

Lecture 18

- 1) Wrap-up on Poisson deformations & \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalizations.
- 2) Sheaves of twisted differential operators.

Ref: [LMBM]; [G], Sec 2.

1.1) Construction of universal graded Poisson deformation.

Let $G, \sigma, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, X, L, P, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2, X_2$ have the same meaning as before. Let $z := (\mathbb{K}/[\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}])^*$. We have seen in Lects 16 & 17 that if L is minimal w. $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \text{Ind}_L^G(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2)$, then $Y = \text{Ind}_P^G(X_2)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalization of X . We have also seen in Sec. 2 of Lec 15, for $\lambda \in z$, $\mathbb{C}[Y_\lambda]$ is a filtered Poisson deformation of $\mathbb{C}[X]$. In the course of the proof we have seen that $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Y_{\mathbb{C}z}]$ is a graded Poisson deformation of X . The following can be proved along the same lines.

Theorem: $X_z := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Y_z]$ is a graded Poisson deformation of X over z .

So, $X_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times_{\mathfrak{h}_X/W_X} X_{\mathfrak{h}_X/W_X}$ for unique $Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_X/W_X$, by Namikawa's thm from Sec 1.6 in Lec 16. We want to determine $Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_X/W_X$.

Note that by Lemma in Sec 1.2 of Lec 16, we have $\mathcal{X}(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(Y) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(Y^{\text{reg}})$ (w. finite cokernel). And by Sec 1.3 in Lec 16, $\mathfrak{h}_X = H^2(Y^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(Y^{\text{reg}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. We conclude that $Z = \mathcal{X}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(Y^{\text{reg}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}_X$.

Fact: This is the quotient morphism for $W_X \curvearrowright Z = \mathfrak{h}_X$.

Explanation: For any \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalization Y of a conical symplectic singularity X , Namikawa established a "universal graded deformation" $Y_{\mathfrak{h}_X}$, a Poisson scheme over \mathfrak{h}_X w. 0-fiber Y .

He checked that $W_X \curvearrowright X_{\mathfrak{h}_X} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Y_{\mathfrak{h}_X}]$ & $X_{\mathfrak{h}_X/W_X} := X_{\mathfrak{h}_X}/W_X$.

By the universality, $\exists!$ linear $Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_X$ s.t. $Y_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times_{\mathfrak{h}_X/W_X} Y_{\mathfrak{h}_X}$.

And one can show that this map is injective, hence an isomorphism,

see **Proposition 7.2.2** in [LMBM]. \square

In particular, X_Z is independent of the choice of P (**exercise**).

2]

Example: Let $X=N$. Then $Y_Z = G \times^B (g/k)^*$, Sec 1.1 of Lec 14.

We have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_Z & \longrightarrow & g^* \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \\ Y_Z^* & \longrightarrow & Y_Z^*/W \end{array}$$

$\leadsto Y_Z \rightarrow g^* \times_{Y_Z^*/W} Y_Z^* = [\text{exercise}] = X_Z$; $W_x = W$ acts on Y_Z^* -factor &
 $X_Z/W = g^* \times_{Y_Z^*/W} Y_Z^*/W = g^* = [\text{Ex in Sec 1.6 of Lec 16}] = X_{Y_Z^*/W}$.

1.2) Classification of Q -factorial terminalizations.

Fact: 1) The pair (M, \tilde{Q}_M) s.t. $\text{Ind}_Q^G(X)$ is a Q -factorial terminalization of X is determined uniquely from X .

2) \forall Q -factorial terminalization of X has the form $\text{Ind}_Q^G(X_M)$ for some parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ w. Levi M .

Explanation: 1) We know that $(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_x$. From here one deduces that M must be minimal among all Levi's s.t. \tilde{O} is induced from a cover for M . For such M , any cover \tilde{Q}_M s.t. $\tilde{O} = \text{Ind}_M^G(\tilde{Q}_M)$ is birationally rigid. Details are left as an exercise.

Consider a graded Poisson deformation X_Z , where $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ is a

domain. One can follow the argument in Sec 1.1 of Lec 17 to show that for different choices of lift of the moment map from X to $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ there's an automorphism (of a graded Poisson deformation) of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ intertwining them: it belongs to $\exp\{K, \cdot\}$, where K is as in the proof there, this is based on Mal'cev's thm ([B] Ch. 1, Sec 6.8), left as *exercise*.

Pick a Zariski generic element $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and form $(M, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_M)$ of a Levi M & an M -equiv't cover $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_M$ of a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{m}^* as in the end of Sec 1.2 of Lec 17: e.g. $M = Z_G(\bar{\xi}_s)$, where $\bar{\xi} = \mu(x)$ for Zariski generic $x \in X_p$. The pair $(M, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_M)$ is independent of the choice of \mathbb{Z} . This is a relatively technical & relatively standard algebro-geometric result based on the observation that there are only finitely many choices of $(M, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_M)$ up to G -conjugacy. For non-generic $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the corresponding M' contains a conjugate of M . Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \text{Ind}_{M'}^G(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{M'})$.

If we apply this to $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$, $X_{\mathbb{Z}} = X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ from Thm in Sec 1.1, then $M = L$. And the smallest possible M comes from the universal deformation $X_{\mathfrak{y}_x, \mathfrak{w}_x}$. The corresponding pair $(M, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_M)$ is what we need. Details are left as *exercise*.

2) By some standard birational geometry, to show that there are no other \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminalizations amounts to showing that the ample cones of all $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}}^G(X_M)$ cover $\mathcal{K}_{X, \mathbb{R}} = H^2(Y^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{R})$ (for fixed Y - these spaces are identified for different choices of Y)

By Sec 1.2 of Lec 16), $\text{Pic}(\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}}^G(X_M)) \simeq \mathcal{X}(M)$. Under this identification ample (relative to $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}}^G(X_M) \rightarrow X$) line bundles correspond to characters of M that are strictly dominant for P so the ample cone in $\mathcal{K}_{X, \mathbb{R}}$ is the cone of real dominant wts. These cones (for various \mathbb{Q}) cover $\mathcal{K}_{X, \mathbb{R}}$, yielding our result. \square

2) Sheaves of twisted differential operators.

2.0) Motivation.

We now proceed to the quantum part of the story. Our goal is to produce filtered quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$. This will be done by quantizing $Y = \text{Ind}_P^G(X_2)$ - we'll explain what we mean by this later - and taking the global sections. The quantizations of Y will be constructed by quantum Hamiltonian reduction.

Note that some of Y 's are of the form $T^*(G/P)$ for a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$. There is a general classification of

filtered quantizations of T^*Y_0 (for smooth Y_0): the quantizations are sheaves of twisted differential operators. This is what we are going to explain now.

2.1) TDO, affine case.

Let Y_0 be a smooth affine variety.

Definition: By an algebra of **twisted differential operators** on Y_0 we mean a filtered quantization of $\mathbb{C}[T^*Y_0]$

Recall that $\mathbb{C}[T^*Y] = S_A(V)$ w. $A = \mathbb{C}[Y_0]$, $V = \text{Vect}(Y_0)$.

So we have a SES $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq 1} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ of A -modules that splits b/c V is a projective A -module, but the splitting is not unique, if we fix one, say $\iota: V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\leq 1}$, then the others take the form $\iota + d$ w. $d: V \rightarrow A$, A -linear map i.e. a 1-form on Y_0 . Note that A & $\iota(V)$ generate \mathcal{D} as an algebra b/c A & V generate $S_A(V) = \text{gr } \mathcal{D}$.

Recall (Lec 2) that the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[T^*Y_0]$ is recovered from:

$$\{f_1, f_2\} = 0$$

$$(1) \quad \{\xi_1, f_1\} = \xi_1 \cdot f_1 \quad \swarrow \text{bracket in } V$$

$$\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} = [\xi_1, \xi_2]_V, \quad f_1, f_2 \in A, \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in V.$$

It follows that in \mathcal{D} we have:

$$[f_1, f_2]_{\mathcal{D}} = 0 \quad \text{def'n of } \mathcal{D}$$

$$(2) \quad f_1 \iota(\xi_1) = \iota(f_1 \xi_1), \quad [\iota(\xi_1), f_1]_{\mathcal{D}} = \xi_1 \cdot f_1$$

$$[\iota(\xi_1), \iota(\xi_2)]_{\mathcal{D}} = \iota([\xi_1, \xi_2]_V) + \beta(\xi_1, \xi_2),$$

where β is a 2-form.

For example, for $[\iota(\xi_1), f_1]_{\mathcal{D}} = \xi_1 \cdot f_1$, we observe that since \mathcal{D} is a filtered quantization of $\mathbb{F}[Y]$, the difference has degree -1 (the expected degree is 0 but the deg 0 term is $\{\xi_1, f_1\} - \xi_1 \cdot f_1 = 0$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\leq -1} = \{0\}$, the equality holds.

Important Exercise: Hint: Cartan Magic formula.

1) The Jacobi identity for $\iota(\xi_1), \iota(\xi_2), \iota(\xi_3)$ is equivalent to $d\beta = 0$.

2) For 1-form $\alpha \exists!$ filt. quantization isomorphism

\neq

$$\mathcal{D}_\beta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\beta+d\alpha} \text{ w. } f \mapsto f, \langle \xi \rangle \mapsto \langle \xi \rangle + \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle.$$

This gives rise to a map from the set of isomorphism classes of TDO (as quantizations) to $H_{\text{DR}}^2(Y_0) = H^2(Y_0, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition: This map is an isomorphism.

Sketch of proof:

Let \mathcal{D}_β denote the algebra generated by A, V w. relations (2). Then we have a natural graded algebra epimorphism $S_A(V) \twoheadrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_\beta$. We need to show it's an iso.

Pick $y \in Y_0$ and let \hat{A} denote the completion of A at the maximal ideal corresponding to y . Note that $\hat{A} \otimes_A S_A(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\hat{A}}(\hat{V})$, where $\hat{V} = \hat{A} \otimes_A V$. Also $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\beta := \hat{A} \otimes_A \mathcal{D}_\beta$ is naturally an algebra: it's generated by \hat{A} & \hat{V} w. relations (2).

But it's independent of β up to quantization iso (see (2) of

Important Exercise) by a formal version of Poincaré lemma.

Next, we know that $S_A(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_0$ (\mathcal{D}_0 is the usual DO) so

$$\hat{A} \otimes_A S_A(V) \longrightarrow \hat{A} \otimes_A \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_\beta \not\sim y. \text{ This implies } S_A(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_\beta,$$

details are left as an *exercise*. □

2.2) TDO, general case.

Now assume Y_0 is not affine (but still smooth). Consider the projection $\pi: Y = T^*Y_0 \rightarrow Y_0$. Then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a graded sheaf of Poisson algebras on Y_0 . We can talk about its filtered quantizations. By definition, this is a sheaf \mathcal{D} of filtered algebras on Y_0 w. filtration $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_{\leq i}$ by \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} -coherent modules together w. a graded Poisson algebra iso $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{D}$. Such a quantization is called a sheaf of twisted differential operators.

Let's explain how to classify sheaves of TDO. Pick an open affine cover $Y_0 = \bigcup_i Y_i^0$. From $\mathcal{D}(Y_i^0)$ we can read a closed 2-form $\beta_i \in \Gamma(Y_i^0, \Omega_{Y_0}^2)$ (defined up to adding an exact form). Then we have isomorphisms of filtered quantizations $\mathcal{D}_{\beta_i}(Y_{ij}^0) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{ji}} \mathcal{D}_{\beta_j}(Y_{ij}^0)$ (w. $Y_{ij}^0 = Y_i^0 \cap Y_j^0$), they give rise to $d_{ji} \in \Gamma(Y_{ij}^0, \Omega_{Y_0}^1)$ w. $\varphi_{ji}: f \mapsto f, \mathfrak{F} \mapsto \mathfrak{F} + \langle d_{ij}, \mathfrak{F} \rangle$ s.t. $\beta_j - \beta_i = d d_{ji}$ (on Y_{ij}^0). The isomorphisms φ_{ji} satisfy the cocycle condition $\varphi_{ik} \circ \varphi_{kj} \circ \varphi_{ji} = \text{id}$ on Y_{ijk}^0 . This translates

to $d_{ik} + d_{kj} + d_{ji} = 0$. In other words, (β_i, d_{ji}) is a 2-cocycle in the "truncated Čech-De Rham complex": the Čech complex of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}^{\geq 1} := (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}^2 \rightarrow \dots)$. And (β_i, d_{ji}) is defined uniquely up to adding a 2-coboundary: $(dd_i, d_j - d_i)$. So we arrive at:

Conclusion: Filtered quantizations of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ (a.k.a. sheaves of TDO on Y_0) are classified (up to isomorphism of quantizations) by the hypercohomology $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}^{\geq 1})$.

Rem: in a number of situations $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}^{\geq 1})$ is the same as $H_{DR}^2(Y_0) = H^2(Y_0, \mathbb{C})$. For example, this is case when Y_0 is "pure" (non-diagonal Hodge #'s vanish), which is the case when Y_0 admits a stratification by affine spaces. The parabolic flag varieties G/P have this property (take the Schubert stratification).