

## Lecture 11, Characters 4.

- 1) Applications of orthonormality.
- 2) Representations of direct products.
- 3) What's next: values of characters & applications.
- 4) Bonus: Grothendieck ring.

Ref: [E], Secs 4.9, 5.6, 5.7.

### 1) Applications of orthonormality.

We continue to explore the applications of the theorem on the orthogonality of characters from Lec 9. Recall that the theorem says:

Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 &  $G$  a finite group. Then the characters of irreducible representations of  $G$  form an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  w.r.t. to the form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ :

$$(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) f_2(g^{-1})$$

Application 1: if  $U, V$  are finite dimensional representations of  $G$ . TFAE:

(a)  $U \cong V$  (isomorphic)

(b)  $\chi_U = \chi_V$ .

Proof:

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): was proved in Sec 2 of Lec 8.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): By Maschke's thm,  $U$  &  $V$  are completely reducible. So if  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be all irreducible representations, then  $U \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i^{\oplus m_i}$ ,  $V \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i^{\oplus n_i}$  for some  $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Recall that for two representations  $U, V$  we have

$$\chi_{U \oplus V} = \chi_U + \chi_V,$$

Lemma in Sec 2.2 of Lec 8. So  $\chi_U = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \chi_{U_i}$  &  $\chi_V = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \chi_{U_i}$ . By the theorem,  $\chi_{U_1}, \dots, \chi_{U_k}$  are linearly independent. So  $\chi_U = \chi_V \Leftrightarrow m_i = n_i \forall i \Leftrightarrow U \cong V$ .  $\square$

Remark: We've used linear independence & Maschke's Thm not orthogonality. Note also that Application 1 implies Fact from Sec 2 of Lec 8.

**Application 2:** In the above notation, the multiplicity  $n_i$  of  $U_i$  in  $V$  is  $(X_{U_i}, X_V)$ :

$$(X_{U_i}, X_V) = (X_{U_i}, \sum_{j=1}^k n_j X_{U_j}) = [(X_{U_i}, X_{U_j}) = \delta_{ij}] = n_i.$$

This also yields another proof of Application 1.

**Example 1:** Let  $V = \mathbb{F}G$  so that, Sec 2.1 of Lec 8, we have  $X_{\mathbb{F}G} = |G| \delta_e$ . Then  $(X_{U_i}, X_{\mathbb{F}G}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} X_{U_i}(g) |G| \delta_e(g) = X_{U_i}(e) = \text{tr}(\text{Id}_{U_i}) = \dim U_i$ . We recover (3) from Sec 2.1 of Lec 7.

**Example 2:** We can apply  $n_i = (X_{U_i}, X_V)$  to compute the decompositions of tensor products into irreducibles. Recall, Sec 1.5 of Lec 9, that  $X_{U_j \otimes U_k} = X_{U_j} X_{U_k}$  (see also Addendum to Lec 9 for a different proof)  $\Rightarrow$  multiplicity of  $U_i$  in  $U_j \otimes U_k$  is  $(X_{U_i}, X_{U_j} X_{U_k})$ .

For example, take  $G = S_4$ ,  $U_j = U_k = \mathbb{F}_0^4$ . Recall the character table of  $S_4$  from Sec 2.2 of Lec 8. We also add one more row, for  $\mathbb{F}_0^4 \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4$ , & the number of elements

in conjugacy classes.

	# = 1 1+1+1+1	# = 6 2+1+1	# = 3 2+2	# = 8 3+1	# = 6 4
triv	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{F}_0^4$	3	1	-1	0	-1
$V_2$	2	0	2	-1	0
$\text{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4$	3	-1	-1	0	1
sgn	1	-1	1	1	-1
$\mathbb{F}_0^4 \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4$	9	1	1	0	1

Note that  $g, g^{-1}$  have the same cycle type hence conjugate for all  $g \in S_4$ , so  $(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) f_2(g)$ .

For example, the multiplicity of  $\mathbb{F}_0^4$  in  $\mathbb{F}_0^4 \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\mathbb{F}_0^4}(g) \chi_{\mathbb{F}_0^4}^2(g) &= [\chi := \chi_{\mathbb{F}_0^4}] = \frac{1}{24} (\chi(1)^3 \cdot 1 + \chi((1,2))^3 \cdot 6 + \\ &\chi((1,2)(3,4))^3 \cdot 3 + \chi((1,2,3))^3 \cdot 8 + \chi((1,2,3,4))^3 \cdot 6) = \\ &= \frac{1}{24} (27 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 6 + (-1) \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 8 + (-1) \cdot 6) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise:** Prove that  $\mathbb{F}_0^4 \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4 = \mathbb{F}_0^4 \oplus \text{triv} \oplus V_2 \oplus \text{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4$ .

4

Application 3: Detecting characters of irreducibles - will become important later.

Let  $f \in \text{Cl}(G)$  be of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \chi_{U_i}$ , where  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  are different irreducibles of  $G$  &  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . TFAE.

(a)  $f$  is a character of an irreducible.

(b)  $(f, f) = 1$  &  $f(e) > 0$ .

Proof: We prove (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), leaving (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) as an exercise.

Since  $\chi_{U_i}$ 's are orthonormal, we have  $(f, f) = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2$ . From  $(f, f) = 1$ , we deduce that  $f = \pm \chi_{U_i}$  for some  $i$ . And  $\chi_{U_i}(e) = \dim U_i$  is positive. This implies (a).  $\square$

Remark: Let's comment on an ideological point. The study of characters reduces questions about representations (group homomorphisms, that can be hard) to questions about characters (functions, that can be easier).

## 2) Representations of direct products.

Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field w.  $\text{char } F = 0$ .

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be finite groups. We want to relate the irreducible representations of  $G_1 \times G_2$  to those of  $G_1, G_2$ .

Let  $V_i$  be a representation of  $G_i, i=1,2$ . We view  $V_i$  as a representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  via pullback under the projection  $G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_i$ , explicitly  $(g_1, g_2)v_i := g_i v_i$ . And then  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  is a representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  (as a tensor product) with  $(g_1, g_2) \cdot v_1 \otimes v_2 = g_1 v_1 \otimes g_2 v_2$ .

**Theorem:** the irreducible representations of  $G_1 \times G_2$  are exactly of the form  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ , where  $V_i$  is an irreducible of  $G_i, i=1,2$ .

Proof:

Step 1: Check that  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  is irreducible. We'll do this by computing the character and using Application 3. We'll also see that if  $V_1', V_2'$  are irreducibles, then  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \simeq V_1' \otimes V_2' \Rightarrow V_i \simeq V_i', i=1,2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(g_1, g_2) &= \chi_{V_1}(g_1, g_2) \chi_{V_2}(g_1, g_2) = [(g_1, g_2) \text{ acts on } V_i \text{ via } g_i] \\ &= \chi_{V_1}(g_1) \chi_{V_2}(g_2). \text{ So} \end{aligned}$$

$$\chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(\chi_{V_1' \otimes V_2'}) = \frac{1}{|G_1| |G_2|} \sum_{g_1 \in G_1} \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} \chi_{V_1}(g_1) \chi_{V_2}(g_2) \chi_{V_1'}(g_1^{-1}) \chi_{V_2'}(g_2^{-1})$$

6

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|G_1|} \sum_{g_1 \in G_1} \chi_{V_1}(g_1) \chi_{V_1'}(g_1^{-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{|G_2|} \sum_{g_2 \in G_2} \chi_{V_2}(g_2) \chi_{V_2'}(g_2^{-1}) = (\chi_{V_1}, \chi_{V_1'}) (\chi_{V_2}, \chi_{V_2'}) \\
&= \begin{cases} 1, & V_1 \simeq V_1' \text{ \& } V_2 \simeq V_2' \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

In the first case we conclude that  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  is irreducible using Application 3. By the 2nd case, if  $(V_1, V_2)$  is different from  $(V_1', V_2')$ , then  $(\chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}, \chi_{V_1' \otimes V_2'}) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \simeq V_1' \otimes V_2' \Rightarrow \chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2} = \chi_{V_1' \otimes V_2'}$ , so, by case 1,  $(\chi_{V_1 \otimes V_2}, \chi_{V_1' \otimes V_2'}) = 1$ . This contradiction shows that  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \simeq V_1' \otimes V_2' \Rightarrow V_1 \simeq V_1', V_2 \simeq V_2'$ .

Step 2: We show that there are no other irreducibles.

Let  $k_i$  be the number of conjugacy classes in  $G_i$ . Then the number of conjugacy classes in  $G_1 \times G_2$  is  $k_1 k_2$  (exercise).

We have  $k_i$  pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $G_i$ . Step 1 yields  $k_1 k_2$  pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $G_1 \times G_2$ . Since the number of irreducibles is the number of conjugacy classes, there are indeed no other irreducibles □

7]

### 3) What's next: values of characters & applications.

Here we assume that  $F = \mathbb{C}$ .

Characters of irreducibles are functions on  $G$ . One can ask what their possible values are. We will state some results now & prove them later.

Here's an easy consideration. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $G$ . In the proof of Lemma in Sec 1.3 of Lec 10 we have pointed out that  $\forall g \in G$ , the eigenvalues of  $\rho_V(g)$  are roots of unity. One can show that this implies that  $\chi_V(g)$ , their sum, is an "algebraic integer" - we will give a definition in the next lecture.

Here's a more interesting result in the same spirit. Let  $U$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ , and  $g \in G$ . Let  $C$  be the conjugacy class of  $g$  (in  $G$ ).

**Proposition:** The number  $\frac{|C| \chi_U(g)}{\dim U}$  is an algebraic integer.

These considerations have a number of important consequences



that we are going to cover. The first is the Frobenius divisibility theorem:

**Theorem 1:** Let  $U$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then  $\dim U$  divides  $|G|$ .

The 2nd application is the Burnside theorem, see Sec 4 of Lec 1.

**Theorem 2:** Let  $p, q$  be primes, and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . A group of order  $p^a q^b$  cannot be simple.

#### 4) Bonus: Grothendieck ring (prereq MATH 380)

Recall, Sec 1.4 of Lec 4, that vector spaces (and hence group representations) behave like elements of a commutative ring w.r.t. the operations of  $\oplus$  &  $\otimes$ . In this section we formalize this.

Let  $F$  be a field &  $G$  be a group. Let  $\text{Rep } G$  denote the category of fin. dimensional representations of  $G$ . We define the abelian group  $K_0(\text{Rep } G)$  (the Grothendieck group) as

- the quotient of the free group generated by symbols  $[U]$ , one for each representation  $U$  up to isomorphism,
- modulo the relations  $[U] + [W] = [V]$  for short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ .

**Exercise 1:** Let  $G$  be a finite group. Assume that the number of finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $G$  is finite (which we know when  $\text{char } F \nmid |G|$  and which is true in general). Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be these representations. Then

$K_0(\text{Rep } G)$  is a free abelian group w. basis  $[U_i], i=1, \dots, k$ .

**Exercise 2:** Show that there is a unique commutative associative ring structure on  $K_0(\text{Rep } G)$  s.t.  $[U][V] = [U \otimes V]$ . Moreover,  $[\text{triv}]$  is the unit.

**Exercise 3:** Show that  $[V] \rightarrow \chi_V$  is a well-defined ring homomorphism  $K_0(\text{Rep } G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)$  that is viewed as a ring w.r.t. addition and multiplication of functions.

Moreover, if  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed of characteristic 0, then this homomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(\text{Rep } G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(G).$$

Remarks: 1) The class  $[V]$  of  $V$  in  $K_0(\text{Rep } G)$  can be viewed as the "universal character" - it incorporates all information about a representation that is insensitive to different extensions of the same two representations.

If  $K_0(\text{Rep } G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)$  is injective, it means that  $\chi_V$  captures all information about  $V$  that is insensitive to extensions.

2) Classification of algebraic structures by means of elements of another algebraic structure is a common theme in Algebra. Class groups (of Dedekind domains) is one example. We'll see another example: the Brauer group of a field later in our study of central simple algebras.