $\lambda_{i+1} < \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_{i+1} = s_{\beta_i} \circ \lambda_i$ for some root β_i . Then
 $(\nabla(\lambda) : L(\mu)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \lambda$

§4.1 Main Result.

- Dual affine Weyl group $W^a := W \ltimes \Lambda_r$, Λ_r : root lattice
- $w \cdot \rho \lambda$ as usual $w \in W$.
- $t_v \cdot \rho \lambda := \lambda + \rho v \quad \forall v \in \Lambda_r$
- " $\cdot \rho$ ": ρ -rescaled dot-action
- $A^+ = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall i=1, 2, \dots, r, \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \geq -\rho \}$.

For sl_2 , $A^+ = \{-1, 0, \dots, A\}$

• Def (Linkage order) $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$

$\lambda \uparrow \mu$ if $\exists \lambda_0 = \mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k = \lambda \in \Lambda$ and affine reflections s_0, \dots, s_{k-1} s.t. $\lambda_i = s_{i-1} \cdot \rho \lambda_{i-1}$ and $\lambda_i \in \lambda_{i-1}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$.

• Remark $\lambda \uparrow \mu \Rightarrow \lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mu \in W_{\rho}^a \lambda$.

§4.2 Block Decomposition

Coro If $\text{Ext}^i(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \neq 0$, then $\mu \in W_{\rho}^a \lambda$

$\forall \xi \in A^+ \quad \text{Rep}_{\xi}(G)$: the Serre span of $L(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in W_{\rho}^a \xi$.

Then $\text{Rep}(G) = \bigoplus_{\xi \in A^+} \text{Rep}_{\xi}(G)$.

$$G = SL_2$$

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1, \quad \alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto t$$

$$\Lambda_r = 2\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1.$$

$$W = \left\{ 1, s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad \forall n\alpha_1 \in \Lambda, \quad s(n\alpha_1) = -n\alpha_1.$$

For $\lambda = n\alpha_1 \in \Lambda$, and $\nu = 2m\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_r$

$$(s, t_{2m\alpha_1}) \cdot_p n\alpha_1 = s(n\alpha_1 + 2mp\alpha_1 + \alpha_1) - \alpha_1$$

$$= -(n + 2mp + 2)\alpha_1.$$

So, if $n\alpha_1 \uparrow (s, t_{2m\alpha_1}) \cdot_p n\alpha_1$, then $-mp \geq n+1$.

$$(1, t_{2m\alpha_1}) \cdot_p n\alpha_1 = n\alpha_1 + 2mp\alpha_1$$

So if $n\alpha_1 \uparrow (1, t_{2m\alpha_1}) \cdot_p n\alpha_1$, then $mp \geq 0$.

$$(s, t_{2m_2\alpha_1}) \cdot_p (-n - 2mp - 2)\alpha_1$$

$$= s((-n - 2mp - 2)\alpha_1 + 2m_2p\alpha_1 + \alpha_1) - \alpha_1$$

$$= s((-n - 2mp + 2m_2p - 1)\alpha_1) - \alpha_1$$

$$= (n + 2mp - 2m_2p)\alpha_1.$$

For $p=5$.

