

Basics on quantizations.

1) Filtered quantizations.

Setting: \mathbb{F} base field, usually alg. closed.

A comm'v'e unital \mathbb{F} -algebra equipped w:

- Poisson bracket $\{; \cdot\}$ (i.e. Lie bracket + Leibniz identity $\{a, bc\} = b\{a, c\} + c\{a, b\}$)

- & algebra grading $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$

compatible via $\{A_i, A_j\} \subset A_{i+j-1}$ (i.e. $\deg\{; \cdot\} = -1$)

Example 1: \mathfrak{g} - Lie algebra, $A := S(\mathfrak{g})$

w. standard grading & unique $\{; \cdot\}$ s.t.

$$\{x, y\} = [x, y] \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Example 2: $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ w. grading

by degree in y 's & standard bracket

$$\{P, Q\} := \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_i} \right)$$

17

Example 2': This example generalizes the previous one. Let A_0 be $\mathbb{F}[X_0]$, the algebra of regular functions on a smooth affine variety X_0 . Let $V := \text{Der}(A_0, A_0)$, the A_0 -module of derivations $A_0 \rightarrow A_0$, geometrically these are vector fields on X_0 .

Set $A := S_{A_0}(V) (= \mathbb{F}[T^*X_0])$ w. standard grading. $\exists!$ $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ on A s.t.

$$\{f, g\} := 0, \quad f, g \in A_0$$

$$\{\xi, f\} := \xi \cdot f \quad \forall \xi \in V, f \in A_0$$

$$\{\xi, \eta\} := [\xi, \eta] \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in V$$

It comes from the standard symplectic form on T^*X_0 .

In Example 2, $A_0 = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ($X = \mathbb{A}^n$) & $y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is a basis of the A_0 -module V .

2

Now we proceed to quantizations.

Definitions: Let \mathcal{A} be a unital (assoc.) \mathbb{F} -alg'a.

• A $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -algebra filtration is collection of vector subspaces $\mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \subset \mathcal{A}$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$)

s.t. : - $1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\leq 0}$

- $\mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \mathcal{A}_{\leq j} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\leq i+j} \quad \forall i, j$

- $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i}$

• The associated graded algebra

$\text{gr } \mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_i \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} / \mathcal{A}_{\leq i-1}$ - graded algebra.

• \mathcal{A} is almost commutative if $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$ is commutative, equivalently $[\mathcal{A}_{\leq i}, \mathcal{A}_{\leq j}] \subset \mathcal{A}_{\leq i+j-1} \quad \forall i, j$. In this case $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$

acquires a Poisson bracket of deg -1:

$$\{a + \mathcal{A}_{\leq i-1}, b + \mathcal{A}_{\leq j-1}\} := [a, b] + \mathcal{A}_{\leq i+j-2}.$$

Exercise: show this indeed a Poisson

3] bracket.

Definition: A **filtered quantization** of

A as above is a pair (\mathcal{A}, ι) of

- an almost commutative filtered algebra \mathcal{A} and

- graded Poisson algebra isomorphism

$$\iota: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{A}.$$

We often omit ι when it's clear from the context.

Example 1: $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathfrak{g}) (= \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y])})$

is a filtered quantization of

$$A = S(\mathfrak{g}) (= \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x)})$$

Indeed, since $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded algebra, there's a graded algebra epimorphism

$$\boxed{4} \quad T(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ that factors through}$$

$S(\mathfrak{g})$. The resulting epimorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an isomorphism by the PBW Theorem.

Exercise: Check that $S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ intertwines the Poisson bracket.

Example 2: Let $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$.

Set $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle \leftarrow$ free algebra.

$$([x_i, x_j] = [\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0, [\partial_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij})$$

(known as the Weyl algebra). Define a filtration on \mathcal{A} by degree in ∂ 's:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\leq i} = \text{Span}(x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_n^{b_n} \mid b_1 + \dots + b_n \leq i).$$

Similarly to the previous example, there is graded algebra epimorphism $A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \text{gr } \mathcal{A}$.

It's Poisson.

5] **Exercise:** Show that \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism

by checking that the monomials $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_n^{b_n}$ are linearly independent.

In order to do this consider the case when $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ and construct a representation of \mathcal{A} in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ showing that the images of the monomials are linearly independent.

When $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > 0$, treat the case of \mathbb{Z} instead of \mathbb{F} first & base change.

Example 2': Let A_0, V, X_0 be as in the previous instance of this example. Consider the associative algebra $D(X_0)$ of **linear differential operators** on X_0 . By definition, it's generated by the algebra A_0 and left A_0 -module V (meaning that we are getting

algebra homomorphism $A_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0)$ & a left A_0 -module homomorphism $V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0)$ with the following additional relations:

$$\xi f = f \xi + \xi \cdot f \quad \xi \in V, f \in A_0$$

$$\xi \eta - \eta \xi = [\xi, \eta] \quad \xi, \eta \in V$$

Consider the filtration on $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(X_0)$ by degrees in V . Then $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}(X_0)$ is a commutative algebra with $S_{A_0}(V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \text{gr } \mathcal{D}(X_0)$, a homomorphism of graded Poisson algebras.

Then \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism. Here is a scheme of proof:

- The case of $X_0 = \mathbb{A}^n$ - we recover

Example 2.

- For an étale morphism $Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ of smooth affine varieties we have a filtered algebra

$\mathbb{F}[Y_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X_0]} \mathcal{D}(X_0)$ & a

filtered algebra isomorphism

$\mathbb{F}[Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X]} \mathcal{D}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Y)$. So if the claim that \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism holds for X , then it's also an isomorphism for Y .

• Every smooth variety can be covered by "coordinate charts": open affine subvarieties that admit étale morphisms to affine spaces.

This finishes the proof that $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{F}[T^*X] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{D}(X)$. Hence $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is a filtered quantization of $\mathbb{F}[T^*X]$.

Let us elaborate on the terminology.

Exercise: The actions of A_0 on itself by multiplication & of V via $V \simeq$

8

$\text{Der}(A_0)$ extend to an action of $D(X_0)$ on A_0 .

When $\text{char } F = 0$ this action is faithful, compare to the proof of $F[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } D(A^n)$ in Example 2. When $\text{char } F > 0$, the action is NOT faithful. We will return to this later.

2) Formal quantizations.

Let A be a Poisson algebra, not necessarily graded. Let \hbar be an independent variable.

Definition: by a formal quantization

of A we mean a pair $(\mathcal{A}_\hbar, \iota)$, where

- \mathcal{A}_\hbar is an associative $F[[\hbar]]$ -algebra

s.t.

9

- \hbar is not a zero divisor in \mathcal{A}_\hbar .

- \mathcal{A}_\hbar is complete & separated in \hbar -adic topology, equivalently the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_\hbar \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_\hbar / \hbar^n \mathcal{A}_\hbar$ is an isomorphism.

- $[\mathcal{A}_\hbar, \mathcal{A}_\hbar] \subset \hbar \mathcal{A}_\hbar$.

• $\iota: \mathcal{A}_\hbar / \hbar \mathcal{A}_\hbar \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ is an algebra isomorphism s.t.

$$\iota\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}[a, b]\right) = \{ \iota(a), \iota(b) \} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\hbar.$$

We are not going to give separate examples of formal quantizations.

Rather we'll explain how to pass from filtered quantizations to formal ones.

Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded Poisson algebra
10] & $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i}$ be its filtered quantization.

Definition: Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{\leq i} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -filtered algebra. The **Rees algebra** of \mathcal{A} , $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as the subspace

$$\bigoplus_i \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \hbar^i \subset \mathcal{A}[[\hbar^{\pm 1}]].$$

Exercise: • Show that $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})$ is a graded subalgebra in $\mathcal{A}[[\hbar^{\pm 1}]]$.

• Construct natural isomorphisms

$$R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathcal{A}, \quad R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}.$$

Then we can form the completion $\hat{R}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})$

in the \hbar -adic topology:

$$\hat{R}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A}) = \varprojlim R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/\hbar^n R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Note that if \mathcal{A} is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtered, then

$$\hat{R}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \hbar^i \subset \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]]$$

Exercise: Suppose \mathcal{A} is a filtered quantization of A , then $\hat{R}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})$ is a formal

quantization.

Example: Let $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A}) =$
 $= \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - \hbar[x, y])}$ & $\hat{R}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - \hbar[x, y])}$

Under certain conditions we can also go back getting a filtered quantization from a formal one, \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} .

Definition: Suppose A is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded. By a **grading** on \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} we mean an action of \mathbb{F}^{\times} on \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} by \mathbb{F} -algebra automorphisms s.t.:

- $t \cdot \hbar = t\hbar \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$
- The action of \mathbb{F}^{\times} on $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} / \hbar^n \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ comes from an algebra grading, equivalently, is rational.

12] • The isomorphism $\iota: A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} / \hbar \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ is graded.

Note that $\hat{R}_\hbar(\mathcal{A})$ has a natural grading: the corresponding F^\times -action is extended from the action of $R_\hbar(\mathcal{A})$ coming from the grading.

Now suppose we have a formal quantization \mathcal{A}_\hbar with a grading. By its finite part, we mean the subspace of \mathcal{A}_\hbar consisting of all elements that lie in a finite dimensional F^\times -stable subspace. Denote it by $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$.

Example: For $\mathcal{A}_\hbar = F[[\hbar]]$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}} = F[\hbar].$$

Exercise: • $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$ is a $F[\hbar]$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_\hbar . It's F^\times -stable and the action of F^\times is rational giving rise to a grading.

• Set $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}} / (\hbar-1)\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$ and equip it with a filtration induced from a grading.

Show that \mathcal{P} is a filtered quantization of A .

• Finally, show that the assignments $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \hat{P}_{\hbar}(\mathcal{P})$ & $\mathcal{P}_{\hbar} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\hbar, \text{fin}} / (\hbar-1)\mathcal{P}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$ define mutually inverse bijections between the filtered quantizations and formal quantizations equipped with a grading.

Rem: The definition of a grading on a formal quantization works even if we only have a \mathbb{Z} -grading on A , not a $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ -grading. We still have a bijection between filtered & graded formal quantizations.

But we need to modify the definition of the former by requiring that we have a \mathbb{Z} -filtration on \mathcal{P} and that filtration

is complete & separated meaning

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\hbar \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}_{\leq -\hbar}.$$

3) Quantizations of schemes.

We will also be interested in a more general setting of quantizing more general schemes (the case of algebras corresponds to affine schemes). Here is a special case:

Example: Let X_0 be a smooth variety.

Set $X = T^*X_0$. This is a symplectic algebraic variety so its structure sheaf

acquires a Poisson bracket. Note that an

open affine cover $X_0 = \bigcup_i X_0^i$ gives rise to

an open affine cover $X = \bigcup_i X^i$, where

$X^i = T^*X_0^i$. The Poisson bracket on \mathcal{O}_X is

$\widetilde{15}$ glued from the brackets on $\mathbb{F}[X^i]$.

Let $\mathcal{P}: X = T^*X_0 \longrightarrow X_0$ denote the projection. Then $\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf of graded Poisson algebras on X_0 . It has a filtered quantization, the sheaf \mathcal{D}_{X_0} of linear differential operators.

In general, we are interested in a Poisson scheme X ("Poisson" means that the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is equipped with a Poisson bracket; for example every algebraic symplectic variety is Poisson).

The easiest thing to define is a formal quantization of X , i.e. of \mathcal{O}_X . This just repeats the definition of a formal quantization of a Poisson algebra, where all algebras are replaced with sheaves. We

would like to emphasize that a formal quantization, \mathcal{D}_\hbar , of X is NOT a (quasi) coherent sheaf on X - indeed, it's not even a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. A good way to think about \mathcal{D}_\hbar is that it's glued from formal quantizations of open affine subschemes.

In the case when X comes with a compatible \mathbb{C}^\times -action (meaning that $\{i, \bar{i}\}$ gets rescaled by the character $t \mapsto t^{-1}$) we can define a grading on a quantization just as before - we just need to define a rational \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $\mathcal{D}_\hbar / \hbar^n \mathcal{D}_\hbar$. The easiest situation is when X can be covered by \mathbb{C}^\times -stable open affine subvarieties, $X = \bigcup_i X^i$. This is always the

17 | case when X is normal. Here we say that

the \mathbb{C}^x -action on $\mathcal{D}_\hbar / \hbar^n \mathcal{D}_\hbar$ is rational if the actions on $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_\hbar / \hbar^n \mathcal{D}_\hbar)$ are rational.

Then one can talk about filtered quantizations of X (at least, when X can be covered by \mathbb{C}^x -stable open affines), the procedure of going from a formal quantization with a grading to a filtered one repeats that for quantizations of algebras.

We will not need this: we will be interested in the case of $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > 0$, where interesting (filtered) quantizations of X are coherent sheaves on a related scheme $X^{(1)}$.