Lecture 5: Irreducible & completely reducible

representations, pt 1. 1) Irreducible representations. 2) Completely reducible representations. Ref: Sec 11.1 in [V].

1) Irreducible representations. We proceed to studying certain classes of representations: "irreducible" & "completely reducible" ones. Our general setting is that F is a field & A is an associative (unital) F-algebra. As in Sec 2 of Lec 1, we say that a representation 1/ of A is irreducible if it's = {0} and contains no proper subrepresentations i.e subrepresentotions U different from {03, V.

Example: Let A = End(V) so that V is a representation of A - Example 1 in Sec 2.3 of Lec 3. It's irreducible. Indeed, let  $\{o\} \neq U \subset V$  be a subresentation. Pick  $O \neq u \in U$ . Then  $\forall$  1]

 $v \in U \exists \varphi \in End(V) | \varphi u = v \Rightarrow v \in U \Rightarrow U = V.$ 

1.1) Example: 1-dimensional representations of groups. Any 1-dimensional representation V is irreducible - there are no proper <u>subspaces</u>. Here we will study 1-dimensional representations of G so that A=FG. A choice of basis (= a nonzero clement in V) identifies GL(V) w. GL, (F) = F { {03 (w.r.t. multiplication). This identification is independent of the choice - the group F {03 is abelian, so the conjugation is the identity automorphism of F {0}. Hence a 1-dimensional representation of G is a group homomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{P} F \{ o \}$ . Kecall that for g, h ∈ G we can define their commutator (q,h):= ghg-'h-! The subgroup generated by these elements is normal, it's called the derived subgroup of G and is denoted by (G,G). Since IF {03 is abelian, p((g, h))=1 and hence p factors through the quotient G/(G, G), an abelian group. We arrive at a bijection between the 1-dimensional representations of G& of  $\mathcal{L}/(G,G)$  (any representation of G/(G,G) can be viewed as that

of G vire the pullback w.r.t. G -> G/(G,G), Sec 1.4 of Lec 2) Below we assume ( is abelian and finite. Recall that  $G \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\mathbb{Z}/m_i \mathbb{Z})$  for some K>0,  $m_{\mu} = m_{\kappa} > 1$ . We note that for any abelian groups G., G., H we have a bijection Homar ( M Ci, H) ~ Momar (Gi, H), where Hom<sub>Gr</sub> denotes the set of groups homomorphisms, and the map sends q to (qlg, qlg, qlg) (exercise). This reduces the question of describing the 1-dimensional representations of finite (abelian) groups to that for cyclic, which is handled (in the case when F is algebraically closed) by Prob 1 of HW1: a homomorphism 72/m72 -> F {0} is unique recovered from the image of a generator, which can be any mth voot of 1 so that we get m pairwise non-isomorphic representations (you still need to work out the details).

1.2) Some irreducible representations of symmetric groups. Let G=Sn (and A=FSn). We are going to construct some \_\_\_\_\_irreducible representations of G. Let's start w. 1-dimensionals. 3

Example: Define the sign representation of Sn as the homomorphism  $S_n \rightarrow F[o_j^{\sigma}]$  given by  $q \mapsto sgn(q)$ .

Exercise:  $\forall$  1-dimensional representation of  $S_n$  is isomorphic to the trivial or sign (hint: either prove that  $(S_n, S_n)$  is the subgroup of even permutations or observe that all transpositions (ij) go to the same element of  $F|\{0\}$  and use  $(i,j)^2 = t_0$  show this element =  $\pm 1$ . Moreover, if that  $F \neq 2$ , then trivial and sign representations are not isomorphic.

Now we proceed to irreducible representations of higher dimensions. Recall the permutation representation: V=F"w. Sn acting by permuting the coordinates: g. (a,... an) = (again,..., again) (Example 1 in Sec 1 of Lec 1). As any Fun representation, it has two subrepresentations, to be denoted here by Fronst= {(a,...,a)} (trivial as a representation) and  $F_0^n = \{(a_1, ..., a_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0\}$ . The following lemma establishes some properties of these representations.

Lemma: 1) We have  $F_{const} \subset F_{o}^{n}$  iff char F divides n. 2) Otherwise,  $F_{const}^{n} \oplus F^{n} = F_{r}^{n}$ 3) and F<sup>n</sup> is irreducible. 4)  $\operatorname{sgn}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{F}^{n}$  is irreducible iff  $\mathbb{F}^{n}$  is. 5) sqn, @Fo" is not isomorphic to F" for n73.

Proof: 1) & 2) are left as exercises.

3): Set e;=(0,..,0,1,-1,0,...0), i=1,...,n-1. These vectors form a basis in F. If a subrepresentation U contains one of them, it contains all:  $6e_i = e_j$  for  $6 \in S_n$  w. 6(i) = 6(j), 6(i+i) = 6(j+i). char F doesn't divide  $n \Rightarrow \forall v = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_0^n | \{0\} \exists i |$  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}. \text{ Then } v - (i, i+1). v = (x_i - x_{i+1})e_i, \text{ so } v \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow e_i \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{F}_o^n.$ 

4) We prove a more general claim: if W & V are representations of G & dim W=1, then V is irreducible iff W&V is. Let  $\rho_W: G \to F \{03, \rho_V: G \to GL(V) be the corresponding homo$ morphisms. We can identify W w. IF and hence W&V=F&Vw. 5

V as vector spaces (Sec 1.4 of Lec 9). Under this identification  $\int_{V \otimes V} (g) = \rho_{W}(g) \rho_{V}(g).$ So a subspace in W&V is a subrepresentation ( $\iff$  stable under all  $\rho_{W \otimes V}(g)$ ) iff the same subspace in V is stable under all  $\rho_{W \otimes V}(g)$ ) iff the same subspace in V is stable under all  $\rho_{V}(g)$  - any subspace is stable under scalar operators.

5) Exercise. Hint: (1,2) has eigenvalues -1 w. multiplicity 1 and 1 w. multiplicity n-2 on  $\mathbb{F}_{o}^{n}$  and vice verse on  $\operatorname{sgn}_{n} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{o}^{n}$ .  $\Box$ 

2) Completely reducible representations & Maschke's Thm. Definition: Let A be an associative algebra over F. An Amodule V is colled completely reducible if I submodule U < V ∃ a complement: submodule U' < V w. U⊕U'=V (the direct sum of subspaces).

Note that then V~UOU' as A-modules. Note also that every irreducible representation V is completely reducible-just two options for UCV: {03 and V. 6

The same definition applies to representations of a graup G because a representation of G is the same thing as a representation of the group algebra IFG. The following result is very important and gives a motivation to consider completely reducible representations.

Thm (Maschke): Let 161<00. Further, assume that either char F=0 or char F>0 but char FXIGI. Then every (finite dimensional) representation of G over F is completely reducible.

We'll prove this theorem in the next lecture. We'll also see that this statement implies one from Sec 2 of Lec 1.

Remark: Let's see how the conclusion of the theorem fails if one of the conditions doesn't hold:

1) Suppose p= char I- divides n, let C=Sn & V=IF,"  $U = F_o^n$  A complement U' must have dim = 1. So U'= For with  $\gamma$ 

 $\upsilon = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Assume  $n \neq 2$  (the case n = 2 is left as an exercise).  $\forall g \in S_n \ w. \ g^2 = 1 (i = g = (i, j))$  we have  $g\upsilon = \pm \upsilon$ . One can check that this implies  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n$ . A contradiction:  $\upsilon \in \mathbb{F}_0^n$  b/c pln.

2) Consider the group (= [(01) | x \in F ] = GL\_ (F), infinite if F is, and its representation in F<sup>2</sup> given by the inclusion into GL(F). From  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + xb \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$ , one sees that  $\mathcal{U} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} | a \in \mathbb{F}^{2} \}$  is the only proper subrepresentation. So F is not completely reducible.

2.1) General properties of completely reducible representations. One can ask whether the complete reducibility is preserved under the natural operations w. modules. The answer is Yes,

Lemma: Let V, V2 be completely reducible A-modules, Then (i) Every submodule U, <V, is completely reducible. (ii)  $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$  is completely reducible.

We'll prove this next time.

Corollary: Let V be a finite dimensional A-module. TFAE: (a) V is completely reducible. (b) V is isomorphic to the direct sum of irreducible modules.

Proof: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) is exercise -use induction on dim V & i) of

Lemma.

(b) ⇒ (a) follows from ii) of Lemma by induction on the number of summands.  $\square$ 

The corollary allows to reduce the study of completely reducible modules to the study of irreducible ones.