Here algebra/category, part 1.

1) Introduction. 2) End $(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{H}])$ & convolution 3) The case of $B \subset G = \mathcal{L}_n(F_g)$

1) In the 1st lecture of this class we have mentioned that in the study of representations of finite groups, G, we prioritize the case when G is (almost) simple. Most of such are finite groups of Lie type, the simplest example is $GL_n(F_q)$, where q is a prime power. It is close to being simple: $PSL_n(F_q)$:= $SL_n(F_q)/center$ is simple if $(n,q) \neq (2,2), (2,3)$. For a more altailed discussion of finite simple groups of Lie type see [St], Ch. 4.

We will concentrate on C GL, (Fg)-modules (compare to S, vs 24, although passing from GLn to PSL, is way more complicated...) We are not going to study all $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -irreps. Set $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and let B be the subgroup of all upper triangular matrices.

Definition/lemma: for a CG-irrep V, TFAE (a) V[®]≠0 (b) V appears as a direct summand in $C[G/B](=\{f\in C[G]|f(g'b)=f(g'),$ + g'∈ G, b ∈ B } w. G-action via [g. f](g') = f(g'g')) We say that V is a unipotent principal series representation. Proof: Observe that C[G/B] = Ind & triv & use Frobenius reciprocity Hom_G (C[G/B], V) = Hom_B (triv, V) = V.^B

Kem: 1) Kecall that for vational representations (of SL, (F)-not much difference) there's a unique irreducible w. a B-fixed vector (2) of Covollary in Sec 1.2 of Lec 17) - the triviel one. The answer in our Case turns out to be very different. 2) Unipotent C-irreps are interesting e.g. b/c the study of general irreps reduces to that of unipotent ones. In a way, they are analogs of unipotent conjugacy characters. [C] provides a comprehensive treatment of the representation theory of finite groups of Lie type. Une can parameterize principal serves unipotent irreps as follows: Lemma: There is a bijection {princ. series unipotent (-ivreps} \ni // {irreducible right End_G (C[G/B])-modules } ∋ M_V:=Hom_G (C[G/B], V) multiplicity space of V in C[4/8]. Proof: This is a basic fact about representations of semisimple associve algebras. It follows, for example, from [RT1], Lemma 2.3 (applied to A=End(C[G/B]), B=CG so that End (C[G/B]) is the centralizer algebra Our short term (this & the next lecture) goals are 1) Describe a basis in End₆ (C[G/B]) 2) Explain how the basis elements multiply recovering the algebra structure 3) Use this to identify End (C[C/B]) w. C.S. whose irreps we know. 2

2) End (C[G/H]) & convolution. Let HCG be finite groups ~ CG-module C[G/H]~algebra Endg (C[G/H]) By Frobenius reciprocity, have a vector space identification double cosets $End_{G}(C[G/H]) = Hom_{G}(C[G/H], C[G/H])^{2} = C[G/H]^{H}(=C[G]^{H \times H} = C[H \setminus G/H])$ double cosets

For $O \in H \setminus G/H$, define $S_O \in C[H \setminus G/H]$ by $S_O(O') = S_{OO'}$. $S_O's$ form a basis in C[HIG/H]=Endc (C[G/H]) accomplishing Goal Tabove (modulo an explicit perametrization of H\G/H in our case).

Now we proceed to Coal 2: we introduce the convolution product on C[H\G/H]

Definition/lemma: Let H, Hz, Hz < G be subgroups. Then the convolution $*: \mathbb{C}[H_1 \setminus G/H_2] \times \mathbb{C}[H_1 \setminus G/H_3] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[H_1 \setminus G/H_3] (= \mathbb{C}[G]^{H_1 \times H_3}),$ $f_1 * f_2(q) = \frac{1}{|H_2|} \sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2 \in G|g_1g_1 \approx q}} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_1), \text{ is well-defined (meaning that} \\ f_1 * f_2 \text{ is left } H_1-invariant & right H_3-invariant). Left as exercise.$

Example: Suppose $H_1 = H_2 = \{1, 3\}$ For $g_{1,1}, g_1 \in G$ consider $f_1 = \delta_{g_1}, f_{23} = \delta_{g_2}$ Then $S_{q_1} * S_{q_2}(q) = \sum_{g'_1,g'_1|q'_1q'_2} S_{q_1}(q'_1) S_{q_2}(q'_1) = S_{q_1q_2} S_{0}(\mathbb{C}[G], *)$ is identi-fied with $\mathbb{C}G$.

Exercise: 1) In the general situation, * is associative. In particular, C[H\G/H] is an associative algebra & C[G/H] is a C[G]-C[H\G/H] -61module.

2) For $Q \in H_1 \setminus G/H_2$, $Q \in H_2 \setminus G/H_3$ we have $\delta_Q * \delta_Q = \sum_{O \in H_1 \setminus G/H_3} m_{O_1, O_2}^O \delta_Q$ where $m_{O_1, O_2}^O = \frac{1}{|H_2||O|} | \{g_1 \in O_1, g_2 \in O_2| g_1 g_2 \in O_3 \} (\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$

3) In particular, if H,=H2, then SH, * S= So, and if H2=H3, then S* SH= So So δ_{H} is a unit in $\mathbb{C}[H \mid G/H]$.

Covollary: 1) The action of (CLG],*) on CLG/H] coincides w. the representation of CG there. C3 2) The right action of (C[H\G/H],*) on C[G/H] identifies (C(H\G/H],*) w. End (C[G/H]) ("opp" means opposite product)

Proof: 1) follows from Sg' * SgH = Sg'gH. To prove 2) observe that 1) of Exercise gives a right action of the (unital) algebra C[H\G/H] on CLG/H] commuting w. CG, hence an algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[H\setminus G/H] \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}hd_{C}^{*}(\mathbb{C}[G/H])^{*}$ (1)It's injective: for $\delta_{H} \in \mathbb{C}[G/H]$, have $\delta_{H} * f = f$, $\forall f \in \mathbb{C}[H \setminus G/H]$ Since the source and the target of (1) are isomorphic finite dimensional spaces, (1) is an algebra isomorphism

Remark: End (C[G/H])"= C[H\G/H] is often referred to as the Hecke algebra of HCG.

3) The case of BCG=GLn(Fg) Now we apply results of Section 2 to the case of interest. 4

3.1) Description of orbits.

Here we explain two results that are consequences of the Gauss elimination algorithm. Let $G = G_{n}(F) > B$ - the upper triangular matrices. Let IF be a field. Set $W = S_{n}$. For $w \in W$ let $M_{W} = (S_{i, W(j)})_{i, j=1}^{n}$ be the corresponding permutation matrix in G. Write BwB for $BM_{W}B = G$.

Fact 1 (Bruhat decomposition): C= II BwB

As a corollary, G/B= 11 BwB/B. The subsets BwB ~ G are Known as Bruhat cells, while BwB/B ~ G/B are Schubert cells. Our goal now is to describe them more explicitly as sets. We need some terminology.

By the leghth, l(w) of $w \in W$ we mean $\left| \left\{ (i,j) \in \{1,...,n\}^2 \mid i < j, w(i) > w(j) \right\} \right|$ [a.K.a. the number of inversions]. Alternatively, l(w) can be described in the following way. The elements $S_i = (i, i+1), i=1,..., n-1$, generate W. Then l(w) = the minimal length of a word in the sis equal to w (exercise).

Consider the subset $U_w \subset U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ consisting of all matrices whose (i,j) entry is zero if i < j & w(i) < w(j). Clearly, $U_w \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{-l(w)}$ (via taxing the potentially nonzero entries)

Fact 2: The map $U_w \times B \rightarrow BwB$, $(u, 6) \mapsto uM_w 6$ is a bijection. Hence $\mathbb{F}^{l(w)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}w \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}.$

3.2) Hecke algebra Now take F=Fq. Let H(q) = (C[B \ G/B],*). By Fact 1, it has basis Tw = SBWB, WEW. Note that Ty = 1. Now we compute some products of Tw's.

Proposition: 1) if l(uw) = l(u) + l(w), then Tu Tw = Tuw. 2) For S= S; (i=1,..., n-1), we have $T_s^2 = (q-1)T_s + qT_q$.

Proof: Consider the map $BuB \times BwB \xrightarrow{T} G$, $(x,y) \mapsto xy$. The group Bactson BuB×BwB by 6. (x,y) = (x6', by). This action is free & each fiber of I is a union of orbits. By 2) of Exercise in Sec 2, (*) $T_u T_w = \sum_{v \in W} m_{uw}^v T_v$, where $m_{uw}^v = \#$ of B-orbits in $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(z)$, $z \in B \cup B$.

1): Note that BuwB < im JT. By Fact 2, |BuwB| = 9 ((uw) |B|, |BuB × BuB|= = $|B|^2 q^{l(u)} q^{l(w)} = |B|^2 q^{l(uw)} = |B| \cdot |BuwB|$. Since each fiber of IT is a union of free B-orbits, we get BuwB=im JT and each fiber is a single B-orbit. Our claim follows from (*).

2): Consider the subgroup $P_{s} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow iti so that <math>P_{s} = BsB \perp B$, (exercise). C4 $B_{y}(*), T_{s}^{2} = m_{ss}^{s} T_{s} + m_{ss}^{1} T_{1}$. First of all, $m_{ss}^{1} = \frac{1}{|B|} |JT^{-1}(1)| = [JT^{-1}(1) = (g,g^{-1}),$ $g \Leftrightarrow g^{-1} \in BsB] = \frac{1}{|B|} |BsB| = g$. Next, $|BsB \times BsB| = |JT^{-1}(BsB)| + |JT^{-1}(B)| = m_{ss}^{s} |BsB||B| + m_{ss}^{1} |B||B| \Rightarrow$ $q^{2} = m_{ss}^{s} q + q \Rightarrow m_{ss}^{s} = q - 1.$ divide by $|B|^{2}$