Lecture 7.

1) Finiteness of number of nilpotent orbits 2) Algebra [[0]

Refs: [[M], Secs 3.4, 3.5; [PV], Sec 1.5; [J], Sec 8.

1) Finiteness of number of nilpotent orbits In the previous two lectures we have explained how to classify the nilpotent orbits in the classical Lie algebras combinatorially. In particular, we see that there are finitely many nilpotent orbits in these algebras. Turns out, this holds for arbitrary s/simple Lie algebras. In what follows we give an argument bases on [PV], Sec. 1.5. For an argument using the theory of Shtriples, [CM], Sec. 3.4 (Malicevis thm) & Sec 3.5. Let G = GL(V) be a s/simple algebraic subgroup.

Proposition: & GL(V)-orbit Ocgl(V), Ong is the disjoint union of finitely many G-orbits (as a scheme).

Proof: It's enough to show that, I x = ONO, we have $T_x G x = T_x(O \cap \sigma_f)$ (1) where in the r.h.s. we view ONog wits natural scheme strive. Indeed, G.X is a locally closed subset in ONoy. Since it's smooth, dim Tx G. x = dim Gx. On the other hand, dim Tx (Olog) > dim, ON of (the local dimin). (1) shows that Gx is open in ONOJ. Since this is true tox, (1) implies the claim of proposition. We have $T_x(G_x) = [\sigma, x]$, while $T_x(O \cap \sigma) = T_x O \cap \sigma =$ = [opl(V), x] Nog. We need to show [og, x]= [opl(V), x] Nog. Every vational representation of G is completely reducible. In particular, $\sigma(v) = \sigma \oplus \sigma^{\circ}$ as C-reps. $[ql(V), x] = [q \oplus q^{\circ}, x] = [x \in q] = [q, x] \oplus [q^{\circ}, x],$ So $[gl(V), x] \land g = [g, x]$, showing (1). ८ ण ୢ୰ୖୢ୰ୖ୲

Corollary 1: Let og be a s/simple Lie algebra. Pick s/simple xEog. Then # { Gycog | Gys=Gx3<~ In particular, there are fin many nilpotent orbits (case x=0).

Proof: Let V be a faithful rep. of some G w. Lie (G) =0]. There are finitely many GL(V)-orbits in of (V) whose s/simple part is in GL(V)x (by JNF-thm). By Proposition, each of them intersects of at finitely many orbits. These are precisely the Gorbits in question. D

Lorollary 2: Let Ocog be an orbit. Then Ocog (the closure in Zariski topology) consists of fin. many C-orbits. Proof: Let x e O, and V be as above. Then GL(V)x consists of finitely many GL(V)-orbits (they all have the same char. polynomial). Then we argue as in the proof of Cor 1.

2) Algebra [[O] (reference: Sec 8 in []]). Let O be a C-equivariant cover of an orbit Ocoj* In particular, it's a smooth symplectic variety & GAD is Hamiltonian w. moment map M: 0 → 0 → 0;* The goal of this section is to understand structures & properties of the Poisson algebra CLOJ. Here's the main result. 3

Theorem: C[Õ] is finitely generated. If O is nilpotent, then I algebra 72, - grading on C[O] s.t. C[O] = C & deg {:, · } = -2.

2.1) Finite generation Here we prove that C[O] is finitely generated. The proof has two ingredients: (I) (I contains finitely many G-orbits - Corollary 2 from Sec 1& they are even dimensional (b/c they are symplectic). (II) A variant of the "Zariski main theorem" for quasifinite morphisms. Recall that a morphism of varieties $\varphi: Y \to X$ is called guasi-finite if $|\varphi^{-1}(x)| < \infty \forall x \in X$. Examples are provided by finite morphisms, open embeddings and compositions of such Suppose now that q is quasi-finite & dominant, Y is normal & X is affine. Then the statements we need are: • the integral closure of $\mathbb{C}[X] \simeq \varphi^* \mathbb{C}[X]$ in $\mathbb{C}[Y]$, call it A, is a finitely generated C[x]-module. It's

also normal (C[Y] is integrally closed in its field of fractions, so A is integrally closed in Frac (A)), so X:= Spec A is a normal affine variety. • Note that $q: Y \to X$ factors as $Y \xrightarrow{q_1} X \xrightarrow{q_2} X$, where q is finite by the construction, and q, turns out to be an open embedding.

We apply (II) to $Y = \widehat{O}$, $X = \overline{O}$ & $\varphi = \mu$ (viewed as a morphism to $\overline{im}_{M} = \overline{O} - dominant$ & quasi-finite).

Lemma: We have $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}] = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}]$. In particular, $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$ is finitely generated. Proof: Since X is normal, it's enough to show that $Codim_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{X} | \widetilde{O} = 2$, then we are done by the Hartogs thm. Since φ_2 is finite, it suffices to show $\varphi_2(\widetilde{X}|\widetilde{O}) \subset \overline{O} \setminus O$, & use that $\operatorname{codim}_{\overline{D}} \overline{O} | O > 2$ the to (I). The containment is equivalent to $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{X} \times \mathcal{O}$. For this note that $\mu: \widetilde{O} \to O$ is finite. This is a general fact: if HCG are algebraic groups & H'CH is

a finite index subgroup, then G/H' -> G/H is finite: one can assume H=H°, then G/H° -> G/H is the quotient morphism for the action of the finite group H/H° on G/H°, such morphisms are always finite. To prove $\widetilde{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{X} \times O$ take $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ vanishing on X Q. Then the localization C[X] git is the integral closure of $\mathbb{C}[X]_{f}$ in $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi^{*}(f)}], \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi^{*}(f)} = \{x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \mid [\varphi^{*}(f)](x) \neq 0\}$. But $\hat{Q}_{T^*(f)}$ is finite over Q_f (b/c \hat{Q} is finite over \hat{Q}) & is normal (6/c it's smooth). So $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\chi}_{p} \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\chi}_{x} \mathcal{O}$. \Box

Kem: By the construction, G acts on X by automorphisms. It's an algebraic group action (i.e the action map $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism): for example, blc $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$ is rational. Note that \widetilde{O} is a unique open orbit in \widetilde{X} .

2.2) Grading. Here we assume that O is nilpotent. Our goal is to establish a grading on C[O] as in the Thm. Gradings are very closely related to C-actions, so we start

with the letter. First, let's describe the group of equivariant automorphisms of a homogeneous space.

Exercise 1: Let H=G be algebraic groups. Then the group of Gequivariant (variety) automorphisms Aut (G/H) is identified w. $N_{c}(H)/H$ acting on G/H by n. $gH \mapsto gn^{-1}H$.

Pick e e and include it into an sh-triple hence getting a homomorphism $S_{L} \rightarrow \sigma$ and hence $S_{L} \rightarrow G$. L'onsider the composition $V: \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow SL_2 \longrightarrow G$. $t \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(t,t^{-1})$

Exercise 2: $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^{\times})$ normalizes $Z_{q}(e)$ and any of its finite index subgroups H.

Now pick $\tilde{e} \in \tilde{O}$ mapping to e and let $H^{:} = G_{\tilde{e}}$ be its stabilizer. We get the action of C on GIH by t. (gH) = g &(t) - 'H (by Exer 1) and the similar action on D: t. (ge) = g8(t) e (where 8(t) is viewed as an element of (). 7

The C-action on $\tilde{O} \rightarrow a$ rational C-action on $C[\tilde{O}]$ \leftrightarrow an algebra grading $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{O}] = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{O}]_{i}$ w. $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]_{i} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}] \mid f = f \notin f\}$

Lemma: $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]_i = \{0\}$ for i < 0 & = \mathbb{C} for i = 0. Moreover, deg {:, }= -2.

Proof: The dominant morphisms $\tilde{O} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ give rise to algebra embeddings $C[\overline{O}] \subset C[O] \subset C[\widetilde{O}]$. Since $0 \rightarrow 0$ is C^* -equivariant, the inclusion C[0] < C[0]is C-equivariant, hence graded. Let's describe the C'-action on O. We have t. (ge)= = $g \delta(t)$ e. The Lie algebra homomorphism $d_{1} \delta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \sigma g$ is given by 1 +>h & [h,e]=2e. Hence &(t) e=te & t. (ge)=tege. In particular, O - of " is C - equivariant for the dilation action. Hence $C^* \cap O$ extends to O, and $C[\overline{O}] \subset C[O]$ is a graded subalgebra. Note that $C[\overline{O}]$ is a graded quotient of $C[g^*] = S(g)$ w deg g = 2. In particular, $\mathbb{C}[\overline{O}] = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{O}]_{<} = \{o\}.$

We'll prove $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}] = \mathbb{C}$ and leave $\mathbb{C}[O]_{<0} = \mathbb{C}$ as an exercise. Note that \tilde{O} is an orbit of an irreducible algebraic group, hence is irreducible ⇒ C[Õ] is a domain. Also it's integral over $\mathbb{C}[\overline{O}]$. For $f \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{O}] \exists a_{n} \dots a_{k-1}$ $\in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}][f^{+}\mathcal{R}_{k-1}f^{+}+\mathcal{R}_{g}=0]$ By passing to deg 0 component of this equation, can assume deg $a_i = 0$ $\forall i \Rightarrow a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Since CLÖ], is a domain, get K=1 so fEC. $finally, we prove deg \{:; \overline{5} = -2 \iff f. \ f: \overline{5} = f^{-2}f: \overline{5} \neq f$ $t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ (where i; j is viewed as a map $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}] \times \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}] \to \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$) Recall (Sec 1.1 of Lec 3) that the bivector on O is lifted from that on Q. So it's enough to show t.f.; 3 = t^{-1} ; 3 on CLO]. Since O is open in O, what we need to show is that deg {f,g} = deg f + deg g - 2 for homogeneous $f,g \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{O}]$. This algebra is generated by the image of σ (living in deg 2) so can assume $f=\overline{5}, g=p\in \overline{0}$. But the map $\sigma \rightarrow C[O]$ (induced by $O \rightarrow \sigma^*$) is the comment map, hence {3, 73= [3, 7] viewed as function on O. The degree of [5, p] is 2 and deg [f, g] = deg f + deg g - 2 follows.

Rem: The argument shows that on C[O] we have a grading w. $\mathbb{C}[O] = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}[O]_{<0} = \{0\} \& \deg \{:, :\} = -1$.

10