

## Lecture 22: Finite dimensional associative algebras, IV.

1) Description of submodules.

2) Proof of Density Theorem.

Ref: [E], Secs 3.1, 3.2.

1) Description of submodules.

1.1) Main result.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field,  $A$  be an associative  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be pairwise non-isomorphic finite dimensional irreducible  $A$ -modules,  $S_i := \text{End}_A(U_i)^{\text{opp}}$  (so that  $U_i$  is also a right  $S_i$ -module and the  $A$ - and  $S_i$ -actions on  $U_i$  commute).

Let  $M_i, i=1, \dots, k$ , be a finite dimensional left  $S_i$ -module.

So  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$  becomes a left  $A$ -module (w.  $A$  acting on  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$  via  $a(u \otimes m) = au \otimes m$ ).

We start by constructing a family of  $A$ -submodules of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$ . Let  $N_i$  be an  $S_i$ -submodule of  $M_i$ . By Sec 1.2 of Lec 21, we can view  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i$  as an  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace of  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$ . From the construction of the  $A$ -action on  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$

11

we see that  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i$  is an  $A$ -submodule in  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$ .  
 So  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$ .

**Proposition:** Every  $A$ -submodule  $V' \subset V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$  has the form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i$  for some  $S_i$ -submodules  $N_i \subset M_i$ .

**Proof:** Let's discuss the idea first. We know that we have natural isomorphisms (Sec 2 of Lec 21)

$$\psi: \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V$$

$$\psi': \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V') \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$$

Next,  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V')$  embeds as an  $S_i$ -submodule into  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V)$ . The proof is then checking the details, and, in particular matching various identifications.

**Step 1:** We claim that  $M_i$  is identified w.  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V)$ .

Namely,  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V) = [\text{Hom}_A(U_i, U_j) = \{0\} \text{ for } i \neq j] =$

$\text{Hom}_A(U_i, U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i)$ . For  $m \in M_i$  consider  $\varphi_m: U_i \rightarrow U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$ ,

$\varphi_m(u) = u \otimes m$ , an  $A$ -linear map;  $m \mapsto \varphi_m$  is an  $S_i$ -linear map

2

$M_i \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(U_i, U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i)$ , where  $S_i = \text{End}_A(U_i)^{\text{opp}}$  acts on the target by taking compositions on the right, & it's injective (these are left as *exercise*, for the injectivity use a description of a basis in  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$ , Sec 1.2 in Lec 21). Now note that if  $\dim_{S_i} M_i = n$ , then  $U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i \cong U_i^{\oplus n}$ , so  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i) = n \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, U_i) = n \dim_{\mathbb{F}} S_i = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} M_i$ .

So  $m \mapsto \varphi_m: M_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V)$ .

Step 2: Recall, Sec 2 of Lec 21, that for an arbitrary finite direct sum  $V$  of  $U_i$ 's, we have

$$\psi: \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes \varphi_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(u_i)$$

Thx to Step 1, for  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i$  we also have

$$\xi: V \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V), \quad \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes m_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes \varphi_{m_i}$$

Since  $\varphi_{m_i}(u) = u \otimes m_i$ , we have  $\psi \xi = \text{id}_V \Rightarrow \xi = \psi^{-1}$ .

Step 3: Consider  $N_i := \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V') = \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V) \mid \text{im } \varphi \subset V'\}$

This is a subspace in  $M_i = \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V)$ . Moreover, it's an  $S_i$ -sub-

module:  $S_i = \text{End}_A(U_i)^{\text{opp}}$  acts on  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V)$  by  $s\varphi = \varphi \circ s$ , so

fixes  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V')$ . We claim that  $V' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_S N_i$ , which will finish the proof. Indeed, let  $\psi': \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_S N_i \rightarrow V'$  be the analog of  $\psi$  for  $V'$ . The following diagram commutes by the construction of  $\psi$  (&  $\psi'$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_S N_i & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi'} & V' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_S M_i & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi} & V \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are inclusions, and we identify  $M_i$  w.  $\text{Hom}_A(U_i, V)$  as in Step 1. In particular, we see that  $V'$  is indeed of the form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_S N_i$  for  $S_i$ -submodules  $N_i \subset M_i$ .  $\square$

**Exercise:** Show that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i'$  (for submodules  $N_i, N_i' \subset M_i$ ) implies  $N_i = N_i' \forall i$  (hint: use bases in tensor products introduced in Sec 1.2 of Lec 21). This shows that  $N_i$ 's in Proposition are uniquely recovered from  $V'$ .

**Remark:** Thx to Sec 2 of Lec 21, Proposition describes submodules in an arbitrary completely reducible module.

## 2) Proof of Density Theorem.

### 2.1) Statement

The theorem was stated in Sec 3 of Lec 20.

**Theorem:** Let  $A$  be an associative algebra and  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be its pairwise nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducibles. Let  $S_i := \text{End}_A(U_i)^{\text{opp}}$  and let  $\varphi_i: A \rightarrow \text{End}_F(U_i)$  be the homomorphism corresponding to the  $A$ -module  $U_i$ . Then the image of  $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$  is  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End}_{S_i}(U_i)$ .

Before we get to the proof, let's record

**Corollary / Exercise:** Suppose that  $\dim_F A < \infty$ . Then the number of irreducible (automatically, finite dimensional)  $A$ -modules (up to iso) is  $\leq \dim A$ .

### 2.2) Proof of Density Theorem

Again, let's start w. an idea. We'll identify  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End}_{S_i}(U_i)$

w.  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} U_i^*$  and then use Proposition to show that  $\text{im } \varphi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i$  for  $N_i \subset U_i^*$ . If  $N_i \neq U_i^*$  for some  $i$ , then, as we'll check, every element of  $\text{im } \varphi$  annihilates a nonzero vector in  $U_i$ . This will give a contradiction.

Step 1: Analogously to Sec 1.3 of Lec 21, for a right  $S$ -module  $U$  (w.  $\dim_S U < \infty$ ) we have an isomorphism

$$(*) \quad U \otimes_S U^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_S(U).$$

Here  $U^* := \text{Hom}_S(U, S)$ , we identify  $U$  w.  $\text{Hom}_S(S, U)$  via  $u \mapsto [s \mapsto us]$ . Then  $(*)$  is given by

$$\varphi \otimes \alpha \mapsto \varphi \circ \alpha, \quad \varphi \in \text{Hom}_S(S, U), \alpha \in \text{Hom}_S(U, S).$$

Step 2: Suppose now  $U$  is a left  $A$ -module in such a way that the actions of  $A$  &  $S$  commute. So  $U \otimes_S U^*$  acquires a left  $A$ -module structure via  $a(u \otimes \alpha) = au \otimes \alpha$ ,

$\text{End}_S(U)$  is also a left  $A$ -module: via

$$a\zeta = a_u \circ \zeta, \quad a \in A, \zeta \in \text{End}_S(U).$$

It's left as an **exercise** to check that  $(*)$  is an  $A$ -

module homomorphism.

Step 3: So, as an  $A$ -module,

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End}_{S_i}(U_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} M_i, \quad M_i := U_i^*$$

Note that  $\text{im } \varphi \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End}_{S_i}(U_i)$  is an  $A$ -submodule.

By Proposition in Sec 1,  $\exists N_i \subset M_i = U_i^*$  s.t.

$$\text{im } \varphi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{S_i} N_i.$$

We need to show that  $N_i = M_i \forall i$ . Assume the contrary:  $N_i \subsetneq M_i$ .

Step 4: We claim  $\exists v \in U_i$  s.t.  $d(v) = 0 \forall d \in N_i$ .

For this, observe first that  $U_i \xrightarrow{\sim} U_i^{**}$ ,  $u \mapsto \beta_u$ , w.  $\beta_u(\alpha) = d(u)$

— just as for fields. Choose a basis  $d_1, \dots, d_m$  in  $N_i$  (over  $S$ )

and complete it to a basis  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  in  $U_i^*$  ( $n > m$ ). Let

$u_1, \dots, u_n$  be the dual basis in  $U_i$  (given by  $d_k(u_j) = \delta_{kj}$ ,

it exists thx to  $U_i \xrightarrow{\sim} U_i^{**}$ ). Then take  $v := u_n$ .

Step 5: Under the identification  $U_i \otimes_S U_i^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_S(U_i)$

7

all elements from  $U_i \otimes N_i$  annihilate  $v: [u \otimes \alpha](v) = d(\alpha)u = 0$ .

By Step 3, so do the elements of  $\text{im } \varphi$ . Contradiction

b/c  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . □

### 2.3) Application: classification of (semi) simple algebras.

The following summarizes two theorems from Lec 19.

**Theorem:** 1) Every finite dimensional semisimple algebra  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{n_i}(S_i)$ , where  $S_i$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra.

2) Every finite dimensional simple algebra  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Mat}_n(S)$  for uniquely determined  $n$  &  $S$ .

**Proof:** 1): Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be all pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible  $A$ -modules,  $S_i := \text{End}_A(U_i)^{\text{opp}}$ ,  $\varphi_i: A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(U_i)$  &  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k): A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(U_i)$ . By Density theorem,  $\text{im } \varphi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{End}_{S_i}(U_i)$ . Choosing an  $S_i$ -basis in each  $U_i$ , we identify  $\text{End}_{S_i}(U_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mat}_{n_i}(S_i)$  w.  $n_i := \dim_{S_i} U_i$ . So, it



remains to show that  $\varphi$  is injective. Indeed, any element  $a \in \ker \varphi$  acts by 0 on every direct sum of irreducibles, hence, in particular, on  $A$ . But  $a1 = a \Rightarrow a = 0$ .

2): By 1) of Theorem in Sec 1.1 of Lec 20, we know that  $A$  is semisimple w. unique irreducible module  $U$ . So, by 1) (& its proof),  $A = \text{End}_S(U) = \text{Mat}_n(S)$  for  $n = \dim_S U = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} U / \dim_{\mathbb{F}} S$ .

Let's show that  $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(\tilde{S})$  for some skew-field (& finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow \tilde{S} \cong \text{End}_A(U)^{\text{opp}}$  for the unique irreducible module  $U$ . Indeed, we get  $U \cong \tilde{S}^n$ . We need to show that any  $\text{Mat}_n(\tilde{S})$ -linear map  $\tilde{S}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} \tilde{S}^n$  is given as the right multiplication by a unique element of  $\tilde{S}$ . This is left as an **exercise** (hint: prove that  $\varphi \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{1j} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for a unique  $s_{1j} \in \tilde{S}$ , then show that  $\varphi v = s_{1j} v \forall v \in \tilde{S}^n$ ).  $\square$

**Remark:** There's also a uniqueness statement in 1): the collection  $(S_i, n_i)_{i=1}^k$  is defined uniquely up to a permutation.

## 2.4) Bonus: Wedderburn-Artin theorem

One can generalize Theorem in Sec 2.3 from algebras to more general rings. Namely, by a **semisimple ring** we mean an associative ring  $A$  whose regular module is a finite direct sum of irreducible modules. Then every finitely generated  $A$ -module is completely reducible.

The Wedderburn-Artin theorem states that

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{n_i}(S_i)$$

for some skew-fields  $S_i$ .