Lecture B1: More on representations of symmetric groups, pt. 2

Schur-Weyl duality. 1) Schur-Weyl duality. Rets: [E], Secs 5.18, 5.19.

1.0) Introduction. One reason why the symmetric groups are important for Representation theory is that their representations are closely related to representations of other groups/algebras The most classical of these connections is the Schur-Weyl duality (discovered by Schur) that relates the representations of symmetric groups and of general linear groups.

1.1) Polynomial representations of GL(V) Fix an algebraically closed char O field F and let V be an n-dimensional vector space over F. A choice of

a basis in V gives an identification of GL(V) w.  $GL_{n}(F)$ and defines the n<sup>2</sup> distinguished functions of GL(V), the matrix entries  $x_{ij}$ , i,j=1,...n. Similarly, for a representation of GL(V) in a space W (say, of dim=m), we can choose a basis in W identifying GL(W) w.  $GL_{m}(F)$ . The representation gives us m<sup>2</sup> functions on GL(V), its matrix coefficients.

Definition: Let dE 12. We say W is a polynomial representation of degree d if its matrix coefficients are homogeneous degree & polynomials in the Xij's.

Exercise: Show that this is well-defined (independent of the choices of bases in V&W).

· Direct sums, sub- and quotient representations of a polynomial degree d'representation are polynomial of degree d.

Example: V itself is a polynomial representation of degree 1. V<sup>&d</sup> is polynomial of degree d (more generally, if W, Wz

are polynomial representations of degrees dy, dz, then W, & Wz is a polynomial representation of degree d,+d.).

1.2) Schur-Weyl duality The starting observation here is that V<sup>&d</sup> also carries a representation of  $S_1 : G'(v_1 \otimes ... \otimes v_1) = V_{G(n)} \otimes V_{G(2)} \otimes ... \otimes V_{G(d)}$ It commutes with the representation of GL(V) (g(v, @... @v,) = qV, @qv, @....@qv,) giving us a representation of GL(V) × Sj. This gives a way of constructing more polynomial representations of GL(V). Namely, let U be a representation of Sz. The representation of GL(V) in V<sup>&d</sup> gives rise to a representation in Homs, (U, V<sup>&d</sup>) (by acting on the target), it's also polynomial of deg & (exercise). For a partition 2 of d define  $S^{\lambda}(V) := Hom_{S_{\gamma}}(V_{\lambda}, V^{\otimes \alpha}).$ Kecall from Lecture B1 that  $V_{\lambda} = (FS_{\lambda})\varepsilon_{\lambda}$ , where  $\varepsilon_{\lambda}$ is the Young symmetrized. By Lemme in Sec 1 of that lecture  $S^{\lambda}(V) = \varepsilon_{\lambda}(V^{\otimes d})$ .

Examples: · Let 2= (d). Then Ez is the averaging idempotent  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{|S_{\nu}|} \sum_{v \in S} 6$  and  $S^{\lambda}(v)$  consists of symmetric tensors, i.e S<sup>1</sup>(V) is the dth symmetric power, S<sup>d</sup>(V). • Similarly, for  $\lambda = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} sgn(\sigma) \sigma$ , and  $S^{\lambda}(V)$  consists of skew-symmetric tensors, i.e.  $S^{\lambda}(V)$  is the dth exterior power. In general, the structure of S<sup>2</sup>(V) is not easy to describe. Here's the main result of Schur-Weyl duality, see Secs 5.18-5.19 in [E].

Theorem: 1) The representation  $S^{\lambda}(V)$  of GL(V) is irreducible if  $\lambda_i^t \leq n$  or 0 else. 2) We have  $V^{\otimes d} \simeq \bigoplus S^{\lambda}(V) \otimes V_{\lambda}$ , the decomposition into the direct sum of irreducible CL(V) × Sz-modules.

In fact, 1) is not fully proved in [E]. Let's show that  $\frac{S^{\lambda}(v) = \{o\} \quad if \quad \lambda, t > n.}{4}$ 

Lemma: For representations U; of Sd., i=1,2, we have an Isomorphism of GL(V)-representations: Hom (Ind Sdi+dz U, &Uz, V&di+dz)  $\simeq Hom_{S_1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}^{\otimes d_1}) \otimes Hom_{S_2}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}^{\otimes d_2})$ 

Proof: We use the Frobenius reciprocity in the form, where Ind is left adjoint to Res (see Bonus to Lec 14). Apply this to the l.h.s. of the desired isomorphism to get:  $Hom_{S_{\mathcal{L}}} \times S_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}} (U, \otimes U_{2}, V^{\otimes (d_{1}+d_{2})}).$ To identify this with the r.h.s. is an exercise

In particular,  $Hom_{S_d}(I_{\lambda}, V^{\otimes d}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lambda_i} (\Lambda^{\lambda_j^t} V)$ . If  $\lambda_i^t = n$ , then  $\Lambda^{\lambda_j^t} V = \{0\}$ , hence  $Hom_{S,j}(I_{\lambda,j}, V^{\otimes d}) = \{0\}$  and so  $S^{\lambda}(V) = Hom_{S_{1}}(V_{\lambda}, V^{\otimes \lambda}) = \{o\}$ In fact, if  $\lambda_n^t \leq n$ , then  $S^{\lambda}(V) \neq \{0\}$  but this is harder.