

Lecture 24: Skew-fields, I.

1) Main results.

2) Tensor products of algebras.

Ref: [V], Sec 11.6.

1) Main results.

Our goal in the remaining two lectures is to apply the results about (semi) simple algebras to understand the structure of skew-fields. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and S be a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -algebra that is a skew-field.

1.1) Commutative subalgebras.

To state our main structural result we need a few observations about commutative subalgebras of S .

Lemma: Every commutative \mathbb{F} -subalgebra $C \subset S$ is a field.

Proof: We need to show $x \in C \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow x^{-1} \in C$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S < \infty$,

1)

$\exists n > 0$ & $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F} \mid x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$. We can assume $a_0 \neq 0$, otherwise divide by x . Then $x^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a_0}(x^{n-1} + a_{n-1}x^{n-2} + \dots + a_1) \in \mathbb{C}$ \square

Corollary: Let A be a simple \mathbb{F} -algebra. Then $Z(A)$ is a field.

Proof:

By the classification of simple algebras (Sec 2.3 of Lec 22), $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(S)$ for S as above. By Exercise in Sec 2 of Lec 23, $Z(A) \cong Z(S)$. By Lemma, $Z(S)$ is a field. \square

Definition: We say that A (as in Corollary) is **central** if $Z(A) = \mathbb{F}$.

We note that A is always central if viewed as algebra over $Z(A)$ (which is a finite field extension of \mathbb{F}). This allows one to only consider central simple algebras.

Exercise: If S is a skew-field, then every maximal subfield contains $Z(S)$.

2]

1.2) Statement

We are interested in the structure of maximal (w.r.t. \subseteq) subfields (equivalently, commutative subalgebras) of S .

Theorem: Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$. Suppose S is central. Then the following hold:

1) \forall maximal subfields $K_1, K_2 \subset S$, have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} K_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K_2$.

2) if the dimension in 1) is n , then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S = n^2$.

3) Let K_1, K_2 be maximal subfields and $\tau: K_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_2$ be an \mathbb{F} -linear isomorphism. Then $\exists s \in S \setminus \{0\} \mid \tau(x) = sxs^{-1} \forall x \in K_1$.

Rem: The same is true if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p$ assuming \mathbb{F} is perfect (every element has p th root). In general, one has a complete analog of this theorem, where one considers maximal subfields of S that are separable over \mathbb{F} : one can show that there is a maximal subfield, which is separable.

1.3) Application: Frobenius theorem

We will prove the theorem later, and now we will explain its famous application.

Corollary (Frobenius): Every skew-field S , which is a finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -algebra is \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , or \mathbb{H} .

Proof: \mathbb{C} is the only nontrivial finite field extension of \mathbb{R} . Thx to 1)&2) of Thm, we only need to show that if $Z(S) = \mathbb{R}$ & dimension of the maximal subfields in S is 2 (in which case all of them are isomorphic to \mathbb{C}), then $S \cong \mathbb{H}$.

Step 1: Pick a copy of \mathbb{C} in S and view S as a \mathbb{C} -vector space via multiplication on the left. By 2) of Thm, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S = 4$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 2$. Let $i := \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ viewed as an element of S . Our goal is to find $j \in S$ w. $j^2 = -1$, $ji = -ij$, then we are done.

Step 2: Apply 3) of Thm to $\tau(z) = \bar{z}: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. We get

$s \in S$ w $s z s^{-1} = \bar{z} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow s i s^{-1} = -i$. Note that:

(i) $s \notin \mathbb{C}$ b/c \mathbb{C} is commutative. So $1, s$ form a basis in the \mathbb{C} -vector space S .

(ii) $s^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, equivalently, s is central. This is because s^2 commutes w. s & w. \mathbb{C} : $s^2 z s^{-2} = s(s z s^{-1})s^{-1} = \bar{\bar{z}} = z$. By (i), \mathbb{C} & s generate S as a ring, so s^2 is central.

Step 3: Let $s^2 = a (a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. We have $a < 0$ (otherwise $(s - \sqrt{a})(s + \sqrt{a}) = 0$ (b/c $\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ commutes w. s) so $s = \pm \sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ leading to a contradiction. Now set $j := s/\sqrt{-a}$ getting $j i j^{-1} = -i \Leftrightarrow j i = -i j$ & $j^2 = -1$. This finishes the proof. \square

Side remark:

Using the same techniques one can classify 4-dimensional skew-fields over a field F of char $\neq 2$. Namely pick $a, b \in F \setminus \{0\}$. Form the generalized quaternion algebra $H(a, b)$: it has basis $1, i, j, k$ w. multiplication recovered from $i^2 = a, j^2 = b, k = ij = -ji$ (in particular, $k^2 = -ab$).

Exercise*: 1) $[H(a,b)]$ is a skew-field \Leftrightarrow the equation

$x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abu^2 = 0$ doesn't have nonzero solutions in \mathbb{F} . Hint:

$$(x + yi + zj + uk)(x - yi - zj - uk) = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abu^2$$

2) Suppose Theorem holds for S of $\dim 4$ (e.g. if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$, in fact, the theorem here holds as long as $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$). Then

$S \cong [H(a,b)]$ for some a, b .

3) Give an example when S contains non-isomorphic maximal subfields.

2) Tensor products of algebras.

The main basic ingredient in the proof of the theorem is "base change to the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} ": if $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes the algebraic closure, then we seek to replace \mathbb{F} -algebras w. suitable $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ -algebras. Then we use the fact that the classification of simple algebras over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ is easier than in the general case: they are just matrix algebras $\text{Mat}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$.

The base change is a special case of a more general construction of taking certain tensor products. The complexifi-

cation (= passing from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C}) in HW2 is a special case.

2.1) Construction of tensor product of algebras.

We start w. two \mathbb{F} -vector spaces A, B equipped with \mathbb{F} -bilinear maps $\mu_A: A \times A \rightarrow A, \mu_B: B \times B \rightarrow B$. Form the tensor product $A \otimes B$ (over \mathbb{F}). We'll need the situation when A or B is infinite dimensional. Still A & B have bases: $a_i \in A, i \in I, b_j \in B, j \in J$, where I, J are some sets. Then the elements $a_i \otimes b_j$ form a basis in $A \otimes B$.

Lemma: i) $\exists!$ bilinear map $\mu_{A \otimes B}: A \otimes B \times A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ w.

$$(1) \quad \mu_{A \otimes B}(a \otimes b, a' \otimes b') = \mu_A(a, a') \otimes \mu_B(b, b')$$

ii) If μ_A, μ_B are associative (resp. commutative, resp. \exists units), then $\mu_{A \otimes B}$ is associative (resp. commutative, resp. \exists unit).

Sketch of proof:

i) Let $\mu_{A \otimes B}$ be the unique bilinear map w.

$$(2) \quad \mu_{A \otimes B}(a_i \otimes b_j, a_l \otimes b_k) = \mu_A(a_i, a_l) \otimes \mu_B(b_j, b_k) \quad \forall i, l \in I, j, k \in J$$

(where $a_i, i \in I, b_j, j \in J$ are bases in A & B). To check $\mu_{A \otimes B}$

\neq

satisfies (1) is an **exercise**. On the other hand, (1) \Rightarrow (2) so $\mu_{A \otimes B}$ is unique. This proves i).

2) Let's prove μ_A, μ_B are commutative $\Rightarrow \mu_{A \otimes B}$ is commutative:

$$\mu_{A \otimes B}(x_1, x_2) = \mu_{A \otimes B}(x_2, x_1) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in A \otimes B.$$

If $x_i = \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i, i=1,2$, then

$$\mu_{A \otimes B}(x_1, x_2) = \mu_A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \otimes \mu_B(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \mu_A(\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \otimes \mu_B(\beta_2, \beta_1) = \mu_{A \otimes B}(x_2, x_1)$$

In general, $x_i = \sum_j \alpha_i^j \otimes \beta_i^j$, and we reduce to the previous case by bilinearity.

The claims about associativity & unit are proved in a similar fashion (if $1_A \in A, 1_B \in B$ are units, then so is $1_A \otimes 1_B \in A \otimes B$). \square

Thx to the lemma, if A, B are associative algebras, then $A \otimes B$ acquires the natural associative algebra structure (called the **tensor product of algebras**).

Exercise: 1) For an \mathbb{F} -algebra A , $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}) \otimes A \cong \text{Mat}_n(A)$.

Hint: $E_{ij} \otimes a \mapsto aE_{ij}$.

$$2) \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{F}) \simeq \text{Mat}_{mn}(\mathbb{F}).$$

Remarks: 1) Notice that the maps $A \rightarrow A \otimes B, a \mapsto a \otimes 1,$
 $B \rightarrow A \otimes B, b \mapsto 1 \otimes b$ are algebra homomorphisms.

2) If M is an A -module, N is a B -module, then $M \otimes N$ has the unique $A \otimes B$ -module structure with

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (m \otimes n) = (am) \otimes (bn).$$

The proof follows that of Lemma and is left as an *exercise*.

3) The tensor product of algebras is associative $((A \otimes B) \otimes C \simeq A \otimes (B \otimes C))$, commutative, distributive (w.r.t. \oplus) and \mathbb{F} is a unit ($\mathbb{F} \otimes A \simeq A \otimes \mathbb{F} \simeq A$): the natural isomorphisms of Sec 1.4 are those of algebras.

2.2) Base change

We will be interested in the special case, where B is a

field \tilde{F} containing F (a.k.a. a field extension). We write:

(i) for an F -vector space V , $V_{\tilde{F}} := V \otimes F$. This is a module over $F \otimes_F \tilde{F} = \tilde{F}$, i.e. a vector space over \tilde{F} .

(ii) for an F -algebra A , $A_{\tilde{F}} := A \otimes F$. This is an algebra over \tilde{F} .

(iii) for an A -module M , $M_{\tilde{F}} := M \otimes F$. This is a module over $A_{\tilde{F}}$.

We say that $V_{\tilde{F}}$, $A_{\tilde{F}}$, $M_{\tilde{F}}$ are obtained from V, A, M by base change to \tilde{F} .

Remark: Here's how one thinks about base change in practice.

Suppose that an algebra A is finite dimensional, for simplicity.

Choose a basis $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, and write the multiplication table:

$$a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^n d_{ij}^k a_k, \quad d_{ij}^k \in F.$$

Then $A_{\tilde{F}}$ has basis $a_i \otimes 1$ (now over \tilde{F}) & the same multiplication table.