

## Representations of algebraic groups & their Lie algebras, XI.

1) Chevalley restriction theorem.

2) Proof of HC isomorphism.

3) Complements.

1) In the last lecture we have stated the Harish-Chandra isomorphism theorem for the center  $\mathcal{Z} = U(\mathfrak{g})^G$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  ( $G = SL_n$ ), to be recalled below.

The first step is to prove an analog of HC isomorphism in an easier setting. Consider the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ ;  $G$  acts by automorphisms  $\leadsto$  subalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})^G$  of invariants. We'll establish an isomorphism  $S(\mathfrak{g})^G \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ , where  $W (\cong S_n)$  is the Weyl group. This is known as the **Chevalley restriction theorem**.

We start with an exercise (compare to the case of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  in Sec 1.3 of Lec 10). Consider the adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ :  $g \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$ .

**Exercise:** Show that  $(x, y) := \text{tr}(xy)$  defines a  $G$ -invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form.

This gives rise to a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $x \mapsto \text{tr}(x \cdot)$ , hence an algebra isomorphism  $S(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G = \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ , where, explicitly,

$$\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \{F \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}] \mid F(x) = F(gxg^{-1}), \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G\}$$

We have the restriction homomorphism  $\text{res}: \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]$ ,  $F \mapsto F|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

**Example:** Pick  $k > 1$ . Set  $F_k(x) = \text{tr}(x^k) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]$ . Then  $\text{res}(f) = p_k$ ,  $k$ -th power symmetric polynomial,  $p_k(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$ .

The action of  $S_n = W$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , its reflection representation, gives rise to  $W \curvearrowright \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]$  by algebra automorphisms. The algebra of invariants,  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ , is  $\mathbb{F}[p_1, \dots, p_n]$ , compare to Sec 1.4 of Lec 14.

*Thm* ( $S_n^k$ -special case of the Chevalley restriction thm)

res:  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]$  restricts to  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ .

Proof: We need to show:

(a)  $\text{res}(\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G) \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$

(b)  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \subset \text{res}(\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G)$ .

(c)  $\text{res}|_{\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G}$  is injective.

(a): *Exercise*: Pick  $w \in W$  and a "monomial matrix"  $M_w \in SL_n(\mathbb{F})$ ,

$M_w = (m_{ij})$  w.  $m_{ij} \neq 0$  only if  $i = w(j)$ . Then

$$M_w \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) M_w^{-1} = \text{diag}(x_{w(1)}, \dots, x_{w(n)}).$$

Now take  $F \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ . Of course,  $F(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = F(M_w \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) M_w^{-1}) = F(\text{diag}(x_{w(1)}, \dots, x_{w(n)})) \Rightarrow \text{res}(F) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ .

(b):  $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$  is generated by  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . By the example above,  $p_i = \text{res}(F_i)$  w.  $F_i = \text{tr}(x^i) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ . (b) follows.

(c): Let  $F \in \ker(\text{res}) \cap \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ . It vanishes on every diagonal - and b/c of  $G$ -invariance, on every diagonalizable matrix. Those form a Zariski dense subset in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So  $F = 0$ . □

Rem: We can identify  $\mathfrak{h}$  w  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  using the trace form as well. So we get the map  $\text{res}: S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{h})$ , an algebra homomorphism extended from  $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}^* \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathfrak{h}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}: x \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \text{res}(x)$  w.  $\text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(\text{res}(x)y) \forall y \in \mathfrak{h}$ . It follows that on the basis  $e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_i$ , we have  $\text{res}(h_i) = h_i$  &  $\text{res}(e_\alpha) = \text{res}(f_\alpha) = 0$  (e.g.  $\text{tr}(e_\alpha y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{h}$ ).

## 2) Proof of HC isomorphism

We'll deduce the Harish-Chandra isomorphism from the Chevalley thm.

### 2.1) $\text{sym}: S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathfrak{g})$

We want to compare  $\mathbb{Z} = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{G}}$  to  $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{G}}$ . We'll do so by constructing a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear vector space isomorphism  $S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Consider a map  $\mathfrak{g}^k \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \mapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \xi_{\sigma(1)} \dots \xi_{\sigma(k)}$ . This map is multilinear and symmetric (the image stays the same if we permute the arguments) so gives a unique linear map  $\text{sym}: S^k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ , w.  $\xi_1 \dots \xi_k \mapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \xi_{\sigma(1)} \dots \xi_{\sigma(k)}$ . Extend it to  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  by linearity.

**Lemma:** The map  $\text{sym}$  has the following properties:

- 1)  $\text{sym}(\xi_1 \dots \xi_k) = \xi_1 \dots \xi_k + \text{lower degree terms}$
- 2)  $\text{sym}$  is a vector space isomorphism
- 3)  $\text{sym}$  is  $\mathfrak{G}$ -equivariant.

**Proof:** *exercise* (for 2) use 1) & the PBW theorem). □

**Corollary:**  $\text{sym}: S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{G}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{G}}$ , vector space isomorphism.

And now we can explain how Casimir  $C \in U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  arises (and also why it's  $G$ -invariant for  $\text{char } F \neq 2$ )

**Exercise:** Show that under  $F[\mathfrak{g}]^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{g})^G$ ,  $F(x) = \text{tr}(x^2)$  goes to  $\frac{1}{2}h^2 + 2fe$ , which under  $\text{sym}: S(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathfrak{g})^G$  goes to  $\frac{1}{2}h^2 + h + 2fe = C$ .

## 2.2) Completion of proof

The monomials  $\prod_{j=1}^N f_{\beta_j}^{k_j} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} h_i^{\ell_i} \prod_{j=1}^N e_{\beta_j}^{m_j}$  form bases in both  $U(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g})$ . For  $F \in S(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.  $F' \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ) write  $\text{res}(F) \in S(\mathfrak{h})$  (resp.  $\text{res}(F') \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ ) for the sum of all monomials in  $F$  (resp.  $F'$ ) that only contain  $h$ 's. Let  $\iota: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the isomorphism that is the id w.r.t. the bases (unlike  $\text{sym}$ ,  $\iota$  is not  $G$ -equivariant). Under the nat'l ident'n  $U(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{h})$ ,

$$\text{res}(F) = \text{res}(\iota(F)) \quad (1)$$

In Sec 1.2 of Lec 14 we've introduced a shifted  $W$ -action on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ :  $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$  and the shift map  $\tau: \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda + \rho$ , which gives  $\tau: F[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{(w, \cdot)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W [\tau F](\lambda) := F(\lambda + \rho)$ .

We've seen, Sec 1.2 of Lec 14, that  $\text{res}: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$  restricts to an algebra homomorphism  $\text{HC}: U(\mathfrak{g})^G = \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow F[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{(w, \cdot)}$ . We need to show that  $\mathcal{Z} \mapsto \text{HC}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is an isomorphism proving Thm in Sec 1.2 of Lec 14.

**Proof:** We have  $\text{sym}: S(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathfrak{g})^G$  &  $\tau: F[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{(w, \cdot)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$

It's enough to show that

(\*)  $F \mapsto \tau \circ \text{res} \circ \text{sym}(F): S(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{h})^W$  (of vector spaces)

We'll prove (\*) by comparing this map to  $\text{res}$ , which is an isomorphism.

Note that  $G$  preserves  $S^d(\mathfrak{g}) \forall d \Rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g})^G = \bigoplus S^d(\mathfrak{g})^G$ . By Sec 1,  
 $\text{res}: S(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{h})^W \Leftrightarrow [\text{res}(S^d(\mathfrak{g})^G) \subset S^d(\mathfrak{h})^W] \text{res}: S^d(\mathfrak{g})^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S^d(\mathfrak{h})^W \forall d.$

So (\*) will follow from

(\*\*)  $\forall d, F \in S^d(\mathfrak{g})^G \Rightarrow \deg(\tau \circ \text{res} \circ \text{sym}(F) - \text{res}(F)) < d.$

By (1) in Lemma in Sec 2.1,  $\text{sym}(F) = \mathcal{L}(F) + \text{l.d.t. (terms of degree } < d) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{res} \circ \text{sym}(F) = \text{res} \circ \mathcal{L}(F) + \text{l.d.t.} = [(1)] = \text{res}(F) + \text{l.d.t.}$  And  $\tau$  preserves top  
 deg. term. (\*\*) follows.  $\square$

### 3) Characters.

Notation: consider the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  of the weight lattice  $\Lambda$ . We write  $e^\lambda$  for the element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  corresponding to  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . The Weyl group  $W = S_n$  acts on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  preserving  $\Lambda (= \{ \sum \lambda_i \epsilon_i \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \})$  and hence on  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ :  $w e^\lambda = e^{w(\lambda)}$ .

We also consider the completed version  $\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]$  consisting of all infinite linear combinations of  $e^\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ .

**Definition:** Let  $M$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  w.  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$  w.  $\dim M_\lambda < \infty$ .

Below we will call such a representation a **weight module**.

The (formal) **character** of  $M$ ,  $\text{ch } M := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\dim M_\lambda) e^\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]$ .

Example: The Verma module  $\Delta(\lambda)$  has weight basis  $\prod_{j=1}^N f_{\beta_j}^{k_j} v_\lambda$  w. weights  $\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^N k_j \beta_j$ . So

$$\text{ch } \Delta(\lambda) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N \geq 0} e^{\lambda - \sum k_j \beta_j} = e^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + e^{-\beta_j} + e^{-2\beta_j} + \dots) = e^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - e^{-\beta_j})^{-1}$$

Our goal is to compute  $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ . This will conclude our study of finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ . Recall, Sec. 1.2. of Lec 14,  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum$  positive roots  $\Rightarrow \langle \rho, h_i \rangle = 1, \forall i$ , so  $\rho \in \Lambda$ .

Thm (Weyl character formula): Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ . Then

$$\text{ch } L(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) e^{w\rho}}$$

Examples: 1)  $L(0)$  is the trivial representation, and we recover  $\text{ch triv} = e^0 (= 1)$ .

2) Let  $n=2$ . Then  $L(n) = M(n)$  &  $\text{ch } M(n) = [\dim M(n)_i = 1 \text{ for } i = n, n-2, \dots, -n \text{ and } 0, \text{ else}] = e^n + e^{n-2} + \dots + e^{-n} = \frac{e^{n+1} - e^{-(n+1)}}{e - e^{-1}}$ . Since  $\rho$  is identified with  $\langle \rho, h \rangle = 1$ , this agrees with the theorem.

Exercise: For  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representations  $M, M'$  as in the definition above, and a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation  $V$ , we have

$$\text{ch}(M \oplus M') = \text{ch}(M) + \text{ch}(M'), \quad \text{ch}(V \otimes M) = \text{ch}(V) \text{ch}(M)$$

### 3) Complements.

#### 3.1) Connection to characters for group representations.

Let  $V$  be a rational representation of  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{F})$ . We can consider its usual character  $\chi_V(g) = \text{tr}_V(g)$ . Then  $\chi_V \in \mathbb{F}[G]^G$ . We explain how to recover  $\chi_V$  from  $\text{ch}(V)$  - note that  $V$  is also  $\mathfrak{g}$ -repr'n.

First of all, let  $T \subset G$  be the subgroup of diagonal matrices.

From  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  we can produce an algebraic group homomorphism  $e^\lambda: T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ : for  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \epsilon_n$  w.  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  we set  $e^\lambda(t) = t_1^{\lambda_1} \dots t_n^{\lambda_n}$  (this is well-defined: the  $\lambda_i$ 's are defined up to a common summand, and  $t_1 t_2 \dots t_n = 1$ ). Then  $\text{ch}(V) = \chi_V|_T$  (exercise).

Now take  $g \in G$ . We can uniquely write it as  $g = g_s g_u$ , where  $g_s$  is a diagonalizable element, and  $g_u$  is unipotent - all eigenvalues are equal to 1. One can show that,  $\forall F \in \mathbb{F}[G]^G$ , we have  $F(g) = F(g_s)$ . And every  $g_s$  is conjugate to an element in  $T$ . So, we can recover  $\chi_V$  from  $\chi_V|_T$ .

#### 3.2) Schur polynomial.

In fact,  $\text{ch} L(\lambda)$  (w.  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ ) is essentially the Schur polynomial  $S_\lambda$ , see, e.g. [Section 6.1 in \[RT1\]](#), for definition. The meanings of  $\lambda$  in  $S_\lambda$  &  $\text{ch} L(\lambda)$  are somewhat different though, so we will tweak  $\text{ch} L(\lambda)$  a bit.

Namely, we can fix  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and extend the action of  $SL_n$  to  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  by making  $1 \in \mathfrak{sl}_n$  acting by  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ . Then we can view  $\text{ch} L(\lambda)$  as an element of the group algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , which is the ring of Laurent polynomials  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ . For  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  let's write  $x^\mu$  for the

7

corresponding monomial  $x_1^{M_1} \dots x_n^{M_n}$ . Then we can write

$$\text{ch } Z(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) x^{w(\lambda+p)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) x^{w\rho}},$$

where now we take  $\rho = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)$ . In the denominator we have the Vandermonde determinant  $\det(x_i^{j-1})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ . And in the numerator we have  $\det(x_i^{\lambda_i+j-1})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ . So if  $\lambda$  is a partition we recover the determinantal definition of the Schur polynomial.

**Exercise:** By looking at  $\text{ch } \Lambda^k \mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $\text{ch } S^k(\mathbb{F}^n)$  recover the following equalities:

$S_{(1^k)} = e_k$ , the  $k$ th symmetric polynomial

$S_{(k)} = m_k$ , the complete symmetric polynomial.