Lecture 11. 1) Filtered quantizations of C[N]. 2) Main classification result. 3) Namikawa-Cartan space & construction of quantizations.

Ref: [[1], [N2a], [N26]

1) Let G be a semisimple algebraic group & Nog\*(~g) be the nilpotent cone. In Lecture 11 we have constructed a family of filtered Poisson deformations of CINJ parameterized by points of of # // C ~ K / W. More precisely, C[g\*]" is the Poisson center of C[g\*]. For  $\lambda \in g^*//G$ , let My C [og\*] be its maximal ideal. Then we can form the filtered Poisson deformation In: = [[og\*]/C[og\*]Mz of C[N]. Recall that the center Z of Ulog) is also identified w. C[5\*] W (the Harish-Chandra isomorphism). So to  $\lambda \in L^*/W$  we can assign the algebra  $U_{\lambda} = U(\sigma)/U(\sigma)m_{\lambda}$ . It inherits the filtration from the PBW filtration of  $U(\sigma)$ , & we have deg  $[; ] \le -1 \rightarrow \deg -1$  bracket on  $\operatorname{gr} U_{\lambda}$ .

Theorem: U, is a filtered quantization of CLN] (w. grading induced from the usual grading on S(g)).

Proof:

Step 1: Here we are going to establish a graded Poisson algebra epimorphism C[N] -> or Uz. Recall free homogeneous generators f,..., f, (r=rkoj) of C[oj] = C[k]. We can view them as elements of Z, in this case we write f. fr. So Uz taxes the form  $\mathcal{U}_{i} = \mathcal{U}(o_{j})/(f_{i}-a_{i})_{i=1}^{\prime}$  for  $a_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Under the isomorphism of  $\mathcal{U}(o_{j})$ ~ S(og), the class fi-ai + U(og) < di (di = deg fi) is fi. So (f,...fr) - gr ((fi-ai)) ~ graded algebra epimorphism  $\mathbb{C}[N] = \mathbb{C}[o_1^*]/(f_1, f_r) \longrightarrow [\operatorname{qr} \mathcal{U}(o_1)]/[\operatorname{qr}((f_i - \alpha_i))] = \operatorname{qr} \mathcal{U}_1$ It's Poisson: we have a commutative diagram  $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{C}[g^*] \xrightarrow{\sim} gr \ \mathcal{U}(g) \\ \mathbb{O}[ & ]^{\mathfrak{O}} \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} gr \ \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \end{array}$ 

where arrows (1), (2), (3) are Poisson (details are exercise).

Step 2: It remains to show that  $\mathbb{C}[N] \longrightarrow gr \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$  is iso.

Note that the elements f, f, pairwise commute. So air claim is a consequence of the following.

Claim: Let A be a 71/20-graded Noetherian Poisson C-algebra w. deg {; 3 = -d < 0. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be its filtered quantization. Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\leq d_i}$ &  $f_i = f_i + f_{e_d} \in A_i$ ,  $i = 1, r, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}$ . Suppose that: (a)  $f_{1} \dots f_{r} \in A$  form a regular sequence.  $(b) [f_i, f_k] = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{C}_{jk}^i f_i \quad w. \quad \hat{C}_{ij}^k \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq d_i + d_j - d - d_{k_j}}$ Then gr  $\mathcal{A}(\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_r) = \mathcal{A}(\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_r)$ expected degree

Proof of Claim: Assume the contrary: I ero & b; E Aze-d; W.  $b_i := \hat{b}_i + \hat{H}_{<e-d_i} (\in A_{e-d_i})$  the top degree (nonzero) term in  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{b}_i \hat{f}_i$ is not in A(f,...,fr). Assume e is minimal so that this happens. The deg e term in  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i f_i$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i f_i - it$  must be 0:  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i f_i$  is in  $A(f_{i}, f_{r})$ , if it's nonzero, it is the top deg nonzero term of  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{b}_{i}f_{i}$ . Using (a) & Fact in Sec 2.1 of Lec 10 we see I bij EA s.t. bij = -bji & bi = 5 bijfj. We can assume that bij = Ae-di-dj Lift them to  $\hat{b_{ij}} \in \mathcal{F}_{se-d_i} - d_i$  w.  $\hat{b_{ij}} = -\hat{b_{ij}}$ . Then  $\hat{b_{ij}} = \hat{b_i} - \sum_{j=1}^r \hat{b_{ij}} \hat{f_j} \in \mathcal{F}_{se-d_i}$ . We have: 3]

 $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{b_i} \hat{f_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{b_i} \hat{f_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \hat{b_{ij}} \hat{f_i} \hat{f_j} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b_{ij}} = -\hat{b_{ij}} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{b_i} \hat{f_i} \hat{f_i}$  $+ \sum_{j < \kappa} \hat{b}_{j\kappa} [\hat{f}_{j}, \hat{f}_{\kappa}] = [(b)] = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\hat{b}_{i}' + \sum_{j < \kappa} \hat{b}_{j\kappa} \hat{c}_{j\kappa}^{i}) \hat{f}_{i}$  $\in \mathcal{A}_{<e-d_{i}}$ This contradicts the minimality of e & finishes the proof of Kem: Both filtered Poisson deformations (Sec 2 of Lec 10) & filtered quantizations of C[N] we have constructed are specializations of the same algebra: the C[5/W][th]-algebra R<sub>4</sub>(U): for Poisson deformations we look at specializations at h=0, and for quantizations - at h=1.

2) Main classification result. Let X be a conical symplectic singularity. The following theorem is the main result of the 1st (larger) part of the COUVSE.

Theorem: There is a finite dimensional Namikawa-Cartan space, and a finite reflection group W<sub>x</sub> = GL(G<sub>x</sub>), Namikawa-Weyl group,

s.t. 5, 1W, (an affine space!) is in natural bijections w. · Ifiltered Poisson deformations of C[X]}/iso ([Nla], [N26]) · {filtered quantizations of C[X]}/iso ([1])

Example: Let X = N. Then  $b_x = b^* (\simeq b - the canonical identin$ is with 5\*) & W\_=W. In fact, the above construction yields the classification.

Kemark: As in the case of X=N, the filtered Poisson deformations & filtered quantizations are specializations (at t=0 & at h=1) of a "universal detormation", a graded C[5x/Wx][h]algebra free over  $\mathbb{C}[b_x/W_x][h]$  and specializing to  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  at  $(0,0) \in$ 5/W×C.

3) Namikawa-Cartan space and construction of quantizations, We will start our (long) discussion of Theorem from Sec 2 by explaining the geometric meaning of bx (part of a reason, 5x & 5x /Wx are isomorphic affine spaces).

5

3.1) The case when X has a symplectic resolution. Suppose  $\pi: Y \longrightarrow X$  is a symplectic resolution.

Fact (to be elaborated later in the course):  $b_{x} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ .

Example: X=N. Then for Y we take T\*(C/B) ~ (×<sup>B</sup>K. Then we have  $H^{2}(T^{*}(G/B), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2}(G/B, \mathbb{C})$ . The latter is identified with 5.\* For example, G/B has a stratification by affine spaces (the Schubert stretification). It follows that Hond (G/B, 72)= {03, while H"(G/B, R) is a free abelian group whose basis is labelled by the codim i strate. For i=1, the codim 2 strate are labelled by the simple reflections, the corresponding basis element in H<sup>2</sup>(G/B, C) corresponds to the simple root in K.\*

Let's explain what role a symplectic resolution plays. Recall that X has rational singularities (Sec 1.3 of Lec 9). So we have  $R_{\mathcal{T}_{*}}^{i}O_{y}=0$  for i>0 (and  $\mathcal{T}_{*}O_{y} \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{x}$ ). The usefulness of this is 2-fold (we'll elaborate on this later in the course). • One can talk about quantizations of  $O_{y}$  -these are certain

sheaves of algebras on Y. Since Y is smooth & symplectic &  $H'(Y, O_y) = 0, i = 1, 2, (b/c R' T_* O_y = 0 & X is affine), one can show$ that the quantizations of  $O_{y}$  are classified by  $H^{2}(Y, C)$ . • For  $\lambda \in Y_x = H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$ , let  $D_{\lambda}$  denote the corresponding quantization of Oy. Thx to H'(Y,Oy)=0, (D) is a quantization of C[Y] = C[X]. This turns out to exhaust all quantizations of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  and  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{\chi}) \simeq \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{\chi})$  as quantizations  $\iff \lambda' \in W_{\chi} \lambda$ .

3.2) General case In general, a conical symplectic singularity X doesn't admit a symplectic resolution. Our first attempt could be to take some resolution sr: Y -> X and try to argue as in the previous section. This doesn't work: to talk about quantizations of Y, Y needs to be Poisson, and in general it's not On the other hand we can talk about partial Poisson resolutions.

Definition: Let Y be a normal Poisson variety. A morphism  $\mathfrak{P}: Y \longrightarrow X$  is called a partial Poisson resolution if: 7

· it's proper & bivational (note that we do not require Y to be smooth so or is only a partial resolution). · it's Poisson (meaning that for open affines X'CX, Y'CY w.  $\mathcal{T}(Y') \subset X'$  the homomorphism  $\mathcal{T}^*: \mathbb{C}[X'] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[Y']$  is Poisson).

Here's an important property of Y. Proposition: Y is singular symplectic.

Proof: We need to show that Y<sup>reg</sup> is symplectic & the symplectic form extends to a resolution of Y. Let Py, Px denote the Poisson bivectors of X reg & Y reg Let  $Y = Y \stackrel{res}{\leftarrow} be open s.t. \pi: Y \longrightarrow X$  is an open embedding. So  $\pi^*(\mathcal{F}_{\chi}|_{\pi(y_0)}) = \mathcal{F}_{y}|_{y_0}$  b/c  $\pi$  is Poisson. On the other hand, we can find a resolution  $p: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ that is iso over Y . Since X is singular symplectic, for the symplectic form  $\omega_{\chi}^{reg}$  on  $\chi^{reg}$ , we know that  $(p \circ \pi)^* \omega_{\chi} reg$ extends to Z. Hence  $\mathcal{T}^{*}(\omega_{\chi}^{res}|_{\pi(\gamma^{0})})$  extends to a regular 2-form on Yreg Note that  $\omega_x^{reg} \& P_x|_{x^{reg}}$  are inverse to each other. Being  $\overline{8}$ 

unverse is a polynomial condition. So the extensions, Pylyreg of Sylyo, and (por) \* W reg lyreg of  $\pi^*(W_X^{reg}|_{\pi(Y^0)})$ , are inverse to each other. Hence Y'es is symplectic, let wy denote the symplectic form.

Note that  $(\rho \circ \pi)^* \omega_X^{reg} \& \rho^* \omega_y^{reg}$  coincide on  $\rho^{-1}(\gamma^o)$ . So the 2form on Z extending the former also extends the latter.  $\square$ 

Exercise: IT is an isomorphism over X."

The variety Y is still singular, so one cannot classify its quantizations directly. However, it turns out that one can choose I to be maximal (meaning that there are no nontrivial partial Poisson resolutions to Y). This is a nontrivial result. Moreover, for such Y we have codim, Y Y'es 7.4, from which one can deduce that  $\mathbb{C}[\gamma^{\text{reg}}] \leftarrow \mathbb{C}[\gamma] \leftarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \otimes H'(\gamma^{\text{reg}}\mathcal{O}_{\gamma})$ =0 for i=12. Then one can apply the classification of quantizations to Y<sup>reg</sup> instead of Y, they are parameterized by H<sup>2</sup>(Y<sup>reg</sup>C). And so we set  $f_{X} = H^{2}(Y^{reg}C)$ .

We'll elaborate on the algebraic geometry of Y in the next lecture & on classification of deformations later.

