

Hecke algebra/category, part VIII

1) Projectives, finished.

2) (Two out of) three theorems of Soergel.

3) Complements.

1.0) Recap. Let X be a free W -orbit for the \cdot -action of W on the weight lattice Λ and $\lambda \in X \cap \Lambda_+$. We have produced certain projective objects in \mathcal{O}^X . Namely, let $\underline{w} := (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_\ell})$ be a reduced expression for $w \in W$, meaning that $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ & $\ell = \ell(w)$. Set $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}} = \mathbb{T}_{i_1} \dots \mathbb{T}_{i_\ell}$, an exact endofunctor of \mathcal{O}^X sending projectives to projectives. We have seen that $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{w}} \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$, we used this to show that \mathcal{O}^X has enough projectives.

1.1) Category of projectives. Let $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{O}^X consisting of projective objects. The following theorem describes the objects of $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$.

Thm: 1) $\exists \lambda' \in X \exists!$ projective $P(\lambda') \in \mathcal{O}^X$ s.t. $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P(\lambda'), L(\mu)) = \delta_{\lambda', \mu}$.
2) $\forall P \in \mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$, we have $P \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in X} P(\lambda')^{\oplus d_{\lambda'}}$ w. $d_{\lambda'} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, L(\lambda'))$.

To prove this let's discuss decompositions into \bigoplus of indecomposables. Let R be a \mathbb{C} -algebra. We say $M \in R\text{-mod}$ is **indecomposable** if $M \neq M_1 \oplus M_2$ for $M_1, M_2 \in R\text{-mod}$, nonzero.

Assume now

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_R(M) < \infty \quad (*)$$

Lemma: TFAE

- 1) M is indecomposable
- 2) $\forall \tau \in \text{End}_R(M) \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, m > 0$ s.t. $(\tau - \alpha)^m = 0$
- 3) $\text{End}_R(M) = \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \text{rad } \text{End}_R(M)$.

Proof - exercise.

Proposition: Let $M \in R\text{-mod}$ satisfy $(*)$

- 1) M decomposes as $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$, where M_i is indecomposable.
- 2) Moreover, if $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M'_i$ is another such decomposition, then $k=l$ & $M_i \cong M'_{\sigma(i)}$ for some $\sigma \in S_k$ ("Krull-Schmidt property").

1) is an exercise. 2) is the Krull-Schmidt theorem, [E], Section 3.8.

Sketch of proof of Thm:

• Existence of $P(\lambda')$: in Sec 1.5 of Lec 23, we have established an equivalence $\mathcal{O}^X \cong A\text{-mod}$ for a finite dimensional algebra A . It's enough to establish an analogous result in $A\text{-mod}$. Let $L \in \text{Irr}(A)$. Choose a primitive idempotent $\underline{e} \in \text{End}(L) \cong A/\text{rad } A$. We can find $\varepsilon \in A$ w. $\varepsilon + \text{rad } A = \underline{e}$ & $\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon$ ([E], Sec. 8.1). Then $P_L = A\varepsilon$ is projective and $\dim \text{Hom}_A(A\varepsilon, L') = \dim \varepsilon L' = \delta_{L,L'} \forall L' \in \text{Irr}(A)$.

2]

• The remaining statements: Let $P \in A\text{-proj} (\simeq \mathcal{O}^\lambda\text{-proj})$ w. nonzero homomorphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow L$. Let $\psi: P_2 \rightarrow L$ be a nonzero homomorphism. We can find $\tilde{\varphi}: P \rightarrow P_2$, $\tilde{\psi}: P_2 \rightarrow P$ making the following commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & P_2 \\ \varphi \searrow & & \swarrow \psi \\ & L & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & P \\ \psi \searrow & & \swarrow \varphi \\ & L & \end{array}$$

Consider $\tau = \tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\psi} \in \text{End}(P_2)$.

Exercise: • Use 2) of Lemma to show τ is invertible.

- Deduce that $P \simeq \ker \tilde{\varphi} \oplus \text{im } \tilde{\psi}$ P_2
- Complete the proof. □

Example: i) $P(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$ - the r.h.s. is projective & indecomposable.

ii) Consider the object $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p) = (L(\lambda+p) \otimes \Delta(-p))^X$. This object is projective b/c $\Delta(-p)$ is & $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda}$ sends projectives to projectives (Sec's 1.1, 1.2 of Lec 23). Similarly to Prob. 4 in HW3, $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p) \longrightarrow \Delta(w_0 \cdot \lambda)$ (for $\lambda=0$, get $w_0 \cdot 0 = -2p$). It follows that $P(w_0 \cdot \lambda)$ is a direct summand in $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)$. In fact, $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)$ is indecomposable so $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p) = P(w_0 \cdot \lambda)$ - we'll elaborate on this in the next lecture.

Exercise: $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(P(\lambda), M) =$ multiplicity of $L(\lambda)$ in M (hint: induct on the length of JH filtration of M using that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(P, \cdot)$ is exact).

1.2) Verma filtrations on projectives.

By a **Verma filtration** on $M \in \mathcal{O}^\lambda$ we mean a filtration $\{0\} = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k = M$ s.t. M_i/M_{i-1} is a Verma.

Example: $\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} \Delta(\lambda)$ has a Verma filtration: \bigoplus_{s_i} is exact & have SES $0 \rightarrow \Delta(u' \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} \Delta(u \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(u'' \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow 0$, $\forall u \in W$, where $\{u'', u'\} = \{u, u_{s_i}\}$ & $l(u') < l(u'')$, see the proof of Prop. in Sec 1.3 of Lec 23.
So, the successive quotients of $\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} \Delta(\lambda)$ (2^l of them) are labelled by subwords of \underline{w} , for the subword \underline{u} , the corresponding subquotient is $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$, where \underline{u} is a (not necessarily reduced) expression for u . All claims in this paragraph are proved by induction on l (exercise).

M can have different Verma filtrations but they all have the same successive quotients up to permutation. This follows from the following claim (see the complement section for a discussion).

Fact 1: Let $M \in \mathcal{O}$ be Verma filtered: $\{0\} = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k$. Then $\#\{i \mid M_i/M_{i-1} \cong \Delta(\mu)\} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(M, \nabla(\mu))$, $\forall \mu \in \Lambda$.

Now we turn to the indecomposable projectives $P(\mu)$, $\mu \in \Lambda$.

Theorem: 1) For all $\mu \in \Lambda$, $P(\mu)$ admits a Verma filtration.

2) For all $\nu \in \Lambda$, the multiplicity of $\Delta(\nu)$ in $P(\mu)$ coincides w. the multiplicity of $L(\mu)$ in $\Delta(\nu)$ (BGG reciprocity).

Sketch of proof: Using Thm in Sec 1.1, we see that $P(w, \lambda)$ is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$. Now 1) follows from:

Fact 2: Let $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O}^X$. If $M_1 \oplus M_2$ admits a Verma filtration, then so do M_1, M_2 .

We'll prove this in the complement section.

To prove (2) we notice that the multiplicity of $L(\mu)$ in $\Delta(\nu)$ coincides w. that in $\nabla(\nu)$ b/c $\nabla(\nu) = \mathbb{D}\Delta(\nu)$ & $L(\mu) = \mathbb{D}L(\mu) \neq \mu$ (Prob. 3 in HW3). Then

mult. of $\Delta(\nu)$ in $P(\mu) = [\text{Fact 1}] = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P(\mu), \nabla(\nu)) =$
[last exer. in Sec 1.1] = multiplicity of $L(\mu)$ in $\nabla(\nu)$, equiv. in $\Delta(\nu)$ \square

1.3) Decomposing $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$.

Let's discuss the decomposition of $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$ into \bigoplus of indecomposables - and why we should care. From Example in Sec. 1.2 we know that $\Delta(w, \lambda)$ occurs in the Verma filtration of $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$ once - and as a quotient - for all other $\Delta(u, \lambda)$ that occur satisfy the condition:

(*) u is equal to a proper subword of $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$.

Combinatorial fact: (*) $\Leftrightarrow u < w$ (in Bruhat order, Sec 1.3 in Lec 21).

Exercise: Deduce that

- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda), L(u \cdot \lambda)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq w$ & for $u=w$, $\dim=1$ (hint: look at Homs from successive filtration quotients).

- $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda) = P(w \cdot \lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{u < w} P(u \cdot \lambda)^{\oplus m_{u,w}}$ for some $m_{u,w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

If we know $m_{u,w}$'s we can compute the multiplicities of $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$'s in $P(w \cdot \lambda)$ recursively. By Thm in Sec 1.2, this is the multiplicity of $L(w \cdot \lambda)$ in $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$ - which is what we want to compute starting Lec 16.

2) (Two out of) three theorems of Soergel

W. Soergel "Kategorie \mathcal{O} , Perverse Garben und Moduln über den Koinvarianten zur Weylgruppe", J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990).

- Computation of $\text{End}(\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p))$. To compute the endomorphism of a projective generator - or even most $P(\mu)$'s - is hard. But for $\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)$ ($= P(w \cdot \lambda)$, Example in Sec 1.1) the endomorphism algebra turns out to be a very classical object.

Let $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W \mid f(0) = 0\}$, a maximal ideal. Consider the algebra of "coinvariants" $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{cog}} = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] / \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \mathfrak{m}_0$. It has dimension $|W|$ b/c $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$ -module of rk $|W|$. We have seen (Prob 4.3 of HW3) that $\dim \text{End}(\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)) = |W|$ as well.

Theorem 1: $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{cog}}$.

• Functor \mathbb{V} : Consider the functor

$$\mathbb{V} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p), \cdot): \mathcal{O}^X \longrightarrow \overbrace{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{coW}}}_{\text{endomorphisms of } \mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)}\text{-mod}$$

It's exact; $\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p) = P(w_0 \cdot \lambda) \Rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}(w \cdot \lambda)) = \mathbb{C}_{\delta_{w, w_0}}$.

Since \mathbb{V} kills all irreps but one, it looks like this functor loses a lot of information and isn't going to be useful in our study of \mathcal{O}^X . However, we have:

Theorem 2: \mathbb{V} is fully faithful on $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ (i.e. preserves Hom's).

What Theorem 2 tells us is that to describe $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$, it's enough to understand its image in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{coW}}\text{-mod}$. The image turns out to be (the ungraded version) of the category of Soergel modules to be discussed next time.

3) Complements.

Here we provide proofs of 2 facts mentioned in Sec 1.2.

Fact 1: this follows from the claim, Prob. 3.7 in HW3, that

$$\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(\mu), \nabla(\nu)) = 0 \text{ (the Ext is in } \mathcal{O}^X), \forall \mu, \nu \in \Lambda,$$

compare to solution of Prob 4.3 in HW3.

Fact 2: We will use the following claim similar to Prob 5.2 in HW2:

if SES in \mathcal{O} , $0 \rightarrow \Delta(\mu) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Delta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$, doesn't split, then $\mu > \nu$.

We'll also use that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\nu)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \nu$ and $\dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(\Delta(\mu)) = 1$.

7]

The proof is by induction on the length of the filtration. Let λ be a maximal weight of a Verma in the filtration of $M_1 \oplus M_2$. Thanks to the previous paragraph, " $\Delta(\lambda)$ slides to the bottom of the filtration" so we have a SES:

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)^{\oplus k} \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where N is filtered by other Vermas. Note that since N is filtered by Vermas w. highest weights $\neq \lambda$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\lambda), N) = 0$ (from the left exactness of Hom). So $k = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\lambda), M_1 \oplus M_2)$. Also observe that any nonzero homomorphism $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$ - because every homomorphism factors through $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)^{\oplus k}$ & $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\lambda)) = \mathbb{C}1$. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\lambda), M_1 \oplus M_2) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\lambda), M_1) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\lambda), M_2)$$

Pick a nonzero element in one of the summands, say the first. It gives an embedding $\Delta(\lambda) \hookrightarrow M_1$. Then we replace M_1 w. $M_1 / \mathfrak{c}(\Delta(\lambda))$ and proceed by induction.