Representation theory of algebraic groups & Lie algebras, TV. 0) Introduction. 1) Representations of SL2(F) & SL2(F), char F=0. 2) Complement.

0) Let IF be an alg. closed field, G be a connected algebraic group of F and of be the lie algebra of G. Recall, Sec 1.3 in Lec 5, that G is called simple if it has no proper infinite normal algebraic subgroups and it's noncommutative (the latter condition is similar to the exclusion of R/p/2 in the case of finite groups). Similarly, of is called simple if it has no proper ideals (= subspace b coj w. [og, b]cb) & of 15 not abelian. A connection between these notions is as follows. If HCG is an algebraic subgroup, then its Lie algebra b is a subalgebra in of. If HCG is normal, then b is Ad(G)-stable, hence ad(g)-stable (=> ideal. So if of is simple, then G is simple. The converse is true in characteristic O (see the complement section) but may fail in characteristic p70. Exercise: 1) Check $S_{2}(F)$ is simple iff char $F \neq 2$ 2*) Check SL_(F) is always simple. The algebraic group SL(F) and its Lie algebra SL(F) are the simplest simple algebraic group and Lie algebra (e.g. they have the smallest possible dimension. We will study 1) The representation theory of Sh(IF) and Sh(IF) when char IF=0 (the latter is essentially a part of the former)

2) The representation theory of SL(F) for char F>2. 3) The representation theory of SL (F) for char F72 (the case of char F=2 is essentially the same). These cases already illustrate the essential features of the representation theory of (semi) simple algebraic groups and their Lie algebras (but have none of the complexity of the general case) 183 are also used to understand the general case.

1) Kepresentations of SL2(F) & SL2(F), char F=0. 1.1) Universal enveloping algebra. For now we place no restrictions on F. Let of = SS(F). It has basis $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the breakets of the basis elements are as follows: (1) [h,e] = 2e, [h,f] = -2f, [e,f] = h.It follows that U(q) = IF < e, h, f > / ([h,e]=2e, [h,f]=-2f, [e,f]=h) The PBW theorem (Sec 2.2 in Lec 7) implies that the monomials fhem (K,C,m20) form a basis in Uloy).

Lemma: In Uloj) we have the following identities: $P(h)e = eP(h+2), \# P \in F[x]$ (1)(2) (3)

We will need two special cases of (3):

 $m=1 \rightarrow ef^n = fe^n + nf^{n-1}(h+1-n)$ (3') Note that if char F=0, (3) implies $\frac{e^n f^n}{n! n!} = \frac{e}{e} + \binom{h}{n!} \left(= \frac{h(h-1)\dots(h-n+1)}{n!} \right)$ (3")

Proof of Lemme: (1): [h,e]=2e (=> he=e(h+2). Induction on K shows (1) for P=xk, the general case follows. (2) is similar. $(3'): ef^{n} = [e,f]f^{n-1} + fef^{n-1} = hf^{n-1} + fhf^{n-2} + f^{n-1}h + fe = [hf = fh - 2f]$ $= f e^{n} + (h + 2(1 - n) + h + 2(2 - n) + ... + h) f^{n-1} = f e^{n} + n f^{n-1}(h + 1 - n).$ The M&N case of (3) follows by induction on m. To handle (3) w. MZN one can then use the automorphism e → f, f +> e, $h \mapsto -h$. The details are left as an exercise. П

1.2) The main result. Consider the representation of SL, (F) in homogeneous degree n $polynomials, M(n) := Span_{F}(x^{n}, x^{n-1}y, ..., y^{n}), g.f(x, y) := f((x, y)g),$ where we view (x, y) as a row vector. It's rational. The tengent map of this representation is given by $E \mapsto X \partial_{y}, f \mapsto y \partial_{x}, h \mapsto X \partial_{x} - y \partial_{y}$ $E \cdot g \cdot e(x^{n-i}y^{i}) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} \right]_{t=0} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x^{n-i}y^{i} = \left[(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) + (x, y+tx) \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) + (x, y+tx) + (x, y+tx) \end{pmatrix} + (x, y+tx) + (x, y+$ (4) $\chi^{n-i}(y+tx)^{i} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\chi^{n-i}(y+tx)^{i} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = i \chi^{n+1-i} y^{i-1} = [\chi \partial_{y}] \left(\chi^{n-i} y^{i} \right).$ Thm: Suppose char F=0. Then for Vational representations

of SL2(F)/finite dimensional representations of SL2(F), the following claims hold.

1) n +> M(n) gives a bijection between The and isom. classes of irreps. 2) All representations are complitely reducible.

We will treat the case of Sh and deduce the Sh case from there. We will prove (1) in this lecture & (2) in the next.

1.3) Weight decomposition. At this point IF is still arbitrary. Set of= Sh(IF). Let V be a finite dimensional representation of of & ZEF.

Definition: The I-weight space in V is the generalized eigenspace for h in V with eigenvalue &: V:= {veV] = m>0 (h-2) v=0} We say: I is a weight of V if V2 = 203, a weight vector refers to an element of V_{λ} for some λ , Note that, for general reasons, $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in F} V_{\lambda}$.

Example: Consider V= M(n). Then we have $h(x^{n-1}y') = [x\partial_x - y\partial_y](x^{n-1}y')$ = (n-2i) xⁿ⁻ⁱy! It follows that the weights are n, n-1,...,-n, we have $M(n)_{\lambda} = F \times \frac{n-i}{2}$ for $\lambda = n - 2i$ (assuming char F = 0).

Lemma: eV2 = V2+2, fly < V2+2. Proof: Let VEV. Need to prove I m70 s.t. (h-2-2) ev=[(1) in Sec 1.1] = $e(h-\lambda)^m v = 0$ for $m \gg 0 \Rightarrow eV = V_{\lambda+2}$; $fV = V_{\lambda+2}$ follows from (2) there.

1.4) Highest weight. Until the end of the lecture, assume char F=0. Define a partial order on IF by ZEZ' if Z'ZE Zzo (this gives an order precisely because char F = 0, which implies $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow F$).

Definition: a weight of V maximal w.r.t. this order is called a highest weight. Note that since dim V<00, the set of weights of V is finite, so there is a highest weight.

Example: By the previous example, n is the unique highest weight of M(h).

Proposition: Let I be a highest weight of V & veV2. Then (1) ev = 0(2) $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}$ & $hv = \lambda v$ (i.e V_1 is an honest eigenspace for h).

Proof: By Lemma in Sec 1.3, $ev \in V_{\lambda+2} = \{0\}\ b/c\ \lambda\ is\ highest.\ This$ proves (1). To prove (2) observe that there is not s.t. $\lambda - 2n$ is not a weight of V - b/c the set of weights is finite. So $f^n v \in V_{\lambda-2n}$ = $\{0\}$. Consider the vector $\frac{e^n f^n}{n!\ n!\ n!}v=0$. By (3") in Sec 1.1, the l.h.s. equals $?ev + {n \choose v}v=0$. Since ev=0, we get ${n \choose v}v=0$ $\iff h(h-1)...(h-n+1)v=0$. Since $v \in V_{\lambda}$ one of the factors kills v, while others must be invertible. Claim (2) follows. \Box

1.5) Verme modules. Proposition implies that in every V there is a nontero element v s.t ev=o, hv=nv. We want a "universal" module w such a vector.

Definition: Let $\lambda \in F$. By the Verma module $\Delta(\lambda)$ we mean the quotient $\Delta(\lambda) = U(q)/I_{\lambda}$, where $I_{\lambda} := U(q)(e,h-\lambda)$.

The following proposition describes some properties of $\Delta(\lambda)$.

Proposition: 1) Universal property: $Hom_{\mathcal{U}(q)}(\Delta(\lambda), V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{v \in V \mid ev = 0, hv = \lambda v \Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda} v = 0 \}$ 2) Basis: if $y_{1} = 1 + I_{1} \in \Delta(\lambda)$, then the vectors $f'y_{1}$, i zo, form a basis in $\Delta(\lambda)$ 3) Submodules: $\Delta(\lambda)$ is simple if $\lambda \notin \mathcal{T}_{20}$ and has a unique proper submodule, Span(f^vx | i> l+1) else. Proof 1) - the isomorphism is given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(v_{\lambda})$. 2) By the PBW theorem, the elements of the m form a basis in Ulog). It follows that the elements f (h-2) em also form a basis. The left ideal I, is spanned by these elements w. Cro or Mro. So the elements $f + I_1 = f V_1$ form a basis in $Uloy 1/I_1$. This shows (2). 3) Note that the elements f' form an eigen-basis for h w. pairwise distinct e-values, those are 2-2i. So any submodule NGA() is the span of some of these vectors (a Vandermonde determinant argument, exercise). Also if f'y EN, then f''y EN. So 6

N= Span(f'v, ink) for some k if N = {03. If N = 3(1), then k70 & ef V = 0. By (3') in Sec. 1.1, $ef^{k}V_{1} = f^{k}V_{1} + Kf^{k-1}(h - (k-1))V_{1} = O + K(\lambda - (k-1))f^{k-1}V_{1}$ The left hand side is in N, while the right hand side only lies in N iff it's O, i.e. $\lambda = K-1$. In particular, for $\lambda \neq K-1$, there is no proper submodule, while for $\lambda = K-1$, Span (f'x lin $\lambda+1$) is the unique proper submodule. 3) is proved

1.6) Completion of the classification of irreducibles. Lie algebra case: For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}$, set $L(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)/Span(f'x|i>\lambda)$. By (3) of Propin in Sec 1.5, this is the unique finite dimensional guotient of $\Delta(\lambda)$, and it's irreducible (b/c a proper submodule in $\Delta(\lambda)$ is unique). The moduly $L(\lambda)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic 6/c dim $L(\lambda) = \lambda + 1$.

Now we show that every inveducible S_{2}^{i} -report V is isomorphic to one of $L(\lambda)$. By Prop. in Sec 1.4 $\exists v \in V$ s.t. ev = 0, $hv = \lambda v$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}$. By (1) of Prop. in Sec 1.5, we get a homomorphism $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow V$. w. $V_{1} \mapsto V$. It's nonzero so, since V is inveducible, surjective. But $L(\lambda)$ is the unique finite dimit quotient of $\Delta(\lambda)$, hence $V \simeq L(\lambda)$. It remains to establish an isomorphism $M(\lambda) \simeq L(\lambda)$. This is an exercise: can establish it explicitly (via $f_{V_{1}} \mapsto i! x^{n-i}y^{i}$ for i=0,...,n) or check $M(\lambda)$ is irreducible. & use the previous paragraph.

Algebraic group case: Note that every irreducible representation of 7]

Sh is M(n), so comes from a rational representation of Sh. If V is a representation of SL, w. M(n) XV + n, then it's reducible over She Hence there is an Sh-linear embedding M(n) ~ V for some n. By Thm 2 in Sec 1.3 of Lec 6, this embedding is also SL-linear, a contradiction.

Complement: algebraic subalgebras. The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem. Thm: Suppose char F=0 & G is a simple algebraic group. Then the Lie algebre of is simple. We note that one uniquely recovers a connected algebraic subgroup HCG from T, H (it char F=0). For F=C, this the subgroup of G generated by exp(5). If 5 is an ideal, then H is normal (exercise). The main issue: a Lie subalgebra in of may fail to be the tangent space of an algebraic group. However, every (even infinite) intersection of algebraic subgroups of G is algebraic. It follows that for any subalgebra 's con there's the unique minimal Lie algebra of an algebraic group containing b. It is called the algebraic closure of b, we denote it by G. See [OV], Sec 3.3 in Ch. 3 - the proofs mirror those of Sec 4.2 in Ch. 1. In particular, by Exer 6 in Sec 4 of Ch. 1 in LOV], if 5 coj is an ideal, then (*) [o7, 5] = [o7, 5].

Another fact from [OV] that we need is: 8

(**) The derived subgroup (G,G) < G is a connected algebraic subgroup, Theorem 5 in [OV], Sec 3.4 in Ch. 3. Note that the Lie subalgebra corresponding to (G,G) is [o], o].

Proof of Thm: Suppose of is not simple, let 5 be a nonzero ideal. Then b is an ideal as well by (*). Since G is simple, this ideal coincides with of. But (G,G) is a normal subgroup and, since we have excluded the commutative groups, we have (G,G)=G. So [o], 5]=[o], o] = 07. So b> [o], b] = [o], o] = o]. Contradiction: of is simple

9