

Lecture 25: Skew-fields, II.

1) Structure of skew-fields.

2) Finite skew-fields.

3) Bonus: Brauer group

Ref: [V], Sec 11.6.

1.0) Recap

Suppose \mathbb{F} is a field and S is a finite dimensional central \mathbb{F} -algebra that is a skew-field. Our goal is to prove:

Theorem: Suppose $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$. Then the following claims hold:

- 1) \forall maximal subfields $K^1, K^2 \subset S$, have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} K^1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} K^2$.
- 2) if the dimension in 1) is n , then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S = n^2$.
- 3) Let K^1, K^2 be maximal subfields and $\tau: K^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K^2$ be an \mathbb{F} -linear isomorphism. Then $\exists s \in S \setminus \{0\} \mid \tau(x) = sx s^{-1} \forall x \in K^1$.

1.1) Approach to proof.

To prove the theorem we'll use the base change to the

1)

algebraic closure \bar{F} of F (meaning that $F \subset \bar{F}$, \bar{F} is algebraically closed, and any element $x \in \bar{F}$ is algebraic over F).

The proof is in two big steps. Recall that for an F -algebra A , we write $A_{\bar{F}} := A \otimes_F \bar{F}$ for its base change.

The following proposition shows that base change preserves certain properties.

Proposition: Suppose $\text{char } F = 0$, and \tilde{F} is a field extension of F .

- 1) If A is semisimple, then so is $A_{\tilde{F}}$.
- 2) If A is central simple, then so is $A_{\tilde{F}}$.
- 3) If $B \subset A$ is a maximal commutative subalgebra, then so is $B_{\tilde{F}} \subset A_{\tilde{F}}$.

Using this we will show that $S_{\bar{F}} \cong \text{Mat}_n(\bar{F})$, while $K_{\bar{F}}^i \subset \text{Mat}_n(\bar{F})$ are subalgebras conjugate to the subalgebra of diagonal matrices. This will establish 1) & 2) of Theorem, while 3) will require a bit more work.

1.2) Proof of 1) of Proposition

We use Theorem from Sec 1.2 of Lec 23: over a field of char 0, an algebra is semisimple iff the trace form is nondegenerate.

Recall that, for $a, b \in A$, we have $(a, b)_A = \text{tr}((ab)_A)$. Next, $A \subset A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}$ & any $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ -basis of A is an $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ -basis of $A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}$. So, for all $x \in A$, the matrices of operators x_A & $x_{A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}}$ are the same (b/c $A \subset A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}$ is a subring).

So $(a, b)_A = \text{tr}((ab)_A) = \text{tr}((ab)_{A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}}) = (a, b)_{A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}}$. In particular, in a basis of A , the matrices of the trace forms for $A, A_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}$ are the same, therefore, one form is nondegenerate iff the other is. \square

Remarks: 1) Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p(t^p), \tilde{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ (fields of rational functions).

Then $A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$ is not semisimple (exercise*)

2) Suppose that $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ is an algebraic and separable extension of \mathbb{F} . Then $\text{Rad}(A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}) = \text{Rad}(A)_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$ (exercise*, hint: reduce to the case when $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ is a finite & normal extension and consider the natural action of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}: \mathbb{F})$ on $A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$).

1.3) Proofs of 2) and 3) of Proposition

Lemma: Let $\mathbb{F} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ be a field extension, A be a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -algebra, $B \subset A$ a subspace. Set $Z_A(B) := \{a \in A \mid ab = ba \ \forall b \in B\}$. Then $Z_A(B)_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} = Z_{A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}}(B_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}})$

Proof:

Claim: Let U, V be fin. dim. \mathbb{F} -vector spaces & $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ an \mathbb{F} -linear map. Consider $\tilde{\varphi}: U_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} = U \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$, the unique $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ -linear map w. $\tilde{\varphi}(u \otimes f) = \varphi(u) \otimes f, \forall u \in U, f \in \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $\ker \tilde{\varphi} = (\ker \varphi)_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$.

Proof: *exercise* (hint: pick bases $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U, v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ s.t. u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n is a basis in $\ker \varphi, v_i = \varphi(u_i), i=1, \dots, k$).

We apply Claim as follows. Let b_1, \dots, b_k be a basis in B . Consider $U = A, V = A^{\oplus k}, \varphi(u) = (b_i u - u b_i)_{i=1}^k$. Then

$$\ker \varphi = [\{a \in A \mid ab_i = b_i a \ \forall i=1, \dots, k\}] = [b_1, \dots, b_k \text{ is basis of } B] = Z_A(B).$$

$$\text{Then } \ker \tilde{\varphi} = [b_1, \dots, b_k \text{ form } \tilde{\mathbb{F}}\text{-basis in } B_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}] = Z_{A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}}(B_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}). \quad \square$$

Proof of 2) of Proposition: By 1) of Proposition, $A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$ is semisimple. So $A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{n_i}(S_i)$, where S_i 's are skew-fields. By Exercise in Sec 2 of Lec 23, $Z(A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k Z(\text{Mat}_{n_i}(S_i))$.

By Lemma applied to $B=A$, $Z(A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}) = Z(A)_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} = [Z(A) = \mathbb{F}$ b/c A is central] = $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$. It follows that $k=1$ & $Z(\text{Mat}_{n_1}(S_1)) = \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$, which gives the claim of 2). \square

Proof of 3) of Proposition: The claim that B is maximal commutative is equivalent to $Z_A(B) = B$ (and same for $B_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} \subset A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$). Indeed, if $x \notin Z_A(B) \setminus B$, then the subalgebra generated by B & x ($:= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(b x^i \mid b \in B, i \geq 0)$) is commutative and strictly contains B , contradicting the maximality of B .

Now apply Lemma to $B \subset A$: $Z_{A_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}}(B_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}) = Z_A(B)_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}} = B_{\tilde{\mathbb{F}}}$. \square

1.4) Proofs of 1) & 2) of Theorem

Let $K \subset S$ be a maximal subfield (= commutative subalgebra)

By 2) of Proposition, $S_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}$ is simple, and so is $\text{Mat}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$ b/c $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ is algebraically closed. Let $D_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$ denote the subalgebra of

diagonal matrices. We claim that $K_{\bar{F}}$ is conjugate to $\mathcal{D}_n(\bar{F})$ (i.e. $\exists g \in GL_n(\bar{F}) \mid K_{\bar{F}} = g \mathcal{D}_n(\bar{F}) g^{-1}$). This will imply 1) & 2).

By 1) of Proposition, $K_{\bar{F}}$ is semisimple & by 3), it's maximal commutative. Any semisimple algebra is of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{n_i}(\bar{F})$, it's commutative iff all $n_i = 1$. Consider the $\text{Mat}_n(\bar{F})$ -module \bar{F}^n as a $K_{\bar{F}}$ -module. It's the direct sum of irreducible $K_{\bar{F}}$ -modules, all of which are 1-dimensional: $\bar{F}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$. Choose $g \in GL_n(\bar{F})$ w. $g e_i \in V_i$ (where e_1, \dots, e_n are the tautological basis elements), then $K_{\bar{F}} \subset g \mathcal{D}_n(\bar{F}) g^{-1}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_n(\bar{F})$ (and hence $g \mathcal{D}_n(\bar{F}) g^{-1}$) is a commutative subalgebra & $K_{\bar{F}}$ is maximal commutative, we have

$$K_{\bar{F}} = g \mathcal{D}_n(\bar{F}) g^{-1}$$

1.5) Proof of 3) of Theorem.

Let $K^1, K^2 \subset S$ be maximal subfields. Consider $\tilde{\tau}: K_{\bar{F}}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{\bar{F}}^2$, $\tilde{\tau}(k \otimes f) = \tau(k) \otimes f$, this is an \bar{F} -algebra isomorphism.

Step 1: we claim that $\exists g \in GL_n(\bar{F})$ s.t. $\tilde{\tau}(x) = g x g^{-1}, x \in K^1$. Note that $K_{\bar{F}}^i \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Mat}_1(\bar{F}) = \bar{F}^{\oplus n}$ has exactly n pairwise non-isomorphic 1-dimensional irreducible representations, denote

them by $V_1^i, \dots, V_n^i, i=1,2$. We have the decompositions

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}^n = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n V_j^1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n V_j^2:$$

if $K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^i = g_i \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{F}) g_i^{-1}, g \in GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$, then we can pick $V_j^i = \mathbb{F}(g_i e_j)$.

Let $\varphi_j^i: K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^i \rightarrow \text{End}(V_j^i) = \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ be the corresponding homomorphisms: $K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^i \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}}^{\oplus n}$ as an algebra and φ_j^i 's are projections to the summands, $j=1, \dots, n$. The homomorphisms $\varphi_j^2 \circ \tilde{\tau}$ correspond to n pairwise non-isomorphic 1-dimensional representations of $K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^1$, and so, after renumbering φ_j^2 's we can assume that

$$(1) \quad \varphi_j^2 \circ \tilde{\tau} = \varphi_j^1 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n.$$

Take $g = g_2 g_1^{-1} \Rightarrow g K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^1 g^{-1} = g_2 \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{F}) g_2^{-1} = K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^2$. Moreover, for $x \in K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^1$, x acts on $g_1 e_j$ by scalar $\varphi_j^1(x)$, so $g x g^{-1}$ acts on $g_2 e_j = g g_1 e_j$ by $\varphi_j^1(x)$ and, on the side, $g x g^{-1} \in K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^2$ acts on $g_2 e_j$ by $\varphi_j^2(g x g^{-1}) \Rightarrow \varphi_j^1(x) = \varphi_j^2(g x g^{-1})$. Combining this w. (1) we see that

$$(2) \quad \varphi_j^2(\tilde{\tau}(x)) = \varphi_j^2(g x g^{-1}) \quad \forall x \in K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^1.$$

But φ_j^2 is the projection $\overline{\mathbb{F}}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ to the j th summand. So

$$\varphi_j^2(g x g^{-1}) = \varphi_j^2(\tilde{\tau}(x)) \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n \Rightarrow g x g^{-1} = \tilde{\tau}(x) \Rightarrow$$

$$(3) \quad g x = \tau(x) g \quad \forall x \in K_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}^1.$$

$\overline{\mathbb{F}}$

Step 2: Now we prove the original claim: $\exists s \in S \setminus \{0\}$
 $\tau(x) = sx s^{-1} \neq x \in K$. Pick a basis $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ (over \mathbb{F}) and
 consider the \mathbb{F} -linear map $\varphi: S \rightarrow S^n$, $y \mapsto (y x_i - \tau(x_i) y)$
 and the induced linear map $\tilde{\varphi}: S_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{F}}^n$. Recall (Claim in
 Sec 1.3) that $\ker \tilde{\varphi} = (\ker \varphi)_{\mathbb{F}}$. We know that g (viewed as a
 matrix, i.e. an element of $S_{\mathbb{F}}$) is in $\ker \tilde{\varphi}$ by (3). So $\ker \varphi \neq \{0\}$.
 Take any $s \in \ker \varphi \setminus \{0\}$. It's invertible b/c S is a skew-field
 so $sx = \tau(x)s \Rightarrow sx s^{-1} = \tau(x)$. □

2) Finite skew-fields

In general, it's hard to classify finite dimensional skew-fields
 S over \mathbb{F} , so $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ is an exception. Another nice case is when
 \mathbb{F} is finite. Here S is also finite.

Theorem (Wedderburn) Every finite skew-field S is commutative

Proof:

Can take $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}(S)$, let $|\mathbb{F}| = q$. Let $n := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} S \Rightarrow |S| = q^n$.

Assume $\mathbb{F} \neq S \Leftrightarrow n > 1$. Let $G = S \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative

group. Let s_1, \dots, s_k be representatives of the G -conjugacy classes in $G \setminus Z(G) = S \setminus F$. Then

$$(4) \quad |G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^k |G| / |Z_G(s_k)|.$$

Note that $Z_G(s_k) = Z_S(s_k) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $d_k := \dim_F Z_S(s_k)$
 $\Rightarrow |Z_G(s_k)| = q^{d_k} - 1$. Next, note that $Z_S(s_k)$ is a skew-field, and S is its finite dimensional module $\Rightarrow S \simeq Z_S(s_k)^{\oplus ?}$
 $\Rightarrow |S| = |Z_S(s_k)|^{?} \Leftrightarrow d_i |n \nmid i$. Since $s_k \notin Z(G) \Rightarrow d_k < n$.

(4) becomes:

$$(5) \quad q^n - 1 = q - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k (q^n - 1) / (q^{d_i} - 1)$$

Let $\Phi_d(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ denote the d th cyclotomic polynomial,
 $\Phi_d(x) = \prod_{\varepsilon} (x - \varepsilon)$, where the product is taken over primitive
 d th roots of 1. In particular, $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$ & $\Phi_d, \Phi_{d'}$
 are coprime for $d \neq d'$. In particular, $\exists h(x), h_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$,
 $i=1, \dots, k$ s.t. $x^n - 1 = \Phi_n(x) h(x) = \Phi_n(x) (x^{d_i} - 1) h_i(x)$

Combining this with (5), we get

$$\Phi_n(q) h(q) = (q-1) + \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi_n(q) h_i(q) \Rightarrow \Phi_n(q) | (q-1)$$

Observing $|\Phi_n(q)| > |q-1|$ (*exercise*) we arrive at a contradiction

w. $n > 1$

□

3) Bonus: Brauer group.

It turns out that the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional skew-fields over \mathbb{F} carries a group structure, the resulting group is called the Brauer group of \mathbb{F} & is denoted by $\text{Br}(\mathbb{F})$.

The construction of the group structure is based on the following observation

Theorem: Let A, B be finite dimensional central simple \mathbb{F} -algebras. Then

1) $A \otimes B$ is a central simple algebra

2) $A \otimes A^{\text{opp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$

Sketch of proof:

Step 1: Note that A is an irreducible $A \otimes A^{\text{opp}}$ -module via $a_1 \otimes a_2 \cdot a = a_1 a a_2$ ($a, a_1, a_2 \in A$), which, in particular gives a homomorphism of algebras $A \otimes A^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$. Note that $\text{End}_{A \otimes A^{\text{opp}}}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Z}(A) = [A \text{ is central}] = \mathbb{F}$.

Step 2: We use Proposition in Sec 1 of Lec 22:

every $A \otimes A^{\text{opp}}$ -submodule in $A \otimes B$ is of the form $A \otimes B'$, where $B' \subset B$ is an \mathbb{F} -subspace. Similarly, every $B \otimes B^{\text{opp}}$ -submodule is of the form $A' \otimes B$ for an \mathbb{F} -subspace $A' \subset A$.

It follows that there are just two subspaces in $A \otimes B$ that are both $A \otimes A^{\text{opp}}$ - & $B \otimes B^{\text{opp}}$ -submodules: $\{0\}$ & $A \otimes B$.

Such a subspace is exactly the same thing as a two-sided ideal (exercise). So $A \otimes B$ is simple. To show it's central is also an exercise.

3) Since $A \otimes A^{\text{opp}}$ is simple, the homomorphism

$$A \otimes A^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$$

is injective. Both dimensions are $(\dim A)^2$, so the homomorphism is an isomorphism. \square

For a central skew-field S , let $[S]$ denote its isomorphism class. Fix two such skew-fields S_1, S_2 . By the previous theorem $S_1 \otimes S_2$ is a central simple algebra, and by our

classification of simple algebras, $S_1 \otimes S_2 \cong \text{Mat}_n(S_3)$ for a uniquely determined skew-field S_3 that must be central.

We define $[S_1][S_2] := [S_3]$. An easy check using the associativity of tensor products of algebras & Exercise in Sec 2.1 of Lec 24, shows that this product is associative. It's also commutative & $[F]$ is the unit. By 2) of the previous theorem $[S^{\text{opp}}]$ is the inverse of $[S]$. So we indeed get an abelian group.

An important property of $\text{Br}(F)$ is that every element has finite order. More precisely, Thm in Sec 1.0 (and it's char p versions) imply that $\dim_F S$ is a complete square. Define the index of S , $\text{ind}(S)$, to be $(\dim_F S)^{1/2}$.

One can show that $[S]^{\text{ind}(S)} = [F]$.