

Injective rational representations.

The main goal of this note is to prove the following thm.

Thm: Let X_0 be a finite type affine scheme over a field \mathbb{F} , let G be an algebraic group over \mathbb{F} , and let $X \rightarrow X_0$ be a principal G -bundle. Then $\mathbb{F}[X]$ is an injective object in the category of rational representations.

This is mentioned in Lec 19 (Sec 2.3). Below we prove this theorem

1) Injectivity criterium.

Proposition: A rational G -representation N is injective iff the functor $\text{Hom}_G(\cdot; N)$ is exact on the category of finite dimensional rational representations.

This is morally similar to the Baer's injectivity criterium

1

for modules over rings and is proved in the same fashion using transfinite induction: for \mathbb{C} -modules $M \subset \tilde{M}$ we need to extend a homomorphism $M \rightarrow N$ to \tilde{M} . We look at the set of extensions $M' \rightarrow N$ (for $M \subset M' \subset \tilde{M}$), equip it w. a poset structure and use the Zorn lemma to show there's a maximal element, \hat{M} . If $\hat{M} \neq \tilde{M}$, pick $m \notin \hat{M}$, include it into a finite dimensional subrep $V \subset \tilde{M}$ and use the exactness of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot, N)$ on the finite dimensional reps to extend $V \cap \hat{M} \rightarrow N$ to $V \rightarrow N$. This allows to extend from \hat{M} to $\hat{M} + V$, a contradiction w. the maximality of \hat{M} .

Everybody loves transfinite induction, so the details are left as *exercise*.

2) Consequences.

Corollary 1: An arbitrary direct sum of injective reps is injective.

Proof is an *exercise*.

Corollary 2: $\mathbb{F}[G]$ is an injective rational rep (w. $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{F}[G]$ from the action $G \curvearrowright G$ on the left)

Proof: This follows from the following claim & Proposition

(*) For a finite dimensional rational G -rep V , we have

$\text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{F}[G]) \cong V^*$, a functorial iso.

To prove (*), note that $\text{Hom}_{\text{vs}}(V, \mathbb{F}[G]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(S(V), \mathbb{F}[G]) \cong \text{Mor}_{\text{Sch}}(G, V^*)$, note that the last expression is naturally a vector space b/c V^* is. ; $\text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{F}[G]) \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{vs}}(V, \mathbb{F}[G])$ corresponds to G -equivariant morphisms $G \rightarrow V^*$ in $\text{Mor}_{\text{Sch}}(G, V^*)$. Such a morphism is uniquely recovered from its value at 1, hence the claim. \square

The next claim follows from Corollaries 1&2.

Corollary 3: For any set I , the rational representation $\mathbb{F}[G]^{\oplus I}$ is injective.

3) Proof of the main result.

In the case when $X \simeq G \times X_0 \Leftrightarrow F[X] \simeq F[G] \otimes F[X_0]$ follows from Cor 3. In the general case we can find a surjective étale morphism $\tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$ w. $\tilde{X}_0 \times_{X_0} X \xrightarrow{\sim} G \times \tilde{X}_0$. We'll use the injectivity of $F[X]$ from that of $F[\tilde{X}_0 \times_{X_0} X] = F[\tilde{X}_0] \otimes_{F[X_0]} F[X]$.

We are going to check that the functor $V \mapsto \text{Hom}_G(V, F[X]) = (V \otimes^* F[X])^G$ is exact on finite dimensional reps. For this, we need an interpretation of taking G -invariants.

To equip a vector space M w. a rational representation structure is the same as to equip M w. an $F[G]$ -comodule structure (see e.g. Lec 8.5 from MATH 603 in S22).

Consider the coaction map $\alpha_M: M \rightarrow M \otimes F[G]$. It is a $F[G]$ -comodule map, where on $M \otimes F[G]$ the coalgebra $F[G]$ coacts on the 2nd factor. The claim that this is a map of $F[G]$ -comodules is the coassociativity of the coaction.

On the other hand, we can consider the map $\text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon: M \rightarrow M \otimes F[G]$, where $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow F[G]$ is the unit. Then

$$M^G = \ker[\alpha_M - \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon], \text{ exercise.}$$

Now let $M = V^* \otimes \mathbb{F}[X]$. It comes w. an action of $\mathbb{F}[X_0]$
 $= \mathbb{F}[X]^G$ by G -linear endomorphisms. The map $\alpha_M - \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon$ is
 $\mathbb{F}[X_0]$ -linear. Note that the analogous map for $\tilde{X}_0 \times_{X_0} X$ is
 obtained from $\alpha_M - \text{id}_M \otimes \varepsilon$ by applying the functor $\mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X_0]} \cdot$.
 This functor is exact and faithful b/c $\mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0]$ is a fully faithful
 $\mathbb{F}[X_0]$ -module. From the exactness it then follows that

$$\left[\mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X_0]} (V^* \otimes \mathbb{F}[X]) \right]^G \simeq (V^* \otimes \mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0 \times_{X_0} X])^G$$
 The latter
 is isomorphic to $(V^* \otimes \mathbb{F}[G \times \tilde{X}_0])^G \simeq V^* \otimes \mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0]$.

So $\mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X_0]} \text{Hom}_G(\cdot; \mathbb{F}[X])$ is an exact functor. And
 $\mathbb{F}[\tilde{X}_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X_0]} \cdot$ is exact & faithful. It follows that $\text{Hom}_G(\cdot; \mathbb{F}[X_0])$
 is an exact functor (this implication is an *exercise*).