

Def: Let G be algic group. By a character of G we mean alg. group homom $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Characters form a group denoted by $\mathcal{X}(G)$

(*) We've seen that for unipotent G , we have $\mathcal{X}(G) = \{\text{id}\}$, while for $G = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, we have $\mathcal{X}(G) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Exer: $\mathcal{X}(G_1 \times G_2) = \mathcal{X}(G_1) \times \mathcal{X}(G_2)$

Lem 3: Every algic subgroup $T_0 \subset$ torus T is a direct product of a torus and finite commutive group. Moreover, $\mathcal{X}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(T_0)$

Proof: There is a bij'n between subgroups $T_0 \subset T$ and $A \subset \mathcal{X}(T)$
 $T_0 \cong \{x \mid x|_{T_0} = 1\}$. Lem follows from class'n of sublattices of \mathbb{Z}^n $\Rightarrow \mathcal{X}(T/T_0)$ \square

3.1) Structure of conn'd solvable groups

Thm 4: Let G be conn'd solvable group, and U its unipotent radical. Then there is a subtorus $T \subset G$ w. $G = TU$. Moreover for any subgroup $A \subset G$ that's a product of a torus & finite commutive group $\exists u \in U$ s.t. $uAu^{-1} \subset T$.

Proof: Recall $G \hookrightarrow B_n$, hence $U = G \cap U_n$. Now the proof is by induction on $\dim U$. If \exists normal in G subgrp $U_0 \subset U$, then we can choose $T_0 \subset G/U_0$ w. required properties and let G_0 to be the preimage of T_0 in G . Once we know statements in G/U_0 & in G_0 , we are done. \square hence U is commutative

So suppose no such non-triv. U_0 exists. We claim that $\dim U = 1$ and G/U acts on $U (\cong \mathbb{C})$ by mult'g w. character. Recall the normal in B_n subgroups $U_{n,k}$. Pick minimal k s.t. $U \subset U_{n,k}$. Then $U \cap U_{n,k+1}$ is normal in G and is diff't from $U \Rightarrow U \cap U_{n,k+1} = \{\text{id}\}$. So $U \subset U_{n,k}/U_{n,k+1} = \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ and conj. action of G/U on U is by algic rep'n (b/c B_n acts on $U_{n,k}/U_{n,k+1}$ this way). The action is diagonal and every stable subspace is normal in G . So $\dim U = 1$

Existence of T_0 : Let χ be the character of action of G/U on U .

Case 1: $\chi \neq 0$. Let $g \in G$ be Weil generic, and let $T = \overline{\{g^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subset G$

The image of T in G/U is dense \Rightarrow coincides w. G/U ; T is closure of commutative

\Rightarrow commutative $\Rightarrow T \neq G$. If $T \cap U \neq \{\text{id}\}$, then $T \supset U$. So $T \cap U = \{\text{id}\}$ & $G = TU$

Case 2: $\lambda=0$. If G isn't commutative we are done like in Case 1 (but in fact $\lambda=0 \Rightarrow G$ is commutative). Finite order el'ts are dense many times \rightarrow

$T := \{\text{fin. order el'ts of } G\}$ -subgroup; $T \subset C^n$ diag'ly, so $T \cap U = \{e\}$

Now let us show $T \rightarrow G/U$ let \underline{h} be a fin. order el't in G/U $T \rightarrow G/U$ will follow from $\exists \text{ fin. order } s \in \underline{h} \cap U$. Let $\underline{h} \in \underline{h} \cap U$

$\underline{h} = \underline{h}_s \underline{h}_u$ be the multitive Jordan decomp in $G/U(\mathbb{C})$ (\underline{h}_s is diag'le in $G_u(\mathbb{C})$, \underline{h}_u nipp, $\underline{h}_s \underline{h}_u = \underline{h}_u \underline{h}_s$). \underline{h}_s is of finite order $\Rightarrow \exists n > 0 \quad \underline{h}^n = \underline{h}_s^n$. In fact, $U = \{\exp(tA) | t \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent. So $\underline{h}_s^n = \underline{h}^n \in U$ $\Rightarrow \underline{h}_u \in U \Rightarrow \underline{h}_u = G$, it lefts \underline{h} . The proof of existence of T is done.

Now let's show existence of $u \in U$ w. $uAu^{-1} \subset T$. In case 2, Act by the proof. In Case 1, we need to consider two cases:

Case 1.1 $A \notin \ker \lambda$. Pick $g \in A \setminus \ker \lambda$ To show $\exists u |ugu^{-1} \in T$ is an exercise (let $Z = Z_G(ugu^{-1})$, the centralizer). Then $T \subset Z$, $Z \cap U = \{e\}$. Since $uAu^{-1} \subset Z$, we are done.

Case 1.2 $A \in \ker \lambda$. Then similarly to case 2, we see $A \subset T \setminus \ker \lambda$. \square

Rmk: The same argument works for any alg. subgrp of B_n , now we have $C = T \cup U$, where T doesn't need to be conn'd

Cor (of Thms 3, 4) Any two max'l (w.r.t inclusion) tori in an alg. gr'p G are conj'te: (Thm 3 reduces to the case of solvable group & Thm 4 handles that case)