

Lecture 20.

1) TDD's vs Quantum Hamiltonian reduction.

2) Quantizations of induced varieties.

1.0) Introduction: In this section we'll give an example of computation of Quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Consider the following situation. Let Y_0 be a smooth variety, H be an algebraic group and \tilde{Y}_0 is a principal H -bundle on Y_0 . Then H acts on $T^*\tilde{Y}_0$ and also on the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0}$. Both actions are Hamiltonian w. classical/quantum comoment map $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto \mathfrak{F}_{\tilde{Y}_0}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\tilde{Y}_0)$ (note that we haven't discussed quantum Hamiltonian actions on sheaves. The claim of Example 2 in Sec 2.1 of Lec 19 is still true. In our case it reduces to the affine case b/c the morphism $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is affine (so $U \subset Y_0$ affine \Rightarrow so is $\pi^{-1}(U)$).

The following proposition generalizes Example 1 in Sec 1.2 of Lec 13 (that deals w. $Y_0 = G/H$, $\tilde{Y}_0 = G$). We'll comment on a proof later.

Proposition: There's a natural symplectomorphism $T^*\tilde{Y}_0 //_0 H \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*Y_0$.
 Moreover, $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow T^*Y_0$ is a principal H -bundle.

Define a q -coh't sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_{\lambda} H$ on Y_0 as follows: for $U \subset Y_0$ open affine set $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_{\lambda} H(U) := \mathcal{D}(\sigma^{-1}(U)) //_{\lambda} H$ & for inclusion $V \subset U$, the restriction map $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_{\lambda} H(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_{\lambda} H(V)$ is induced by the restriction map $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\sigma^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\sigma^{-1}(V))$.

Lemma in Sec 2.3 of Lec 19 applies and so $\forall \lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^H$, $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_{\lambda} H$ is a filtered quantization of $T^*\tilde{Y}_0 //_0 H = T^*Y_0$, hence a sheaf of TDO. We want to identify this sheaf of TDO for $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*H$ via $d_i: \mathcal{X}(H) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}^*)^H$. Write $\lambda = z_1 X_1 + \dots + z_k X_k$. Then X_e gives a line bundle on Y_0 , L_{X_e} , whose total space is $(\tilde{Y}_0 \times \underbrace{\mathbb{C}_{X_e}}_{H \text{ acts via } X_e})/H$. Set $\mathcal{D}_{Y_0}^{\lambda} := \mathcal{D}_{\bigotimes_{e=1}^k L_{X_e}^{\otimes z_e}}$.

Theorem: We have an isomorphism of filtered quantizations

$$\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_{\lambda} H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{Y_0}^{\lambda}.$$

We won't need the theorem, so we'll only prove it in the special case $\lambda=0$.

2

1.1) Vector fields on Y_0 vs \tilde{Y}_0 .

Let $\pi: \tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ be the natural morphism. Then $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0} \simeq (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0})^H$.

We write $V_{Y_0}, V_{\tilde{Y}_0}$ for the sheaves of vector fields. We want to describe the former in terms of the latter, similarly to the description of functions above. Set $\mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}_0} := \{\xi_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{h}\} \subset V(\tilde{Y}_0) \rightarrow$ subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \subset V_{\tilde{Y}_0}$, these are exactly the vector fields tangent to the fibers of π . Note that for $U \subset Y_0$ (open affine), elements from $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\pi^{-1}(U))$ annihilate $\mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)]^H = \mathbb{C}[U]$ (b/c $\xi_{\tilde{Y}_0}$ do).

So, for $\zeta \in V_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\pi^{-1}(U)) / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\pi^{-1}(U))$, the differentiation $\zeta: \mathbb{C}[U] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)]$ is well-defined. And H naturally acts on the quotient. For H -invariant ζ , we have $\zeta(\mathbb{C}[U]) \subset \mathbb{C}[U]$. And $\zeta: \mathbb{C}[U] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[U]$ is a derivation (exercise).

This gives rise to an \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} -linear map

$$R_{Y_0}: \pi_* (V_{\tilde{Y}_0} / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}_0})^H \longrightarrow V_{Y_0}, \zeta \mapsto \zeta|_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}} \quad (1)$$

Lemma: (1) is an isomorphism.

Sketch of proof: This is easy when $\tilde{Y}_0 \simeq H \times Y_0$: here

$$\pi_* V_{\tilde{Y}_0} \simeq V_{Y_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[H] \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_H(H). \text{ In general, it's enough to check}$$

3 |

(1) on an open (Zariski/etale) cover, where π trivializes. The case when $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is Zariski locally trivial is easy, this is the case in our applications, where $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is $G \rightarrow G/P$ or $G \rightarrow G/U$.

In general, let $\varphi: Z \rightarrow Y_0$ be an etale cover s.t.

$Z \times_{Y_0} \tilde{Y}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times H$. Then one checks that:

$V_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^* V_{Y_0}$, $(V_{Z \times H} / \mathcal{O}_{Z \times H} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z \times H}} \mathcal{H}_{Z \times H})^H \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^* (V_{\tilde{Y}_0} / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}_0}} \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{Y}_0})^H$ &
 $R_Z = \varphi^* R_{Y_0}$. Then we use that φ^* is faithful & exact so if R_Z is an isomorphism, then so is R_{Y_0} . Details are *exercise*. \square

Now note that the Lie bracket on V_{Y_0} gives rise to a well-defined bracket on the l.h.s. of (1). Then (1) is an isom'm of Lie algebras. Details are also left as an *exercise*.

1.2) Theorem for $\lambda=0$

We now prove the theorem in the case when $\lambda=0$. Our goal is to construct a \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} -linear sheaf of algebras homomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{Y_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \parallel H$, which turns out to be an isomorphism.

Recall that \mathcal{D}_{Y_0} is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}, V_{Y_0}$. So we'll first construct \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} -linear maps $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}, V_{Y_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \parallel H$.

Consider open affine $U \subset Y$. Consider the composition

$$\mathbb{C}[U] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) / \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}}.$$

It's H -equivariant so the image is in $\mathcal{D} //_{\circ} H(U)$. This gives rise to the desired map $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} //_{\circ} H$.

Similarly, we have the map $V_{\tilde{Y}}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) / \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}}$. It vanishes on $\mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)] \mathfrak{h}_{\tilde{Y}}$ and is H -equivariant. Using (1), we get the desired map $V_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} //_{\circ} H$.

Exercise: This is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Now that we've constructed maps $\mathcal{O}_Y, V_Y \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} //_{\circ} H$

we need to check that they satisfy the relations of \mathcal{D}_Y

That the sections of \mathcal{O}_Y multiply as in \mathcal{O}_Y is straightforward

That $[\iota(\xi), \iota(f)] = \iota(\xi \cdot f)$ follows from the construction of (1).

And $[\iota(\xi), \iota(\eta)] = \iota([\xi, \eta])$ follows from the previous exercise.

So we get an \mathcal{O}_Y -linear algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} //_{\circ} H. \quad (2)$$

A check that it's an isomorphism we follow the same idea as in the proof of Lemma in the previous section.

• Case 1: $\tilde{Y}_0 = Y_0 \times H$. Then $\pi_* \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}(H)$,
 $\pi_* (\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} / \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{Y}_0}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_0} \otimes \mathcal{D}(H) / \mathcal{D}(H) \mathcal{H}_H$ &
 $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} //_0 H \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}(H) //_0 H}_{\text{quant'n of pt} = \mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_0}$, w. (2) being the inverse of
the resulting identification.

• Case 2: general - we argue as in the proof of Lemme in
Sec 1.1.

Rem: Proposition in Sec 1.0 can be proved along the same lines.

2) Quantizations of induced varieties.

Recall that the induced variety $\text{Ind}_P^G(X_2)$ (w. $X_2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2]$)
is obtained as follows. Let $P = L \ltimes U$ be a Levi decomposition.
We consider the action of L on G/U : $l \cdot (gU) = (gl^{-1}, U)$, then
induced action on $T^*(G/U)$ and the diagonal action on
 $T^*(G/U) \times X_2$. It's Hamiltonian w. moment map $\mu_2: ([g, x], x) \mapsto$
 $-d|_{\mathcal{L}} + \mu(x)$, where $\mu: X_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*$ is the moment map. Then
 $Y = \text{Ind}_P^G(X_2) := \mu_2^{-1}(0) / L = G \times^P \{(\alpha, x) \mid d|_{\mathcal{L}} = \mu(x)\}$.

Note that we have the projection $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} G/P$ and the
fiberwise \mathbb{C}^\times -action: $t \cdot [g, (\alpha, x)] = [g, (t^2 \alpha, t \cdot x)]$. The morphism π is

affine \leadsto we can replace Y w. the sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ of graded Poisson algebras w. $\deg \xi; \xi = -2$. And we can talk about its filtered quantizations just as in Sec 2 of Lec 18 in the case of T^*Y . Our goal is: for $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar, \xi]])^*$ construct a quantization \mathcal{D}_λ of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$.

We'll use quantum Hamiltonian reduction. For this, we need to start w. a quantization of $T^*(\mathbb{C}U) \times X_2$ to be reduced.

The first factor is quantized by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}U}$. Assume from now on that X_2 is \mathbb{Q} -factorial & terminal. Here's a fact whose proof we may give at some point later.

Fact: $\mathbb{C}[X_2]$ has a unique filtered quantization. Denote it by \mathcal{A}_2 .

So consider $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}U} \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ viewed as a sheaf of filtered algebras on $\mathbb{C}U$. We are going to equip it w. a Hamiltonian \mathbb{C} -action lifting that on $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$. The group \mathbb{C} acts on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}U}$ w. quantum comoment map $\xi \mapsto \xi_{\mathbb{C}U}$. Now we need to establish a Hamiltonian action of \mathbb{C} on \mathcal{A}_2 .

It's existence follows from:

\square

Proposition: Let A be a positively graded Poisson algebra w. $\deg [\cdot, \cdot] = -d$. Let H be a s/simple group w. Hamiltonian action on A & comoment map $\varphi: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow A_d$. Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered quantization of A . Then $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ lifts to a Hamiltonian action w. quantum comoment map $\varphi: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$ w. $\varphi + \mathcal{A}_{\leq d-1} = \varphi$.

Proof: Essentially repeats its classical counterpart, Sec 1.1 of Lec 17. We can replace H w. a quotient to assume that $\varphi: \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow A_d$. Then consider $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} := \text{preimage of } \mathfrak{h} \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$, a Lie subalgebra that fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq d-1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow 0.$$

The ideal $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d-1}$ is nilpotent b/c $\deg [\cdot, \cdot] \leq -d$. So the SES splits. This gives a locally finite representation of \mathfrak{h} in \mathcal{A} by derivations. It lifts to a rational representation of a simply connected cover \tilde{H} of H on \mathcal{A} by automorphisms. Note that, by the construction, $\text{gr } \mathcal{A} \cong A$ is \tilde{H} -equivariant. Since $\tilde{H} \curvearrowright A$ factors through H , the same is true for $\tilde{H} \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$. □