

Representation theory of algebraic groups & Lie algebras, Part III.

1) Lie algebras

2) Universal enveloping algebras.

Complements.

1.1) Definitions & basic examples. Let F be a field.

Definition 1: • A Lie algebra over F is an F -vector space \mathfrak{g} equipped w. a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ (Lie bracket or commutator).

satisfying the following two properties:

• Skew-symmetry: $[x, x] = 0 \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ($\Rightarrow [x, y] = -[y, x] \ \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, exercise)

• Jacobi identity: $[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$.

Once one knows the skew-symmetry the Jacobi id'ity is equivalent to:

$$[[x, y], z] = [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] \quad (1)$$

• A Lie algebra homomorphism is an F -linear map $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ s.t. $[\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] = \varphi([x, y])$

Example 0: Abelian Lie algebra: $[\cdot, \cdot] = 0$.

Example 1: Let A be an associative algebra. Then $[a, b] := ab - ba$ is a Lie bracket (exercise). An important special case: $A = \text{Mat}_n(F)$ (or $\text{End}(V)$ for a vector space V). The resulting Lie algebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ (or $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$).

Example 2: Let $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be an algebraic group. Then $\mathfrak{g} := T_1 G$ is a Lie subalgebra in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ ((1) in Thm from Sec 2 in Lec 6), so is a Lie algebra. Moreover, by (2) of that Thm, for an algebraic group homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$, its tangent map $\varphi' := T_1 \varphi$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.

1.2) Representations of Lie algebras.

As usual, a representation of a Lie algebra in a vector space V is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Example 1 (adjoint representation): for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\text{ad}(x): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ denote the operator $z \mapsto [x, z]$; $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a representation — $\text{ad}([x, y]) = [\text{ad}(x), \text{ad}(y)]$ is (1) in Sec. 1.1 — called the **adjoint representation**. Moreover, if G is an algebraic group and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra, then this representation arises as the tangent map of a rational representation of G in \mathfrak{g} (also called the adjoint representation), $\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$. G acts on itself by the adjoint action: $a_g(g') = gg'g^{-1}$. Since $a_g(1) = 1$, $g \mapsto T_1 a_g$ gives a representation $\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$. It's rational & $T_1 \text{Ad} = \text{ad}$. Indeed, first consider $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F})$. Then $\text{Ad}(g)z = gzg^{-1}$ ($z \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$) $\Rightarrow T_1 \text{Ad}(x)z = [x, z]$ (**exercise**). For the general G , embed $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, and consider the representations $\tilde{\text{Ad}}$ of G in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$: $\tilde{\text{Ad}}(g)z = gzg^{-1}$ & $\tilde{\text{ad}}$ of \mathfrak{g} in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ so that $T_1 \tilde{\text{Ad}} = \tilde{\text{ad}}$.

Exercise: $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is a subrepresentation for both, the resulting

representations of G & \mathfrak{g} in \mathfrak{g} coincide with Ad & ad . So Ad is rational & $T_1 \text{Ad} = \text{ad}$.

Example 2: Let V, W be representations of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $V \otimes W$ has a unique structure of a representation of \mathfrak{g} s.t.
$$x(v \otimes w) = xv \otimes w + v \otimes xw, \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W. \quad (2)$$

Exercise: 1) Check this is indeed a representation (tensor product rep'n)

2) Suppose that V, W are rational representations of an algebraic group G , $\mathfrak{g} = T_1 G$ & representations of \mathfrak{g} in V, W are obtained by differentiating the representations of G . Then the representation of \mathfrak{g} in $V \otimes W$ is obtained by differentiating the representation of G . This serves as a motivation for (2).

Example 3: If V is a representation of \mathfrak{g} , then so is V^* via
 $[x\eta](v) = -\eta(xv) \quad (v \in V, \eta \in V^*, x \in \mathfrak{g})$ - exercise. The motivation is similar to Example 2. This is the dual representation.

Example 4: \mathbb{F} is a representation of \mathfrak{g} where all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ act by 0. This is the trivial representation.

1.3) Correspondence between algebraic groups & Lie algebras.

Fact: Let G be an affine algebraic group. TFAE:

- G is connected in the Zariski topology.
- G is irreducible (as a variety)

Reason: G is smooth as a variety. In this case we say G is **connected**.

Example: $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}), SL_n(\mathbb{F}), SO_n(\mathbb{F}), Sp_n(\mathbb{F})$ are connected, $O_n(\mathbb{F})$ isn't.

The irreducibility of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ is standard, for $SL_n(\mathbb{F})$ it follows from $\det - 1$ being an irreducible polynomial (not so pleasant check). A general method is explained in the complement section.

Theorem 1: Suppose G is connected and $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$. Let H be another algebraic group, $\varphi_1, \varphi_2: G \rightarrow H$ be algebraic group homomorphisms, $\varphi_i = T_1 \varphi_i$. If $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$, then $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

Theorem 2: Let V, W be rational repr's of G . If $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ is G -linear, then it's σ -linear (**exercise**). If G is connected, and $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$, then the converse is true as well.

Remark: Both Thm's are false when $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0$. For Thm 1, consider $G = H = GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, $\varphi_1(g) = 1$, $\varphi_2 = \text{Fr}: (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ij}^p)$. We have $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$, but $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$. A counterexample to Thm 2 will be provided later.

Sketch of proofs for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$: $\sigma = T_1 G$ is identified with the Lie algebra $\text{Vect}(G)^G$ of left-invariant vector fields on G ; for $\xi \in \sigma$, let $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Vect}(G)^G$ be the corresponding vector field. We write $\exp(t\xi)$ for the (parameterized) integral curve for $\tilde{\xi}$ through 1, it exists for all t

(due to the invariance). For $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\exp(t\xi)$ is a solution of the differential equation $\frac{d}{dt} F(t) = F(t)\xi$, i.e. the usual matrix exponential:

$$\exp(t\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^i}{i!} \xi^i.$$

Consider the map $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$. It's a (complex) differentiable map sending 0 to 1, with tangent map at 0 being $\text{id}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Hence a neigh'd of 1 in G lies in $\text{im}(\exp)$. So the subgroup in G generated by $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$ is the connected component G° of 1 in the usual topology.

Fact: A variety over \mathbb{C} is connected in the usual topology iff it's connected in the Zariski topology (Hartshorne, Appendix B).

In particular, $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$ generates G .

Now let $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ be a complex Lie group homomorphism. One can show that $\varphi(\xi)_{\varphi(g)} = (T_g \varphi)(\xi_g)$, $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows that φ sends the integral curves for ξ to integral curves for $\varphi(\xi)$. So,

$$\varphi(\exp \xi) = \exp \varphi(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1 follows. To prove Thm 2 we write g_v, ξ_v for the operators on V corresponding to $g \in G, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then (3) applied to the homomorphism $g \mapsto g_v: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ implies $(\exp(\xi))_v = \exp(\xi_v)$.

Same for W . Theorem 2 follows from here.

2) Universal enveloping algebra.

2.1) Definition.

The universal enveloping algebra for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} plays the same role for Lie algebras as the group algebra for groups.

Definition: Define $U(\mathfrak{g}) := \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g})}$, where $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is the tensor algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

The composition $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow T(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Here is the universal property of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ (and this homomorphism).

Lemma: Let A be an associative algebra (hence a Lie algebra, Ex 1 in Sec 1.1) and let $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then there is a unique associative algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow A$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \\ U(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & A \end{array}$$

Proof: Since φ is an \mathbb{F} -linear map, $\exists!$ assoc. algebra homomorphism $\hat{\varphi}: T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow A$ s.t. $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow T(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} A$ coincides w. φ . The condition that φ is a Lie algebra homomorphism means that $\hat{\varphi}(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y]) = [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] - \varphi([x, y]) = 0$ so $\hat{\varphi}$ (uniquely) factors through the quotient $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $T(\mathfrak{g})$. This gives the required $\tilde{\varphi}$. \square

In particular, as for the groups vs group algebras, a representation of \mathfrak{g} is the same thing as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Example: if \mathfrak{g} is abelian, then $U(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x)} = S(\mathfrak{g}) (\cong \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{g}^*])$, the symmetric algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

2.2) Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) theorem.

Our goal is to establish a basis in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Assume for simplicity that $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a basis in \mathfrak{g} . We can view any non-commutative polynomial in these elements as an element of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Thm: The ordered monomials $x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n}$ form a basis in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

An easy part is that these elements span. A more precise claim is true. For $d \geq 0$, let $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq d}$ denote the span of all monomials in x_1, \dots, x_n of degree $\leq d$.

Lemma: The ordered monomials $x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n}$ w. $d_1 + \dots + d_n \leq d$ span $U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq d}$.

Proof: exercise - induction on d + observation that for $i < j$ have $x_j \cdot x_i = x_i \cdot x_j + [x_j, x_i]$, the 2nd summand is a linear combination of x_i 's.

The linear independence is more subtle, see [B], Ch. I, Sec 2.7 or [H1], Sec 17.4. The idea is to construct a representation of \mathfrak{g} w. basis x_1, \dots, x_n and the action given by left multiplication (where one needs to write the product $x_j x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \dots x_n^{d_n}$ as the linear combination of ordered monomials using $x_j x_i = x_i x_j + [x_j, x_i]$ w. $j > i$). The existence of such representation is automatic once we know the theorem - this is just $U(\mathfrak{g})$ - but the point is it can be verified independly, although the check is unpleasant.

Complements

1) Checking connectedness and fundamental group.

Example in Section 1.3 mentions that the groups $SL_n(\mathbb{F})$, $Sp_n(\mathbb{F})$, $SO_n(\mathbb{F})$ are connected. In this part we explain how to check this. This is done using the following observation:

Let V be a representation of G . Suppose $v \in V$ is such that the orbit Gv and the stabilizer G_v are irreducible. Then G is irreducible (equiv. connected).

This can be applied as follows. Consider the case of SL_n . Take the tautological representation \mathbb{F}^n and take $v = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. The stabilizer G_v is of the form $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & b & & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{F}^\times, \det(A) = 1, b \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1} \right\}$

$\cong \mathbb{F}^{n-1} \times SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{F})$, an irreducible variety, by induction. The orbit Gv is $\mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}$, also irreducible.

For $G = Sp_n$ we also consider its tautological representation and any nonzero vector. For $G = SO_n$, we consider the tautological representation and any vector w nonzero square. The details are left as an *exercise*.

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, a somewhat similar argument can be used to compute the fundamental group of G . Namely for any complex Lie subgroup H we have an exact sequence (see [QV], Ch 1, Sec 3.4)

$$\mathcal{P}_1(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_1(G) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G/H) \longrightarrow \pi_0(H) \quad (*)$$

w. $\pi_0(H)$: = the group of connected components of H . This exact sequence

w. $H = G_v$ allows to prove $\mathcal{P}_1(SL_n(\mathbb{C})) = \{1\} \forall n > 1$: $G/G_v = Gv$

$= \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. For $n > 1$, this space is homotopic to S^{2n-1} hence is simply connected. So $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is simply connected.

For similar reasons, $Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$ is simply connected. On the other hand, $\mathcal{O}_n(SO_n(\mathbb{C})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 3$. This is proved by induction, where the induction step is $(*)$, while the base, $n=3$, is handled using an isomorphism $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/\{\pm I\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} SO_3(\mathbb{C})$ (proved using the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ in its adjoint representation).

2) Existence results (for Lie/algebraic groups & homomorphisms)

2.1) Lie groups.

Here we consider the real Lie groups. The results easily carry over to complex Lie groups. Here's the main result

Thm: 1) Every finite dimensional Lie algebra is the Lie algebra of a real Lie group.

2) This Lie group can be chosen to be simply connected.

3) Let G, H be connected Lie groups, $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$ their Lie algebras & $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. If G is simply connected, then \exists a Lie group homomorphism $\mathcal{Q}: G \rightarrow H$ w. $T_1 \mathcal{Q} = \varphi$.

3) is a technical statement proved for example in [OV], Ch.1, Sec 2.8, or [K], Sec 3.8. To prove 2) one observes that the simply connected cover \tilde{G} of a Lie group G has a natural Lie group structure (and, moreover, $G \simeq \tilde{G}/Z$, where Z is a discrete central subgroup), see, e.g. [OV], Ch.1, Sec 3.2.

1) is the most complicated: one

- either uses the Ado theorem that every finite dimensional Lie algebra is isomorphic to a subalgebra in some $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$.
- or establishes the existence for semidirect products of Lie algebras and for semisimple Lie algebras, then uses the Levi theorem that every finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} is isomorphic to the semidirect product of a semisimple & a solvable Lie algebra (and every solvable Lie algebra is realized as an iterated semidirect product of one-dimensional Lie algebras. This is the approach taken in [OV].

2.2) Algebraic groups over \mathbb{C} .

The situation with algebraic groups over \mathbb{C} is more complicated. Details for this section can be found in [OV], Ch 3, Sec 3.

First, not every Lie algebra can be the Lie algebra of an algebraic group. Here are basic examples.

take the subalgebra $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}a & 0 & b \\ 0 & a & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C})$.

or the subalgebra $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & a & b \\ 0 & a & c \\ a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C})$.

Neither of these can be the Lie algebra of an algebraic group.

Next, part 3 of Thm in Sec 2.1 above also fails.

Namely consider the 1-dimensional Lie algebra \mathbb{C} . It

corresponds to two algebraic groups: the additive group, G_a , and the multiplicative group, G_m . The former is simply connected, and there's a surjective Lie group homomorphism $G_a \rightarrow G_m: z \mapsto \exp(z)$. It's not algebraic and, in fact, there are no non-constant variety morphisms $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ (exercise). The algebraic groups G_a & G_m behave very differently.

The situation is better for semisimple Lie algebras / algebraic groups (over \mathbb{C} or, more generally, over \mathbb{F} w. $\text{char}(\mathbb{F})=0$). And over positive characteristic fields, the relationship is yet more complicated. We'll address this in subsequent notes.