Lecture 10: Characters, pt 3

1) Discussion of the theorem 2) Example 3*) More orthogonality!

1) Discussion of the theorem Last time we have proved the following theorem

Theorem: Let F be algebraically closed field of char 0 & G be a finite group. Then the characters of irreducible representations of G form an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{CL}(G)(=\{f \in Fun(X, \mathbb{F}) \mid f(ghg^{-1}) = f(h), \forall g, h \in G\}\}$ w.r.t. the form $(f_{1}, f_{2}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_{1}(g) f_{2}(g^{-1}).$

The proof was based on two claims, the latter of independent interest.

<u>Claim 1:</u> The characters of irreducibles span Cl(G).

Claim 2: For representations UV of G we have (in IF) dim Hom_c $(U, V) = (X_V, X_U)$.

In this section we will discuss how the theorem fails if F is not algebraically closed or has positive characteristic. We will also discuss a closely related result over C.

1.1) Non-closed field Suppose IF is not algebraically closed of char O. The representations are completely reducible. From here with some work (including "base change to the algebraic closure") we can deduce Claim 2. Claim 1, however, may fail. For example, consider G = 11/37%. In the setting, where Thm is true, there are 3 representations. The formula $|\zeta| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\dim U_i)^2 / \dim End_A(U_i)$ ((2) from Sec 2.1 in Lec 7, where U, ..., U, are all irreducible representations of G) tells us then that $d_i = 1$

for 1=1,2,3. As discussed in Sec 1.1 of Lec 5, the 1-dimensional representations of 72/m72 (m=3) are in bijection w. cube roots of 1. And for F=IR there's just one. So Claim 1 is false.

Exercise: 72/372 has two irreducible representations over R.

1.2) Positive characteristic fields. Let char F=p. Claim 1 may fail. If p/161, then the number of irreducible representations is always less than the number of conjugacy classes. For example, if C is a p-group there's just one irreducible representation (Problem 3 in HW2) Claim 1 is still true when char F / [G]. And Claim 2 doesn't even make sense unless char F+161 (b/c (;·) is undefined).

1.3) Field (

For F=C, one can consider a Hermitian scalar product 3

on CL(G) instead of a bilinear form: $\langle f_{1}, f_{2} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_{1}(g) \overline{f_{2}(g)}$

Thanks to the following lemma, we can use <; .7 instead of (.,.) in the theorem.

Lemma: We have $X_{V}(g) = X_{V}(g^{-1})$ for any G-representation V. Proof: Let $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$ be eigenvalues of g_V (w. multiplicities) so that $X_{V}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}, \quad \overline{X_{V}(g)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\lambda}_{i}, \quad \overline{X_{V}(g^{-1})} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{-1}$ Note that $q^m = e \Rightarrow q_v^m = Id_v$ for some m. In particular, $\lambda_{i}^{m} = 1 \implies \overline{\lambda}_{i} = \lambda_{i}^{-1} \implies \overline{\lambda}_{V}(g) = \lambda_{V}(g^{-1})$

2) Examples. We record the following easy corollary of the theorem:

Corollary: Under the assumptions of the theorem, the number of irreducible representations of C (up to isomorphism)

is the number of conjugacy classes in G.

Rem: This is the coincidence of numbers. There's no natural bijections between the sets. For example, consider G = 72/m72. If F is algebraically closed & of char O, then we get a bijection between the irreducible representations of G and the mth roots of 1. But to identify {z|z"=13 w. 7/m7/ one needs to <u>choose</u> a primitive root of 1. And there's no <u>cano-</u> nical choice.

2.1) Binary dihedral groups Here we revisit the binary dihedral group from Problem 2 in HW1. We'll classify its irreducible representations using the general theory and compute the characters. Recall that we are dealing with the group $\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right| \varepsilon^{2n} = 1 \right\} \leq \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{L}),$

Let's compute the number of conjugacy classes. We have

the normal commutative subgroup of index 2: $H = \begin{cases} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{cases}$ So the conjugacy classes are of two kinds: • contained in H, those are $\{(\lambda^{0}, \lambda^{-1}), s(\lambda^{0}, \lambda^{-1}), s^{-1}, s(\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1})\}$ where $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So we have N-1 classes we two elements $(\lambda \neq \pm 1)$, and two classes we one element each: $\lambda = \pm 1$. · not contained in H. An exercise is to show that there are two: $\{\binom{0}{-\lambda^{-1}}, \binom{0}{\lambda^{n}}, \binom{0}{-\lambda^{-1}}, \binom{0}{\lambda^{n}}, \binom{0}{-\lambda^{-1}}, \binom{0}{\lambda^{n}}, \binom{0}{-\lambda^{-1}}, \binom{0}{\lambda^{n}}, \binom{0}{$ The total number of conjugacy classes is (n+1)+2=n+3 The next step is to classify the 1-dimensional representations. For this we need to compute G/(G,G), as the 1dimensional representations of this group are in bijection w. those for G (Sec 1.1 of Lec 5). For our purposes, it will be enough to compute 16/(G,G). Note that $S\begin{pmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & \lambda^{-1}\end{pmatrix}S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$ $S_{0} \begin{cases} \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \end{array}\right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c}$ One can show that we get an equality. Alternatively, let Up,..., Unto be the irreducible representations. Then we know that



Since (G/(G,G)) = 4, we have at most 4 representations of dim=1. And then we have = N-1 representations of dim=2. Using (*), we see that we have exactly 4 1-dimensional rep's and n-1 irreducible representations of dimension 2. This is what we've got in Prob 2 of HW1 with Linear algebra. We now explain how to compute the characters of 2dimensional irreducible representations. For this we need a more detailed information about 2-dimensional irreducible representations from Problem 2. Recall that each such representation has basis v, sv where the operators s and t act by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{\prime \prime} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-\prime} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\lambda^{2m} = 1$ & $\lambda \neq \{\pm 1\}$. From here we see that $X_{\nu}(t^{i}) = \lambda^{i} + \lambda^{-i} \quad X_{\nu}(st^{i}) = 0 \quad \forall i = 0,.., 2n-1.$

4

Side Remark: The quotient 6 by {±I3={1,t"3 a central subgroup is identified we the usual dihedral group: the symmetries of the regular n-gon. n = 4

These symmetries are of the following form: · Rotations around the center by 2915, K=Q... n-1. · Reflections about lines passing through opposite vertices & midpoints of opposite sides (n is even) or through a vertex & midpoint of the opposite side (n is odd). Under an identification of G/{1,t" 3 w. the dihedral group t goes to the votation by 25th for any K coprime to n & s goes to any of the reflections (exercise) - so there are a lot of Choices.

3) More orthogonality! There are other orthogonality results. For simplicity, assume F=C. The following is Theorem 4.5.4 in [E]

For $g \in G$, let $Z_G(g)$:= $ih \in G \mid hg = gh 3$, the "centralizer."

Proposition 1: Let U, ... U, be the complete list of irreducible representations of G (up to isomorphism, so that K = # conjugacy classes in G). Then, for g,hel. $\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{V}(g) \overline{X_{V}(h)} = \begin{cases} |Z_{G}(g)|, & \text{if } g \& h \text{ are } conjugate \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$

This is a consequence of our main orthogonality theorem, see Remark 4.5.5 in [E].

Another orthogonality result concerns the matrix coefficients of irreducible representations. Note that the Hermitian scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)}$, makes sense of Fun (G, C). On the other hand, by Sec 1.3 in Lec 6, every (finite dimensional) representation of G admits a G-invariant Hermitian scalar product. Let <:,.74, denote such a product on U, l=1,... K, one of the irreducible representations. Pick an

Then we have functions (matrix coefficients) $f_{\ell}^{(j)}(q) := \langle q v_i, v_j v_i; \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \ell = 1, ..., k, i, j = 1, ..., d_{\ell}$

Proposition 2: We have < for, for >= Sii, Sii, See, Idim Ue Moreover, the elements f_{ρ}^{ij} form a basis in Fun (G, C).

For a proof, see Proposition 4.7.1 in [E].