

Lecture 10.66: Frobenius kernel.

Depending on Lectures 6.5, 8.5, and a bit on 10.33.

The goal of this note is to elaborate on the Frobenius homomorphism $\text{Fr}: G \rightarrow G$ & on the connection between representations of G & its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in characteristic p . In short:

- Fr has kernel, the non-reduced group subscheme $G_0 \subset G$ w. a single point.

- As a Hopf algebra, $\text{Dist}_*(G) = \mathbb{F}[G_*]^*$ is $U^0\mathfrak{g}$, the p -central reduction (see the complement to Lec 9).

This is why the representation of \mathfrak{g} arising from a rational G -representation factors through $U^0\mathfrak{g}$.

We will elaborate on these claims below.

1) Frobenius twist.

We want to understand the Frobenius morphism more conceptually.

Definition: For a vector space V over \mathbb{F} , define a new vector space, $V^{(1)}$, that is identified w. V as an abelian group but the action of \mathbb{F} is twisted by Fr^{-1} !

Exercise: how does this relate to the construction of Frobenius twist for rational representations in Sec 2 of Lec 9?

Note that $V^{(n)}$ inherits algebraic structures from V : e.g. an associative \mathbb{F} -algebra structure on V remains an associative \mathbb{F} -algebra structure on $V^{(n)}$.

Here's the reason to make this definition: let A be a commutative \mathbb{F} -algebra. Then $a \mapsto a^p$ is an \mathbb{F} -algebra (not just a ring homomorphism) $A^{(n)} \rightarrow A$.

Exercise: if $A \simeq \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \underline{A}$ for an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra \underline{A} , then $A^{(n)} \simeq A$.

Now suppose that X is an affine variety. By $X^{(n)}$ we mean the variety corresponding to $\mathbb{F}[X]^{(n)}$. If $X \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is given by some polynomial equations, then $X^{(n)} \subset \mathbb{F}^{n^{(n)}}$ is given by the same equations so in \mathbb{F}^n , $X^{(n)}$ would be given by equations whose coefficients are twisted by $d \mapsto d^p$.

Note that the homomorphism $A^{(n)} \rightarrow A$, $a \mapsto a^p$, gives rise to a morphism of varieties $X \rightarrow X^{(n)}$ denoted by Fr . For example, if we identify $\mathbb{F}^{n^{(n)}}$ w. \mathbb{F}^n (see the previous exercise), then $\text{Fr}: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n^{(n)}}$ is just $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$.

Exercise: if G is an algebraic group, then so is $G^{(n)}$ & $\text{Fr}: G \rightarrow G^{(n)}$ is a group homomorphism.

2 | If $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ is defined by polynomial equations w. coefficients

in \mathbb{F}_p , then $G \simeq G^{(p)}$ and Fr is the homomorphism constructed in Sec 1.2 of Lec 5.

2) Frobenius kernel.

An algebraic group G is a variety so $\mathbb{F}[G]$ has no nilpotent elements. Then $\text{Fr}^*: f \mapsto f^p: \mathbb{F}[G^{(p)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[G]$ is injective. So Fr is dominant, hence (it's a group homom'm) surjective. In this situation we are supposed to have $G^{(p)} \simeq G/\ker \text{Fr}$.

While Fr is a bijective homomorphism of abstract groups, its scheme theoretic fibers are nontrivial. We'll be interested in $\ker \text{Fr} = \text{Fr}^{-1}(1)$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[G^{(p)}]$ be the maximal ideal. Then the algebra of functions on $\text{Fr}^{-1}(1)$ (as a scheme) is $\mathbb{F}[\text{Fr}^{-1}(1)] := \mathbb{F}[G]/\mathbb{F}[G]\{f^p | f \in \mathfrak{m}\}$. Here is an important

Exercise: $\mathbb{F}[G]\{f^p | f \in \mathfrak{m}\}$ is a "Hopf ideal" meaning there is a unique Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{F}[\text{Fr}^{-1}(1)]$ s.t. the projection $\mathbb{F}[G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}[\text{Fr}^{-1}(1)]$ is a Hopf algebra homomorphism.

So $\text{Fr}^{-1}(1)$ is a kind of a group, more precisely, it's a "group scheme" (take the conceptual definition in Remark in Sec 1.1 and replace varieties with (finite type) schemes).

We write $G_1 = \ker \text{Fr}$. Note that the inclusion $G_1 \subset G$ gives rise to $\mathbb{F}[G_1]^* = \text{Dist}_*(G_1) \hookrightarrow \text{Dist}_*(G)$. This is an inclusion of

Hopf algebras.

Consider the examples from Sec 2.2. of Lec 6.5.

Example 1: $G = \mathbb{G}_a$, the additive group. Then $\mathbb{F}[G] = \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^p)$ w. $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. This Hopf algebra is actually isomorphic to its dual, $\text{Dist}_1(G)$.

Example 2: $G = \mathbb{G}_m$, the multiplicative group. Then $\mathbb{F}[G] = \mathbb{F}[x]/((x-1)^p)$. The algebra $\text{Dist}_1(G)$ has basis $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p-1}$, where $\delta_i := \binom{\delta}{i}$ for $\delta = \delta_1$. And $\delta(\delta-1)\dots(\delta-(p-1)) = 0$ in $\text{Dist}_1(G)$, hence $\text{Dist}_1(G) = \mathbb{F}[\delta]/(\delta^p - \delta)$, as an algebra, with $\Delta(\delta) = \delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta$.

3) $\text{Dist}_1(G)$ vs $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Recall, Section 1 of Lec 8.5, that we have an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Dist}_1(G)$ (in fact, a homomorphism of Hopf algebras). The elements of \mathfrak{g} annihilate functions of the form f^p hence $\mathbb{F}[G]\{f^p\}$, so, the image of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\text{Dist}_1(G)$.

Lemma: For an $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the distributions given by ξ^p & $\xi^{[p]}$ coincide.

Sketch of proof: The distribution given by a monomial $\xi_1 \dots \xi_k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ w. $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be shown to be $f \mapsto [\tilde{\xi}_1 \dots \tilde{\xi}_k f](1)$, where we write $\tilde{\xi}_i$ for the element of $\text{Vect}(G)^G$ corresponding to $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. Now it remains to notice that $\tilde{\xi}^p f = \tilde{\xi}^{[p]} f \forall f \in \mathbb{F}[G]$, see the discussion in

the complement section of Lec 10. □

So, $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Dist}_1(G_1)$ factors through $U^\circ(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) / (\xi^p - \xi^{[p]} \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{g})$.

Thm: $U^\circ(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Dist}_1(G_1)$ is an isomorphism (of Hopf algebras).

Note that this theorem can be viewed as an analog of Thm in Sec 1 of Lec 8.5.

Sketch of proof: As a variety, G is smooth. Let's say $\dim G = n$. We can pick a so called "etale coordinate chart" x_1, \dots, x_n at 1: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}[G]$ s.t. for $F = (x_1, \dots, x_n): G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ we have that $T_1 F$ is an isomorphism. We can lift the partials from \mathbb{F}^n to a neighborhood of 1 in G , denote the resulting local vector fields on G by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$. By the construction, their values at $1 \in G$ form a basis in $T_1 G$. Denote them by $\tilde{\xi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_n$. Then one shows that:

(i) $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[G_1]$

(ii) $\mathbb{F}[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n] / (\partial_1^p, \dots, \partial_n^p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Dist}_1(G_1)$

(iii) $\tilde{\xi}_i = \partial_i + \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij} \partial_j$ w. $f_{ij}(1) = 0$.

The claim that $U^\circ(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Dist}_1(G)$ follows from here: the elements $\xi_1^{d_1} \dots \xi_n^{d_n}$ w. $0 \leq d_1, \dots, d_n \leq p-1$, form a basis in $U^\circ(\mathfrak{g})$ and the image of $\xi_1^{d_1} \dots \xi_n^{d_n}$ in $\text{Dist}_1(G_1)$ has the form $\partial_1^{d_1} \dots \partial_n^{d_n} + \text{l.d.t.}$ (lower degree terms). □

Example: Let $G = G_m = GL_m(\mathbb{F})$. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{F})$ be the

element corresponding to 1. Then $\mathfrak{F}^{[p]} = \mathfrak{F}$ & $U^{\circ}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{F}] / (\mathfrak{F}^p - \mathfrak{F})$. This algebra is isomorphic to $\text{Dist}_1(G_1)$ by Example 2 in Sec 2, and, indeed, the homomorphism $U^{\circ}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Dist}_1(G_1)$ sends \mathfrak{F} to δ .

4) Rational representations of G w. trivial \mathfrak{g} -action.

This section addresses the comment after Problem 4 in HW 2.

Let V be a rational representation of G . We can restrict it to a rational representation of G_1 . By Section 3 of Lec 8.5, a rational representation of G_1 is the same thing as an $\mathbb{F}[G_1]$ -comodule, which is the same thing as a $\text{Dist}_1(G_1)$ -module.

Further, we can view V as a $\text{Dist}_1(G)$ -module. By the construction of the latter, the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -action on V is obtained from the $\text{Dist}_1(G)$ -action via the homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Dist}_1(G)$ from Sec 1 of Lec 8.5 (*exercise*). So this action factors through $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U^{\circ}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Dist}_1(G_1)$. In other words, passing from a representation of an algebraic group to the representation of its Lie algebra is equivalent to restriction to G_1 .

In particular, \mathfrak{g} acts trivially on $V \Leftrightarrow G_1$ acts trivially $\Leftrightarrow V$ is obtained as the pullback of a rational representation of $G^{(1)} \cong G/G_1$, i.e., V arises as the Frobenius twist of some rational representation of $G^{(1)}$.