

## Representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (and related Lie algebras), part 2

### 0) Introduction

#### 1) Definition.

#### 2) Properties.

0) This afterlife lecture is a continuation of Lecture 14.5 (note that 29 = 14.5.2). In that lecture we were dealing w. certain categories  $\mathcal{C}$  (e.g.  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}$ ), see Sec 1 of Lecture 14.5. We have discussed that an action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  should include exact endofunctors  $E, F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and a decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_\lambda$  s.t.  $[E], [F]$  define the structure of a weight representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  (see Def'n in Sec 1.2 of Lec 14.5) on (the complexification of)  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ .

However, this definition is not particularly useful as it provides very few tools to study the functors  $E$  &  $F$ . We need more structure. This structure is a bunch of functor morphisms.

Let's explain an ideological reason for that. In a sentence: what acts is one level categorical structure up compared to what it acts on. For example, on a vector space (categorical level 0) we consider an action of an associative algebra. An associative ring can be thought of as a category w. single object whose set of morphisms is additionally equipped w. an abelian group structure so that the composition map is bi-additive. So it's categorical level 1. If we want to act on a category, what acts should be of categorical level 2:

have functors and their morphisms.

We follow J. Chuang, R. Rouquier "Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and  $\mathcal{S}_2^k$ -categorification" Ann. Math. 167 (2008).

## 1) Definition

### 1.1) Revisiting the example of $\bigoplus_n \mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $\alpha$  be an element in  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\} \subset \mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}$ . In Sec 1.3 of Lec 14.5 we have considered endofunctors  $E_\alpha = \bigoplus_n (\text{Res}_{n-1}^n \cdot)_\alpha$ ,  $F_\alpha = \bigoplus_n (\text{Ind}_{n-1}^n \cdot)_\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . By the construction, compare to Lemma 6.9 in [RT1],  $F_\alpha$  is left adjoint to  $E_\alpha$ . Also, by the construction,  $E_\alpha$  comes with a distinguished functor endomorphism: for  $M \in \mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod} (\subseteq \mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_n$  acts on  $E_\alpha M$ . The action of  $\mathcal{J}_n - \alpha$  on  $E_\alpha M$  is nilpotent.

We will need two more properties.

**Lemma 1:** In the above notation,  $E_\alpha^2 M \subset M$  is  $(n-1, n)$ -stable

**Proof:** The degenerate affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(z)^{\text{opp}}$  acts on  $\text{Res}_n^{n-1}(M)$  w.  $X_1 \mapsto \mathcal{J}_n$ ,  $X_2 \mapsto \mathcal{J}_{n-1}$ ,  $T \mapsto (n-1, n)$ . The symmetric polynomials in  $X_1, X_2$  are central. It follows that any simultaneous eigenspace for these polynomials is stable under any element of  $\mathcal{H}(z)$  including  $T$ . To finish the proof note that  $E_\alpha^2 M$  is such a generalized eigenspace (it corresponds to evaluating the symmetric polynomials in  $X_1, X_2$  at the point  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 2:**  $F_2$  is isomorphic to the right adjoint of  $E_2$ .

**Proof:** Note that  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} F_2 = \text{Ind}_{n-1}^n$  is right adjoint to  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} E_2$ . To show that  $F_2$  is isomorphic to the right adjoint of  $E_2$  it's enough to show that

(\*) the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(EM, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{S_n}(M, FN)$  restricts to  $\text{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(E_2 M, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{S_n}(M, F_2 N)$ .

For this we decompose  $\mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}$  w.r.t. the generalized eigenspaces for the action of the central subalgebra of symmetric polynomials in the elements  $J_1, \dots, J_n$ :  $\mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod} = \bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}}$ , where the summation is taken over unordered  $n$ -tuples of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^1$  and  $\mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}}$  is the full subcategory of all  $\mathbb{F}S_n$ -modules, where  $f(J_1, \dots, J_n)$  acts w. single eigenvalue  $f(\underline{\alpha})$ ,  $\forall$  symmetric polynomials  $f$ .

**Exercise:** • Show  $E_2$  sends  $\mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}}$  to  $\mathbb{F}S_{n-1}\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}'}$ , where  $\underline{\alpha}'$  is obtained from  $\underline{\alpha}$  by removing one copy of  $\alpha$  (if  $\underline{\alpha}$  contains such, otherwise  $E_2$  sends  $\mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}}$  to 0).

• Use that  $F_2$  is left adjoint to  $E_2$  to show that  $F_2$  sends  $\mathbb{F}S_{n-1}\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}'}$  to  $\mathbb{F}S_n\text{-mod}_{\underline{\alpha}''}$ , where  $\underline{\alpha}''$  is obtained from  $\underline{\alpha}'$  by adding a copy of  $\alpha$ .

• Deduce (\*) and finish the proof  $\square$

## 1.2) Definition of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action on $\mathcal{C}$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be as above: the direct sum of categories of modules

over finite dimensional algebras.

**Definition:** An action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of:

**Data:** (i) A pair of exact endofunctors  $E, F$  of  $\mathcal{C}$

(ii) A direct sum decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_m$ ,

(iii) Endomorphisms  $X \in \text{End}(E), T \in \text{End}(E^2)$ ,

(iv) A fixed isomorphism between  $F$  and the left adjoint of  $E$ , i.e. adjunction unit  $\varepsilon: \text{id} \Rightarrow FE$  and counit  $\eta: EF \Rightarrow \text{id}$ , that satisfies the following

**Axioms:** (I)  $F$  is isomorphic to the right adjoint of  $E$ .

(II) Consider endomorphisms  $X1, 1X, T \in \text{End}(E^2)$  (where  $X1$  acts by  $X$  on the first application of  $E$ , and  $1X$  acts on the second). They satisfy the relations of  $\mathcal{H}(2)^{\text{opp}}$ :

$$T(X1) = (1X)T + 1.$$

(III)  $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  s.t.  $X_{m-\alpha} \in \text{End}(EM)$  is nilpotent,  $\forall M \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(IV) The operators  $[E], [F]$  & the direct sum decomposition  $K_0(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_m K_0(\mathcal{C}_m)$  give the structure of a weight representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Moreover, this representation is **integrable** meaning that  $\forall v \in K_0(\mathcal{C}) \exists d (=d_v) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  s.t.  $[F]^d v = [E]^d v = 0$ .

**Exercise:** Show that the assignment  $X_i \mapsto \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{i-1} X \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{d-i}, i=1, \dots, d, T_j \mapsto \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{j-1} T \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{d-j-1}$  defines an algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{H}(d)^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}(E^d)$

for all  $d > 0$  (compare to the solution to Prob 5 in HW3).

*Example:* for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 \subset \mathbb{F}$  we have an action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  on  $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{F} S_n\text{-mod}$  with the functors being  $E_\alpha, F_\alpha$ . The direct sum decomposition  $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_m \mathcal{L}_m$  was introduced in Section 1.3.1 of Lec 14.5. Element  $X \in \text{End}(E)$  is as follows. On  $M \in \mathbb{F} S_n\text{-mod}$ , it's defined by  $X_M = \text{action of } J_n \text{ of } M$ . Similarly,  $T \in \text{End}(E_\alpha^c)$  comes from the action of  $(n-1, n)$ . The isomorphism between  $F_\alpha$  and the left adjoint of  $E_\alpha$  was discussed in the beginning of Sec 1.1 of this lecture.

Let's explain why the axioms hold. For (IV), the claim about the weight representation was checked in Lecture 14.5, Section 1.3. In the construction we have established an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -linear epimorphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_0(\mathbb{F} S_n\text{-mod})$ . It's an *exercise* to check that the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action on  $\mathcal{F}$  is integrable.

(I) is Lemma 2 in Sec. 1.1. (II) follows from relations between  $J_{n-1}, J_n, (n, n-1)$  established in Lemma 4.1, [RT1]. (III) follows from the construction of  $X$ .

### 1.3) Actions of other Lie algebras.

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_p$  if  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p$  &  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_\infty$  if  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ . The former algebra was discussed in Section 3.1 of Lecture 20. The latter algebra is an infinite version of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ , it consists of all matrices  $(m_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  s.t. only finitely many  $m_{ij}$ 's are nonzero &  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{ii} = 0$ . It's a Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to the Dynkin diagram, whose nodes are  $\mathbb{Z}$  and we have an edge between  $i$  &  $j$  iff  $|i-j|=1$ . Note that in both cases the nodes of the Dynkin diagram are in a natural

bijection w.  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 \subset \mathbb{F}$ .

Let's explain a definition of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$ . It closely follows the definition in Sec 1.2. We need to replace (ii) with the decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_\lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the weight lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Axioms (I) and (II) are as before. Axiom (III) now says that all eigenvalues of  $X_M$  are in  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 \subset \mathbb{F}$ . Then we can decompose  $EM$  into the direct sum of eigen-spaces  $(EM)_\alpha$  for  $X_M$ . The assignment  $M \mapsto (EM)_\alpha$  is a functor (exercise) so we get a functor  $E_\alpha: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . All these functors are exact and  $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1} E_\alpha$ .

By the adjunction in (I), we have an identification  $\text{End}(F) \cong \text{End}(E)^{\text{opp}}$ . So we can consider  $X$  as an endomorphism of  $F$  & use it to decompose  $F$  as  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} F_\alpha$ .

**Exercise:**  $F_\alpha$  is left adjoint to  $E_\alpha$ .

Next, axiom (IV) needs to be replaced with the condition that  $[E_\alpha], [F_\alpha]$  &  $K_0(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_0(\mathcal{C}_\lambda)$  equip  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  with the structure of a weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation. Moreover, we require that the representation is integrable:  $\forall \alpha$  &  $v \in K_0(\mathcal{C}) \exists m > 0$  s.t.  $[E_\alpha]^m v = [F_\alpha]^m v = 0$ .

**Exercise:**

- $F_\alpha$  is isomorphic to the right adjoint of  $E_\alpha$ ;
- $T$  preserves the direct summand  $E_\alpha^2 \oplus E_\alpha^2$ .
- The following data define a categorical action of  $\mathfrak{gl}_2$

on  $\mathcal{C}$ :  $E_\alpha, F_\alpha$ , the images of  $X$  in  $\text{End}(E_\alpha)$ ,  $T$  in  $\text{End}(E_\alpha^2)$ , the isomorphism between  $F_\alpha$  and the left adjoint of  $E_\alpha$  and finally the decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_m$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_m$  is the direct sum of all  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$  s.t. the pairing of  $\lambda$  w. the simple root corresponding to  $\alpha$  is  $m$ .

**Example 1:**  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{FS}_n\text{-mod}$  is a categorical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation w.  $E = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Res}_{n-1}^n$ ,  $F = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Ind}_{n-1}^n$ . The other pieces of data are as in Example in Sec 1.2.

It turns out that  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  is the irreducible highest weight representation of highest weight  $\omega_0$ . The highest weight space is  $K_0(\text{FS}_0\text{-mod})$ .

**Example 2:** Consider the category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  (the weights are now in  $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$  is still the subalgebra of diagonal matrices) Define endofunctors  $E = V \otimes \cdot$ ,  $F = V^* \otimes \cdot$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  and endomorphisms  $X = \text{End}(E)$ , the tensor Casimir from Prob 5 in HW3, and  $T \in \text{End}(E^2)$  permutes the tensor factors  $V$ . This is a part of a categorical  $\mathfrak{sl}_\infty$ -action. The weight decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}$  is as follows. Let  $\lambda = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i \omega_i$ . The  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  is the infinitesimal block of the Verma module w. highest weight  $\mu_\lambda - \tilde{\rho}$ , where:

- $\mu_\lambda$  is an  $n$ -tuple, where the entry  $i$  occurs  $a_i$  times
- $\tilde{\rho} = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)$ .

We then identify  $K_0(\mathcal{O})$  w.  $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{\otimes n}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a tautologi-

cal representation of  $\mathcal{SL}_\infty$ . Under this identification  $[\Delta(\mu-p)]$  corresponds to the tensor monomial  $e_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\mu_n}$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  and we write  $e_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for the tautological basis element of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . To check the axioms is a meaningful and not quite trivial **exercise** (based on problems 2 and 5 of HW3).

**Remarks:** 1) In the definition of a categorical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation one can replace the degenerate affine Hecke algebra relations w. the genuine affine Hecke algebra relations (for some  $q = \kappa \in \mathbb{F}^\times$  in axiom II).

An example of a categorical  $\widehat{\mathcal{SL}}_\ell$ -action is on  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_\kappa(S_n)\text{-mod}$ , where  $\kappa$  is a primitive  $\ell$ th root of 1.

2) One can define a notion of a categorical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation for an arbitrary Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . But one needs to replace the (degenerate) affine Hecke algebras (that are very classical) with the so called KLR (Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier) algebras constructed in [LR]: R. Rouquier "2-Kac-Moody algebras" arXiv: 0812.5023 & [KL]: M. Khovanov, A. Lauda "A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups" Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011) specifically for the categorification purpose.

## 2) Properties

Here we explain some easy to state properties of a categorical  $\mathcal{SL}_2$ -representations in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For more, including a construction of



derived self-equivalence of  $\mathcal{C}$  from the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action see the Chuang-Rouquier paper, [CR]. The proofs crucially use the representation theory of (degenerate) affine Hecke algebras.

### 2.1) Simple subs & quotients.

The following is Proposition 5.20 in [CR]. It implies Theorem 6.15 in [RT1].

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be equipped w. an action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  according to the definition in Sec 1.2. Let  $L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then  $EL$  has the unique irreducible subobject and the unique irreducible quotient, and they are isomorphic.

The same holds for  $FL$  - in fact, these two functors are interchangeable.

### 2.2) Categorification of $[e, f] = h$ .

We know that  $[E][F] - [F][E] = \lambda$  on  $K_0(\mathcal{C}_\lambda)$ . This lifts to a level of categories as follows, [CR], Section 5.5.

**Theorem:** We have the following isomorphisms of endo-functors of  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ :

$$EF \simeq FE \oplus \text{id}^{\oplus \lambda} \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 0$$

$$EF \oplus \text{id}^{\oplus -\lambda} \simeq FE \quad \text{if } \lambda \leq 0$$

### 2.3) Minimal categorifications.

By a minimal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification we mean a category  $\mathcal{C}$  w. an action of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  s.t.

- $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  is a finite dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation.
- For its highest weight  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \cong \text{Vect}$ .

The following is a consequence of [CR], Proposition 5.26.

It is an analog of the classification of finite dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -irreps.

**Theorem:** Minimal categorifications are classified by their highest weights.

One can construct the minimal categorification,  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ , w. highest weight  $\lambda$  in several equivalent ways. For example,

$$\mathcal{C}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lambda} H^*(\text{Gr}(k, \lambda))\text{-mod},$$

where  $\text{Gr}(k, \lambda)$  is the Grassmannian of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^\lambda$ .

A direct analog of Theorem for general Kac-Moody algebras was established by Rouquier in [R], Section 5.1.2. For example, for type A Lie algebras the minimal categorifications arise from the representation categories of "cyclotomic" (degenerate) Hecke algebras:

$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{FS}_n\text{-mod}$  is an example.