Lecture 19: Finite dimensional associative algebras, I. 1) Introduction. 2) Basics on modules.

3) Bonus: an infinite dimensional simple algebra

1) Introduction We now switch our focus and instead of representations of finite groups look at those of finite dimensional associative algebras (over a field IF). Of course, the two are connected: a representation of G is the same thing as a representation of its group algebra FG. And, in fact, we will (re)prove some claims about representations of finite groups in this section. We will mainly focus on three classes of algebras simple algebras, semisimple algebras, & skew-fields. The definition of a skew-field was given in Sec 3 of Lec 7: these are associative rings s.t. every nonzero element is

invertible. The other two classes are defined below.

1.1) Simple rings (and algebras) Let's proceed to simple rings (& algebras). Let A be an associative (unital) ving.

Definition 1: A two-sided ideal in A is a subgroup I (W.Y.t. +) s.t.  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in I \implies ab$ ,  $ba \in I$ .

Here's a reason for this definition: if q: A -> B is a homomorphism of associative rings, then ker q is a two-sided ideal. Conversely, every two-sided ideal arises as such a kernel: A/I has the unique associative ring structure s.t. the projection map IN: A -> A/I, a +> a+I, is a ring homomorphism. Of course, I=ker st.

Definition 2: An associative ring A is simple if it has exactly 2 two-sided ideals: [0] & A. An associative F-algebra

is called simple if it is simple as a ring.

Example: Let S be a skew-field, and ne Then we can form the ring Maty (S) of n=n-matrices w. entries in S. We claim it's simple. As usual, let Eij denote the matrix unit at position (i,j). Then, for B=(6;;) ∈ Mat, (S), we have (1)  $E_{ii}BE_{jj} = 6_{ij}E_{ij}$ Now let I be a nonzero two-sided ideal in Maty (S) and  $B \in I$ ,  $B \neq o$ . If  $b_{ij} \neq o$  for some i, j, then  $b_{ij}$  is invertible & the (1)  $\Rightarrow E_{ij} \in I \Rightarrow E_{kj} = E_{ki} E_{ij} \& E_{k\ell} = E_{kj} E_{j\ell} \in I$  $\# \kappa l \Rightarrow I = Mat_n(S)$ 

The 1st important result in this part is a characterization of finite dimensional simple associative F-algebras.

Theorem 1: Every finite dimensional simple associve F-algebra is isomorphic to Mat, (S) for the unique noo & finite dimensional skew-field Sover F. 3

Remarks: 1) When F is algebraically closed, the only such S is IF itself. Indeed, for SES I MTO s.t. S<sup>m</sup>=a<sub>m-1</sub>S<sup>m-1</sup> + a<sub>o</sub> for some a<sub>m-1</sub>, m, a<sub>o</sub> ∈ F, here we use dim<sub>F</sub> S <∞. If a, am EF are roots of the polynomial x m-am, x m-i - a, then  $S^{m} - \alpha_{m-1} S^{m-1} - \alpha_{o} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (s - d_{i})$  in S. Since S is a skew-field, we get S=d; for some  $i \Rightarrow S \in F(CS) \Rightarrow S = F$ .

2) Outside of the setting of finite dimensional algebras, classifying simple rings is very hard.

1.2) Finite dimensional semisimple algebras. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over F.

Definition: We say that A is semisimple if all its finite dimensional modules are completely reducible.

The following example also serves as a motivation.

Example: Let G be a finite group s.t. char F doesn't divide 161. Then IFG is semisimple (Maschkers Thm, Sec 2 of Lec 5). So finite dimensional semisimple algebras generalize group algebras FG under the assumptions of the example.

The names "simple" and "semisimple" loor similar but the definitions do not. In fact, these two classes are very closely related. To state this relation note that the direct sum A, O. OA, of associative algebras A; i=1, K, carries a natural associative algebra structure (w. component-wise multiplication). The following theorem will be proved later in the course (among other characterizations of semisimple algebras).

Theorem 2: For a finite dimensional algebra A TFAE: 1) A is semisimple. 2) A is isomorphic to the direct sum of some simple algebras. 51

Combining. Theorem 1 & 2 we reduce the study of semisimple algebras to that of skew-fields. The further study of skew-fields will be the final topic for this class.

1.3) Structure of modules By definition, all finite dimensional modules over a semisimple algebra, A, are completely reducible. So to understand how the modules look like, we need to classify the irreducibles.

Exercise: Let S be a skew-field and 1170. The Maty (S)--module S' (consisting of the column vectors v w. usual multiplication of a vector by a matrix) is irreducible (hint: use the same argument as in the proof that Mat, (5) is a simple algebra.

Assuming Theorems 182,  $A \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{Nat} Mat_{n_i}(S_i)$  for some K,  $n_i$ 70, and skew-fields S:. The to the projection A - Matn: (S:), we can view Si as an A-module. It's irreducible b/c the \_\_\_\_projection is surjective.

Theorem 3: Every irreducible A-module is isomorphic to exactly one of Si's.

2) Basics on modules. Let A be a finite dimensional associative algebra over IF.

2.1) Terminology We are interested in representations of A a.K.a. A-modules We also refer to them as left modules: the data of a module, M, is a map A×M → M, (a,m) Ham, i.e. A acts on the left. We can also talk about right modules: these are vector spaces, N, w a bilinear map  $N \times A \longrightarrow N$ ,  $(n, a) \mapsto na$ , satisfying associativity, (na)6=n(ab), and unit, n1=n, axioms.

Note that a right A-module is the same thing as a left A-module, where recall, Sec 3 of Lec 7, A<sup>PP</sup>= A as F. vector space but with opposite multiplication:  $a \cdot e^{p} 6 = 6a$ .

2.2) Regular module The algebra A is both left & right module over itself w.r.t. multiplication. The left A-module A is usually called regular.

Examples: 1) Let A = Maty (S). Then A ~ (S") " as Amodules - this just says that we can write an n×n-matrix as a collection of its columns. 2) From 1) we see that for  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} Mat_{n_i}(S_i)$ , we get  $A \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \left( S_{i}^{n_{i}} \right)^{\oplus n_{i}}$  as A-modules.

A submodule in a left (resp. right) A-module A is called a left (resp., nght) ideal. Note that a two-sided ideal (Sec 1.1) is the same thing as a left ideal, which is also a right ideal. The following lemma partially describes a distinguished role that the regular module plays in the study of general & irreducible modules.

Lemma: 1) Let V be a finite dimensional A-module. Then V is isomorphic to a quotient of A for some k.

2) Let V be an irreducible A-module. Then I a maximal left ideal ICA s.t. V~A/I, an A-module isomorphism. Here "maximal" means: if I'CA is a left ideal s.t.  $I \not\supseteq I$ , then I = A. Proof:

1: Let V, ... Vk be a spanning set for the A-module M (e.g. we can take a basis of the F-vector space M). The map  $A \xrightarrow{\oplus k} \Psi, (a_{\mu}, a_{\kappa}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i v_i$  is A-linear (exercise) & surjective - by the choice of V, V. So A Kerq ~> M.

2: For any VEM, the subset Av= [av | REA] CM is a submodule. Since M is irreducible, for  $v \neq 0 \Rightarrow Av = M$  $\Rightarrow M \simeq A/I$ , where  $I = \ker[a \mapsto av]$  is a left ideal in A. The ideal I is maximal: I'/I = A/I is a proper submodule of A/I for I & I'&A. 9  $\square$ 

Lorollary: TFAE: (a) A is semisimple. (6) The vegular A-module is completely reducible. Proof: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is a tautology. To prove (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) recall that quotients & direct sums of completely reducible modules are completely reducible (Lemma in Sec 2.1 of Lec 5 proved in Lec 6). Now we use 1) of the previous lemma. 3) Bonus: an infinite dimensional simple algebra By the 1st Weyl algebra over I- we mean W := F < x, y > / (yx - xy - 1),where I-<x,y> we mean the algebra of noncommutative polynomials in X&y (a.r.a. the free algebra in X,y), it's basis are words in X&y and the product comes from concatenation. The algebre W, is simple iff char F=O. Here are two (related) motivations for the relation yx-xy=1. The space F[x] becomes a module over W, where

XEW, acts by the multiplication by X, while y acts by dx (exercise). Also, up to rescaling, yx-xy=1 is the commutation relation of the position & the momentum in Quantum Mechanics.