Lecture 20: tensor products, I 1) Definition of tensor products of modules. 2) Construction. Ref: [AM], Section 2.7. BONUS: Tensor products over noncommutative rings. 0) Roadmap In the last four lectures we've covered abstract categorical constructions. In the remaining seven lectures we will focus on their interactions w. Commutative algebre. This will split into two topics that are related to each other 1) Tensor products of modules & algebras 2) Exactness, projective and flat modules. 1) Definition of tensor products of modules 1.0) Bilinear maps: Let A be a commive ring, M, M, N be A-moduly. Recall (Sec 2.3 of Lec 3) that B: MxMz -> N is A-61 linear if $\beta(m_1,?): M_2 \rightarrow N$ is A-linear $\forall m_1 \in M_1 \& \beta(?,m_2):$ $M_1 \rightarrow N$ is A-linear $\forall m_1 \in M_2$. Consider the set.

Biling $(M_1 \times M_2, N) := \{A - bilinear maps <math>M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow N \}$

Digression: why should we cave about bilinear maps -

b/c they are everywhere!

1) Linear algebra: for an F-vector space V can talk about bilinear forms V×V → F (cf. Sec 1.3.1 in Lec 12)

2) if M is an A-module ⇒ action map A×M →M is A-bilinear

3) the composition map Hom, (L,M) × Hom, (M,N) -> Hom, (L,N), where L, M, N are A-modules is A-bilinear, Roblem 4 in HW!

4) If B is an A-algebra, then the product map $B \times B \rightarrow B$ is A-bilinear.

Evercise: Show yop is A-bilinear & FM, M2 is indeed a functor A-Mod -> Sets.

1.1) Definition of tensor product:

Definition: By the tensor product $M_1 \otimes_A M_2$ we mean a representing object for $B_1 lin_A (M_1 \times M_2, \bullet)$ i.e. want a functor isomorphism $Hom_A (M_1 \otimes_A M_2, \bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_1 lin_A (M_1 \times M_2, \bullet)$

Similarly to products (Sec 2 of Lec 18) we can equivalently define tensor products via a universal property: this is an

A-module M, & M, w. a bilinear map M, xM, -> M, & Mz, $(m_1, m_2) \mapsto m_1 \otimes m_2$, w. the following universal property: (*) \ \ A-module N & A-bilinear map \ \B: M, \times M_2 \rightarrow N \ \B! A-linear map $\widetilde{\beta}: M_1 \otimes M_2 \longrightarrow N$ s.t. $\beta(m_1, m_2) = \widetilde{\beta}(m_1 \otimes m_2)$ i.e. the following is commutative

In terms of y, this universal bilinear map is 2MOAM2 (1MOAM2), and (*) yieds p, inverse to Biling (M, M; N) - Hom, (M, O, M, N), BHB; cf the proof of Lemma in Sec 2 of Lec 18.

The to either of the equivalent definitions, the tensor product is unique (if exists) in the following sense if M, 8/M, is another tensor product w. bilinear map (m, m,) > m, &'m, then I! A-module Isomorphism (: M, Q, M, → M, Q, M, | (m, em,) = m, e'm, + m; ∈ M; Indeed thx to (*) we have A-Cinear maps

C. M, Ø, M, → M, Ø, M; C. Then (°C'(M, ØM2)=M, ØM2 + M; €M; & by the uniqueness part of the property, col'=id. Similarly, col=id.

2) Construction

The main goal of this section is to give a constructive proof of

Theorem: $M_1 \otimes_A M_2$ exists for all A-modules M_1, M_2 .

2.1) Case 1: M, 15 free.

Lemma: For any set I, $A^{\oplus I} \otimes_{A} M \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{\oplus I} w$. $(a_{i})_{i \in I} \otimes M = (a_{i} m)_{i \in I} \quad \forall (a_{i}) \in A^{\oplus I}, m \in M$.

Proof:

As for A-linear maps from free modules, $\beta \in Bilin_A(A^{\oplus I}, M; N)$ is uniquely determined by $\beta_i \in Hom_A(M, N)$, $\beta_i(m) := \beta(e_i, m)$, via $\beta((a_i), m) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \beta_i(m)$. Note that the r.h.s. is well-defined b/c $\{i \in I \mid a_i \neq 0\}$ is finite.

With this observation we'll give two slightly different proofs: using the definition & using the universal property (*)

Proof using the definition:

We have a bijection $Bilin_{A}(A^{\oplus I}M; N) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{A}(M,N)^{\times I}$ $\beta \mapsto (\beta(e_{i}, \cdot))$. On the other hand, by $Sec\ 1.2$ in $Sec\ 4$, have bijection $Hom_{A}(M,N)^{\times I} \to Hom_{A}(M^{\oplus I}N)$, $(\beta_{i}) \mapsto [(m_{i})_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \beta_{i}(m_{i})]$. Consider the composition,

 $y_{N}': Bilin_{A}(A^{\oplus I}N) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{A}(M^{\oplus I}N), \beta \mapsto [(m_{i}) \mapsto \sum \beta(e_{i}, m_{i})].$ These bijections constitute a functor isomorphism (exercise). This shows that $M^{\oplus I}$ is indeed $A^{\oplus I}\otimes_{A}M$ (take $y=(y')^{-1}$). Note that $\beta((a_{i}), m):=(a_{i}m)_{i\in I}$ is in Bilin $(A^{\oplus I}M; M^{\oplus I})$ & satisfies $p'(\beta)=1_{M^{\oplus I}}$ (exercise) so indeed, $(a_{i})\otimes m=(a_{i}m)$.

Proof using (*):

Let β: A^{⊕I}×M → N be a bilinear & let 8: A^{⊕I}×M → M^{⊕I}

be given by $((a_i)_{i\in I}, m) \mapsto (a_i m)_{i\in I}$, it's bilinear (exercise). We want to construct a linear map $\tilde{\beta} \colon M^{\oplus I} \to N$ s.t. $\beta = \tilde{\beta} \circ \mathcal{K}$ show that the latter equation determines $\tilde{\beta}$ uniquely.

Construction: $\widetilde{\beta}((m_i)_{i\in I}) = \sum_{i\in T} \beta_i(m_i)$ (where $\beta_i = \beta(e_i, \cdot)$).

We check $\beta = \widetilde{\beta} \circ \mathcal{E} : \widetilde{\beta} \circ \mathcal{E}((a_i), m) = \widetilde{\beta}((a_i m)) = \Sigma \beta; (a_i m) = \Sigma a_i \beta; (m_i)$

= Σ a; β(a;,m)=β(Σa;e;,m)=β((a;),m) V.

The uniqueness of β satisfying $\beta = \beta \circ \delta$ is an exercise.

2.2) Step 2: arbitrary M.

Let M', Mz be A-moduly s.t. M', My exists. Let K, CM',

be an A-submodule ~ M; = M', K, & Sr; M', -> M, Inside

M', M, Consider submodule K: = Span (K, OM; K, EK, M, EM).

~ projection Sr: M', O, M, -> M', O, M, K.

Claim: $M'_{1}\otimes_{1}M_{2}/K$ is the tensor product $M_{1}\otimes_{1}M_{2}\otimes_{1}M_{2}$ for $M_{1}=\mathcal{T}_{1}(M_{1}')\in M_{1}\otimes_{1}M_{2}\in M_{2}$, have $M_{1}\otimes M_{2}:=\mathcal{T}_{1}(M_{1}'\otimes M_{2})$.

How this implies $Thm: M_1$ is a quotient of $A^{\oplus I}$ for some I (if $(m_i)_{i\in I}$ are generators of M_1 , then $\psi_{\underline{m}}: A^{\oplus I} \longrightarrow M_1$, $(a_i) \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} a_i m_i$, is surjective). $A^{\oplus I} \otimes_A M$ exists by Step 1. Now in Claim take $M'_i:=A^{\oplus I}$ to see that $M_i \otimes_A M_2$ exists.

Proof of Claim.

Exercise: M, & M, is well-defined (independent of choice of

 M_{1}') & $(M_{1}, M_{2}) \mapsto M_{1} \otimes M_{2}$ is a bilinear map $M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1} \otimes M_{2} / K$.

Now we only need to check univil property (I): f bilinear $g: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow N$ $\exists !$ linear $g: M_{1} \otimes M_{2} / K \rightarrow N$ s.t. $g(M_{1}, M_{2}) = g(M_{1} \otimes M_{2})$.

Define $g': M_{1}' \times M_{2} \rightarrow N$ by $g'(M_{1}', M_{2}) = g(g_{1}'(M_{1}'), M_{2})$ so g' is bilinear $g': M_{1}' \times M_{2} \rightarrow N$ by $g'(M_{1}', M_{2}) = g'(M_{1}', M_{2}') = g'(M_{1}', M$

2.3) Examples.

1) Tensor product of free moduly: $A^{\oplus J} \otimes_{A} A^{\oplus J} = [Step 1]$ $= (A^{\oplus J})^{\oplus I} \simeq A^{\oplus (I \times J)} \quad \text{w. basis } \in \emptysete: (i \in I, j \in J).$

An important observation is that not every element of $M, \varnothing, M,$ has the form $m, \varnothing m,$ (we'll call such elements elementary tensors or tensor monomials.) Let's illustrate this by continuing the example:

Let A = F be a field, $M = F^{\oplus k}$, $M_z = F^{\oplus \ell}$. By Example 1, $M, \otimes M_z \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_{ij} : e_i \otimes e_j \} = \{ \times \ell - matrices \}.$ Under this identification, the tensor monomials correspond to me 1 matrices (exercise).

2) Let I⊂A be an ideal and M be an arbitrary A-module. We claim that (A/I) & M ~ M/IM. Indeed, in Step 2, we take M'= A, M= A/I, M=M. By Step 1, M' & M= A&M ~ M w. a&m Ham. Then K=I and K=Spen, (6@m|6EI, mEM) CA@M. Under the 150 morphism A&M ~ M, the submodule K corresponds to Spen (6m/6∈ I, m∈M) = IM. So, by Step 2, (A/I) @M => M/IM.

2') As a concrete example of 2), take A=C[x], I=(f) & M= A/(g) for $f,g \in A$, so we are computing $\mathbb{C}[X]/(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \mathbb{C}[X]/(g)$ By 2), it's enough to compute M/IM=[C[X]/(g)]/[f(C[X]/g)] = C[X]/(f,g) = C[X]/(GCD(f,g)).

In particular, if CCD(f,g)=1, then A/I&M= 203 -so the tensor product of nonzero modules can be O.

BONUS: Tensor products over noncommutative rings.

Let A be a commive ring & R be an A-algebra (associative but perhaps non-commutative). Recall that it makes sense to tale about left & right Remodules & also about bimoduly. Also (compare to Bonus of Lec 3) for two left K-modules My, Mr, the Hom set Home (Mr, Mr) is only an A-module, not an

As for tensor products, we can tensor left R-modules w. right R-module. Namely, let M be a left R-module & N be a right R-module. For an A-module L consider the set

Biling $(N \times M, L)$ consisting of all A-bilinear maps $\varphi \colon N \times M \to L$ s.t. in addition $\varphi(nr, m) = \varphi(n, rm) + r \in R$, $n \in N$, $m \in M$.

Definition: $N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M \in \mathcal{O}(A-Mod)$ represents the functor $B_1 \lim_{\mathbb{R}} (N \times M, \bullet)$: $A-Mod \longrightarrow Sets$.

Important exercise: If R is commive, then this definition gives the same as the definition in Sec 1.1.

To construct $N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M$ we can use the same construction as we did in the lecture. Alternatively, $N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M$ is the quotient of $N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} M$ by the A-submodule $Span_{\mathbb{R}}(nr \otimes m - n \otimes rm \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in M, r \in \mathbb{R})$.

Now suppose we have 2 more A-algebras, S and T. Let N be an S-R-61module & M be an R-T-61module.

Important exercise: $\exists ! S-T-6 \text{ imodule strive on } N \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} M \text{ s.t.}$ $S(n \otimes m) = Sn \otimes m, (n \otimes m) t = n \otimes m t.$