

Hecke algebra/category, Part VIII

1) Soergel (bi)modules.

2) Complements.

1.0) Recap: We consider the category \mathcal{O}^X for $X = W \cdot \lambda$ w. $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$, free orbit. Inside, we consider the category $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ of projective objects. Every object uniquely decomposes as \bigoplus of indecomposable projectives $P(w \cdot \lambda)$, $w \in W$. For example, $P(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$, $P(w_0 \cdot \lambda) = \mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p) = (L(\lambda + p) \otimes \Delta(-p))^X$, Sec 1.1 of Lec 24.

For $i=1, \dots, n-1$, we have endofunctor $\mathcal{H}_i: \mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$. For $\underline{w} = (s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell})$, a reduced expression of $w \in W$, set $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} = \mathcal{H}_{i_\ell} \dots \mathcal{H}_{i_1}$. Then (Sec 1.3 of Lec 24) we have $\mathcal{H}_{\underline{w}} \Delta(\lambda) = P(w \cdot \lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{u \prec w} P(u \cdot \lambda)$.
Bruhat order.

In Section 2 of Lec 24 we introduced the algebra $\mathbb{C}[Y^*]^{\text{co}W} := \mathbb{C}[Y^*] / \mathbb{C}[Y^*] m_0$, $m_0 := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[Y^*]^W \mid f(0) = 0\}$. We have then considered the Soergel functor V :

$$V = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p), \cdot): \mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[Y^*]^{\text{co}W}\text{-mod}$$

We've stated that V is fully faithful on $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ and our task is to describe the image of V . This is how the Soergel (bi)modules have first appeared. Since then they became a crucial tool in the geometric/categorical representation theory - and also useful for knot theory.

Exercise 1: Use the full faithfulness of V to show that $P \in \mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ is indecomposable $\Leftrightarrow V(P) \in \mathbb{C}[Y^*]^{\text{co}W}\text{-mod}$ is (hint: being indecomposable is

about endomorphisms).

Example: $n=2, \lambda=0$. Then the indecomposable projectives (see Example in Sec 1.5 in Lec 23) are $\Delta(0)=P(0)$ & $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1)=P(-2)$, includes into SES $0 \rightarrow \Delta(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1) \rightarrow \Delta(-2) \rightarrow 0$; $W = S_2 \curvearrowright \mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C}$ by $\{\pm 1\}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{co}W} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2) = [\text{Prob. 4.4 in HW3}] = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_0}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1))$.

$V(P(-2)) = \text{regular } \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2)\text{-module}$

$V(P(0)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_0}(P(-2), \Delta(0)) = [\text{vector space of dim = mult. of } L(-2) = \Delta(-2) \text{ in } \Delta(0)] = \mathbb{C}$, that has the unique $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2)$ -module structure.

Exercise 2: Check V is fully faithful on $\mathcal{O}^0\text{-proj}$ (hint: Sec 1.5 in Lec 23).

1.1) Im V . Every indecomposable projective in \mathcal{O}^X occurs as a direct summand in $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$. So, thx to exercise 1, we need to compute $V(\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda))$ and then decompose it into indecomposables.

We start by computing $V(\Delta(\lambda))$. Note $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{co}W}$ is a local algebra, so it has the unique 1-dimensional module, to be denoted by \mathbb{C} .

Proposition: We have $V(\Delta(\lambda)) = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: We claim that the functors $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2}, \mathcal{T}_{\lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda_1}$ (Sec 1.2 of Lec 23) are biadjoint. Indeed, let μ be the unique dominant weight in $W(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$ so that $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2} = (L(\mu) \otimes \cdot)^{X_2}$. Notice that $-\mu$ is the lowest weight of $L(\mu)^*$ - passing to the dual multiplies weights by -1 . So the invop in the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda_1}$ is $L(\mu)^*$ and so $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda_1} = (L(\mu)^* \otimes \cdot)^{X_1}$. By Prop'n in Sec 1.1 of Lec 25, $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda_1}$ are biadjoint.

Now $V(\Delta(\lambda)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p), \Delta(\lambda)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{-p}}(\Delta(-p), \mathcal{I}_{\lambda \rightarrow -p} \Delta(\lambda))$
 $=$ [a) of Prop 1.2 in Sec 1.2 of Lec 25] $= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{-p}}(\Delta(-p), \Delta(-p)) = \mathbb{C} \square$

Now we need to understand the interaction between V and \oplus_i .

Note that a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{c.o.w.}}$ -module is the same thing as a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ -module, where \mathfrak{m}_0 acts by 0. For $i=1, \dots, n-1$, we write $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}$ for the subalgebra of S_i -invariant elements. In particular, $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}$.

Exercise: Let $M \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]\text{-mod}$. If $\mathfrak{m}_0 M = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{m}_0(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}} M) = 0$.

So $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}} \cdot$ can be viewed as an endo-functor of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{\text{c.o.w.}}\text{-mod}$.
 And here's the third theorem of Soergel.

Theorem: We have a functor isomorphism $V \circ \oplus_i \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}} V$.

Remark: For $i=1, \dots, n-1$, define the elementary Bott-Samelson $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ -bimodule BS_i as $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$. So $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{S_i}} M = BS_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]} M$.

1.2) Graded (bi)modules.

Let R be a commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -algebra grading: $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$. A basic example, $R = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$, where $R_i = 0$ for odd i and for even i , R_i is the space of homogeneous polynomials of deg $i/2$.

By a **graded R -module** we mean an R -module M together w. vector space decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_j$ s.t. $R_i M_j \subset M_{i+j} \forall i, j$. A

homomorphism of graded R -modules M, N is an R -linear map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ w. $\varphi(M_i) \subset N_i \forall i$. Similarly we can talk about graded R -bimodules and their homomorphisms.

Example: BS_i is a graded bimodule for $R = \mathbb{C}[y^*]$ w. $\deg a \otimes b = i+j-1$ for $a \in R_i, b \in R_j$ (the shift is a convenient convention).

Constructions: • If B_1, B_2 are graded (bi)modules, then $B_1 \oplus B_2$ has a natural grading.

• Tensor product of two graded bimodules, $B \otimes_R B'$ is a graded bimodule w. $b_1(x \otimes y)b_2 = b_1 x \otimes y b_2$ & $\deg b \otimes b' = \deg b + \deg b'$. Similarly, for a graded R -module M , $B \otimes_R M$ is graded R -module: $b(x \otimes m) = b x \otimes m$. E.g. for $R = \mathbb{C}[y^*]$ we have the graded module $\underline{B} := B/B\mathfrak{h} (= B \otimes_R \mathbb{C})$. $f \in R$ acts via $f \mapsto f(0)$.

• Grading shift. For a graded R -(bi)module M and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can define the graded (bi)module $M\langle j \rangle$ with same R -(bi)module structure but shifted grading: $M\langle j \rangle_i = M_{i+j}$. For example, $BS_i = \underline{R} \otimes_{R\langle 1 \rangle} R\langle 1 \rangle$ w. its default grading.

Let $R\text{-gr}(bi)\text{mod}$ denote the category of finitely generated graded R -(bi)modules.

Exercise: (i) Show that for $B \in R\text{-gr}(bi)\text{mod}$, we have $\dim B_i < \infty \forall i$.

(ii) Hom's in $R\text{-gr}(bi)\text{mod}$ are finite dimensional (over \mathbb{C}).

(iii) Deduce that every object in $R\text{-gr}(bi)\text{mod}$ decomposes into the

direct sum of indecomposables and any two decompositions have the same summands (up to isomorphism) - compare to Proposition from Sec 1.1 of Lecture 24.

(iv) If for $B \in R\text{-gr}(\text{bi})\text{mod}$ we have $B \cong B\langle j \rangle$, then $j=0$.

1.3) Soergel (bi)modules: definition.

Set $R := \mathbb{C}[\hbar^*]$. By a **Soergel bimodule** we mean a graded R -bimodule obtained from the BS_i 's ($i=1, \dots, n-1$) by using the following operations:

- taking \oplus
- taking \otimes_R
- applying grading shifts $\langle j \rangle$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$)
- taking direct summands in the category of graded R -bimodules.

Note that any Soergel bimodule is \oplus of indecomposable Soergel bimodules in a unique way, see the previous exercise.

The category of Soergel bimodules is denoted by $SBim$.

By a **Soergel module** we mean a direct summand in $\underline{B} (= B/B\hbar)$ for $B \in SBim$. Their category is denoted by $SMod$.

Remarks 1) In fact, one doesn't need to include direct summands in the definition of $SMod$: $B \in SBim$ is indecomposable $\Leftrightarrow \underline{B} \in SMod$ is.

\Leftarrow is very basic (exercise), \Rightarrow is a result of Soergel. Moreover, $\underline{B}_1 \cong \underline{B}_2 \Rightarrow B_1 \cong B_2$. We'll discuss these in the complement section.

2) For a word $\underline{w} = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k})$ - not necessarily reduced - define the Bott-Samelson bimodule $BS_{\underline{w}} := BS_{i_k} \otimes_R BS_{i_{k-1}} \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R BS_{i_1}$. Then every $B \in SBim$ is \bigoplus of indecomposable direct summands of $BS_{\underline{w}}$ w. grading shifts.

3) Let $B \in SBim$. We claim that $\forall f \in R^W$, the left action of f on B coincides with its right action. By 2) one needs to check this on $BS_{\underline{w}}$. There one reduces to the individual BS_{i_j} 's, where it holds b/c $f \in R^W \subset R^{S_i}$. An R -bimodule is the same thing as an $R \otimes R$ -module and the $R \otimes R$ -action on B factors through

$$R \otimes R / (f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f \mid f \in R^W) = R \otimes_{p^W} R.$$

Exercise: Observe that $R \otimes_{p^W} R$ is a finitely generated right R -module and deduce that every $B \in SBim$ is a finitely generated right R -module, and so every $M \in SMod$ is finite dimensional.

1.4) Connection to \mathcal{O}^X -proj.

The following claim is fairly basic and will be checked in the complement section.

Fact: Let M be a finite dimensional graded R -module. If it's indecomposable as a graded module, then it's indecomposable as an ordinary module (the opposite implication is manifest).

Let $SMod_{\text{ungr}}$ denote the category, where the objects are Soergel modules and morphisms are all R -linear homomorphisms (not necessarily

graded). Soergel's theorems mean that $V: \mathcal{O}^{\lambda}\text{-proj} \xrightarrow{\sim} S\text{Mod}_{\text{ungr}}$

Remark: One can formally recover Hom 's in $S\text{Mod}_{\text{ungr}}$ from those in $S\text{Mod}$ and the grading shift functor as follows. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{R}\text{-grmod}$. Then the vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(M, N)$ is graded (as a vector space):

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(M, N)_j = \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(M, N) \mid \varphi(M_i) \subset N_{i+j}\} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-grmod}}(M, N\langle j \rangle).$$

$$\text{So } \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(M, N) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-grmod}}(M, N\langle j \rangle).$$

The category $SBim$ also has a representation theoretic interpretation (where we need to use certain "Harish-Chandra bimodules" instead of objects in \mathcal{O}^{λ}). This may be explained in a bonus lecture. What is important for us is that $SBim$ is the "Hecke category" - it "categorifies" the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_r(S_n)$. This (as well as examples of indecomposables in $SBim$) will be explained in the next lecture

2) Complements

2.1) Proof of $P(w_0 \cdot \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)$. We use Fact 3 mentioned in Sec 1.4 of Lec 23: $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda \rightarrow -p}(L(w \cdot \lambda)) = L(-p)$ if $w = w_0$ and zero else. So $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{\lambda}}(\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p), L(w \cdot \lambda)) = [\text{adjunction}] = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{-p}}(\Delta(-p), \mathcal{T}_{\lambda \rightarrow -p} L(w \cdot \lambda)) = [\text{every object in } \mathcal{O}^{-p} \text{ is } \Delta(-p)^{\oplus?}, \text{ Prob 1 in HW3}] = \mathbb{C}^{\oplus \delta_{w, w_0}}$. An isom'm $P(w_0 \cdot \lambda) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)$ follows.

2.2) Indecomposability for graded and ordinary modules.

Let M be a finite dimensional graded R -module. Assume it is indecomposable as a graded module. We claim it is indecomposable as an ordinary R -module.

Note that $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra w. grading introduced in Sec 1.4. We claim that $\text{rad } \text{End}_R(M)$ is a homogeneous ideal. For this we use the following classical construction: to give a grading on a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space, V , is the same thing as to equip V with a rational \mathbb{C}^\times -action (on the graded component V_i the group \mathbb{C}^\times acts by $t \mapsto t^i$). To give an algebra grading on a finite dimensional algebra (such as $\text{End}_R(M)$) is to give a rational representation of \mathbb{C}^\times by algebra automorphisms (*exercise*). And, by its definition, the radical is stable under any automorphism. In particular, it's \mathbb{C}^\times -stable, hence graded.

Picking a direct summand in M amounts to picking an idempotent in $\text{End}_R(M)/\text{rad } \text{End}_R(M)$ (that can be then lifted to $\text{End}_R(M)$). Picking a graded direct summand requires a degree 0 idempotent. So our claim becomes the following:

(*) Suppose that a semisimple \mathbb{C} -algebra A has an algebra grading s.t. $A_0 = \mathbb{C}1$. Then $A = \mathbb{C}$.

Here's the most elementary proof of (*): recall that the trace pairing $(x, y) \rightarrow \text{tr}_A(xy)$ is non-degenerate. Under this pairing, A_i and A_{-i} are dual to each other. Let $a \in A_i, b \in A_{-i}$ be s.t. $\text{tr}(ab) \neq 0$. Since $A_0 = \mathbb{C}1$, we must have that ab is a nonzero multiple of 1. But any element of $A_i, i \neq 0$, is nilpotent

(b/c A is finite dimensional). So the only possibility is $A_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and hence $A = A_0 = \mathbb{C}1$.

2.3) Indecomposables in $SBim$ vs indecomposables in $SMod$.

Theorem 1 (Soergel): $B \mapsto \underline{B}$ defines a bijection between indecomposable Soergel bimodules (up to iso) and indecomposable Soergel modules.

To prove this theorem we need a lemma and another theorem.

Lemma: Any $B \in SBim$ is a free right R -module.

Proof: Observe R is a free $\mathbb{Z} \times 2^s$ R^s -module for any $s = s_i$. It follows that $B_{S\underline{w}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z} \times 2^k$ right R -module (where k is the length of \underline{w}). Any indecomposable object in $SBim$ is a direct summand in some $B_{S\underline{w}}$ so is a graded projective (hence free) R -module.

Theorem 2: For B_1, B_2 , the R -module $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-bimod}}(B_1, B_2)$ (from the right R -action on B_2) is free and

$$\text{Hom}_{R\text{-bimod}}(B_1, B_2) \otimes_R \mathbb{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\underline{B}_1, \underline{B}_2).$$

Sketch of proof of Thm 1 mod Thm 2:

Thx to $\text{End}_{R\text{-bimod}}(B) \otimes_R \mathbb{C}_0 \cong \text{End}_{R\text{-mod}}(\underline{B})$ we can lift a homogeneous idempotent in $\text{End}_{R\text{-mod}}(\underline{B})$ to a homogeneous

idempotent in $\text{End}_{R\text{-bimod}}(B)$. So if B is indecomposable, then so is \underline{B} .

A proof of $\underline{B}_1 \cong \underline{B}_2 \Rightarrow B_1 \cong B_2$ is similar: we can lift a homogeneous isomorphism in $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\underline{B}_1, \underline{B}_2)$ to a homogeneous isomorphism in $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-bimod}}(B_1, B_2)$.

We don't prove Theorem 2. One proof requires deformations of V and \mathcal{O}^X . It's explained in my hand-written note for the \mathcal{O} -seminar (see ref. for Lec 24), Oct 24 meeting. A closely related result is Soergel's Hom formula, see [EMTW], Section 5.5.