

Quantizations via Hamiltonian reduction

- 1) General definition
- 2) Examples
- 3?) Quantization commutes w. reduction

Ref: Etingof.

1.1) Moment maps. \mathbb{F} is alg. closed base field

A : graded Poisson algebra, $\deg \{, \} = -1$

\mathcal{A} : filtered quantization

G algebraic group

$G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$ rational & by filt. algebra autom's.

$\downarrow \leftarrow$ by differentiating G -action
 $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ - G -equiv't Lie alg. homomorphism
 $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{A}$

Def: A quantum comoment map for this action is a

G -equivariant linear map $\mathcal{P}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq 1}$ s.t.

$$[\mathcal{P}(\xi), a] = \xi_{\mathcal{A}} a \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}, a \in \mathcal{A}$$

Exercise: \mathcal{P} is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

If $G \curvearrowright A$ rationally & by graded Poisson automorphisms

can talk about (classical) comoment map:

1

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{G} A_1 \text{ s.t. } \{\varphi(\xi), \cdot\} = \xi_A.$$

If A is fin. gen'd $\leadsto X = \text{Spec}(A)$. To give $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ ($\Leftrightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow A$) is the same thing as to give $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. This is the **moment map**.

Rem: If $\mathcal{P}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq 1}$ is quantum comoment map $\Rightarrow \varphi := \text{gr } \mathcal{P}$ is a classical comoment map.

Example: Let X_0 be smooth & affine, $A := \mathbb{F}[T^*X_0]$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}(X_0)$. If $G \curvearrowright X_0 \leadsto$ rational actions $G \curvearrowright A, \mathcal{A}$ as above;

Exercise: $\xi \mapsto \xi_{X_0}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0)_{\leq 1}$ is a quantum comoment map, while $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(X_0) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}[T^*X_0]_1$ is classical comoment map.

Rem: Now let A be Poisson algebra, \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} be its formal quantization. The def'n of classical comoment map is as before but now we want $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$.

Modifications for quantum comoment map:

Assume $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ is by $\mathbb{F}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra autom's & $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}/(\hbar^n)$ is rational $\forall n > 0 \leadsto \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}[[\hbar]]}(\mathcal{A}_{\hbar})$, $\xi \mapsto \xi_{\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}}$; A quantum comoment map is a G -equiv't linear $\mathcal{P}_{\hbar}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{\hbar} [\mathcal{P}_{\hbar}(\xi), a] = \xi_{\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}}(a)$.

These settings are intertwined by the bijection between:

- filtered quantization
- formal quantization w. grading

(this is left as *exercise*).

1.2) Hamiltonian reduction

Classical Hamiltonian reduction: A is Poisson algebra,
 $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ is comoment map $\rightsquigarrow A\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) \subset A$ is G -stable
 ideal $\rightsquigarrow (A/A\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))^G$ - commut. algebra.

Exercise: • Have well-defined binary operation on $(A/A\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))^G$
 $\{a + A\varphi(\mathfrak{g}), b + A\varphi(\mathfrak{g})\} := \{a, b\} + A\varphi(\mathfrak{g})$ (hint:
 $\{\varphi(\xi), a\} = \xi_A(a)$ & if $a + A\varphi(\mathfrak{g})$ is G -invariant, then
 $\xi_A(a) \in A\varphi(\mathfrak{g})$).

• Get a Poisson bracket on $(A/A\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))^G$.

If A is graded & $\text{im } \varphi \subset A_1 \Rightarrow (A/A\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))^G$ inherits a
 grading & $\deg \{, \} = -1$.

Quantum Hamiltonian reduction: A is graded, \mathcal{F} is filt. quant'n.
 Then quantum Hamiltonian reduction is $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))^G$.

Exercise: There is well-defined associative product on
 $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))^G$: $(a + \mathcal{F}\varphi(\mathfrak{g}))(b + \mathcal{F}\varphi(\mathfrak{g})) := ab + \mathcal{F}\varphi(\mathfrak{g})$

Filtr'n on $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow$ filtr'n on $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\sigma))^\hbar$ w. $\deg[\cdot] \leq -1$

Question: Is $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\sigma))^\hbar$ a filtered quant'n of $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\varphi(\sigma))^\hbar$?

Answer: Sometimes...

$\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\sigma)) \supset \varphi(\sigma) \Rightarrow$ contains $\mathcal{A}\varphi(\sigma) \Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\varphi(\sigma) \twoheadrightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\sigma))$

$\nearrow \text{gr}[(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\sigma))^\hbar] \hookrightarrow [\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\sigma))]^\hbar$ *exercise*

isomorphism when \hbar is "linearly reductive" (all rational reps are completely reducible)

(In Part 3 will see sufficient conditions for positive answer).

Remarks: $\cdot X \in (\sigma^*)^\hbar \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}_X := \mathcal{P} - X \cdot 1: \sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq 1}$. Still a quantum comoment map. Quantum Ham'n reduction gives a family of algebras param'd by $X: (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_X(\sigma))^\hbar$.

\cdot Have similar constr'n for formal quant'ns:

$(\mathcal{A}_\hbar / \mathcal{A}_\hbar \mathcal{P}_\hbar(\sigma))^\hbar$

Always have $[\mathcal{A}_\hbar / \mathcal{A}_\hbar \mathcal{P}_\hbar(\sigma)] / \hbar [\mathcal{A}_\hbar / \mathcal{A}_\hbar \mathcal{P}_\hbar(\sigma)] \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\varphi(\sigma)$

but it can happen that \hbar is a zero divisor in $\mathcal{A}_\hbar / \mathcal{A}_\hbar \mathcal{P}_\hbar(\sigma)$

so $(\mathcal{A}_\hbar / \mathcal{A}_\hbar \mathcal{P}_\hbar(\sigma))^\hbar$ may still fail to be quantization on $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\varphi(\sigma))^\hbar$.

2) Examples: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}(X_0)$, X_0 is smooth affine, $G \curvearrowright X_0$
 $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{F}_{X_0}$; $X \in (\sigma^*)^G \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}_X(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{F}_X - \langle X, \mathcal{F} \rangle$
 $\mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathcal{F}} G := (\mathcal{D}(X_0) / \mathcal{D}(X_0) \mathcal{P}_X(\sigma^*))^G$

2.1) $X=0$ & $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is principal G -bundle

i.e. \exists surjective étale morphism $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \tilde{Y}_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \tilde{Y}_0 \times_{Y_0} X \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \tilde{Y}_0 & \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

Note: can assume \tilde{Y}_0 is affine

Prop: $\mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathcal{F}} G$ is $\mathcal{D}(Y_0)$.

Sketch of proof: $\mathcal{D}(Y_0)$ is generated by $B_0 := \mathbb{F}[Y_0] = \mathbb{F}[X_0]^G$ & $U := \text{Vect}(Y_0)$ subject to rel'ns.

Plan: • produce maps $B_0, U \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathcal{F}} G$

• check the rel'ns for them $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(Y_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathcal{F}} G$

• check this is an isom'm.

Need to describe U ; $A_0 = \mathbb{F}[X_0]$, $V := \text{Vect}(X_0)$

Exercise: • $(V / \mathbb{F}[X_0] \{ \mathcal{F}_{X_0} \mid \mathcal{F} \in \sigma^* \})^G$ naturally acts on $\mathbb{F}[Y_0] = \mathbb{F}[X_0]^G$ by derivations. This gives a map $\rightarrow U$

• This map is an isom'm of $\mathbb{F}[Y_0]$ -modules.

(hint: - treat the case of $X_0 = G \times Y_0$

- reduce to this case by applying the functor

$\mathbb{F}[\tilde{Y}_0] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[X_0]} \cdot$ to the homom'm. $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is surjective & étale

Our functor is exact & if a morphism goes to isomorphism, then it's an isom'm itself.)

$$B_0 = \mathbb{F}[Y_0] = \mathbb{F}[X_0]^G \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}(X_0) / \mathcal{D}(X_0) \{ \mathbb{F}_{X_0} \})^G$$

- from $\mathbb{F}[X_0] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0)$

$$U = (V / \mathbb{F}[X_0] \{ \mathbb{F}_{X_0} \})^G \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}(X_0) / \mathcal{D}(X_0) \{ \mathbb{F}_{X_0} \})^G$$

- from $V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0)$

Exercise: Maps $B_0, U \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}(X_0) / \mathcal{D}(X_0) \{ \mathbb{F}_{X_0} \})^G$ extend to homom'm from $\mathcal{D}(Y_0)$.

Exercise: This homom'm is an isomorphism (first prove for $X_0 = G \times Y_0$, then reduce using etale base change). \square

Rem: • Isomorphisms $\mathcal{D}(Y_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(X_0) //_G$ are natural so glue together. Let $\pi: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ principal G -bundle, where Y_0 is not required to be affine. Then can do sheaf version of quantum Ham. red'n:

Can view $\mathcal{D}_{X_0} / \mathcal{D}_{X_0} \{ \mathbb{F}_{X_0} \}$ as a G -equiv't quasi-coh't sheaf on X_0

$$\text{Set } \mathcal{D}_{X_0} //_G := [\pi_* (\mathcal{D}_{X_0} / \mathcal{D}_{X_0} \{ \mathbb{F}_{X_0} \})]^G$$

If we cover $Y_0 = \cup Y_0^i$ (open affines) $\Rightarrow X_0^i := \pi^{-1}(Y_0^i) \rightarrow Y_0^i$ is princ. G -bundle & $\Gamma(Y_0^i, \mathcal{D}_{X_0} //_G) := \mathcal{D}(X_0^i) //_G$

$$\text{Prop'n } \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X_0} //_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{Y_0}$$

• If Y_0 is affine, then classical reduction $\mathbb{F}[T^*X_0] //_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[T^*Y_0]$.

2.2) Twisted diff'l operators

Y_0 smooth & affine, L line bundle.

$X_0 = (\text{Total space of } L) \setminus Y_0$ - locally trivial principal \mathbb{F}^\times -bundle over Y_0 . $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathbb{F}^\times} \cong \mathcal{D}(Y_0)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lie}(\mathbb{F}^\times) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{F} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ d_1(\text{id}) & \mapsto & 1 \end{array}$$

Want to understand $\mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathbb{F}^\times} = [\mathcal{D}(X_0) / \mathcal{D}(X_0)(1_{X_0} - 1)]^{\mathbb{F}^\times}$.

Exercise: If L is trivial $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathbb{F}^\times} \cong \mathcal{D}(Y_0)$.
($X_0 = \mathbb{F}^\times \times Y_0$)

Def'n: $\mathcal{D}(X_0) //_{\mathbb{F}^\times}$ is called the algebra of diff'l operators in L .

Exercise: i) Let M be an \mathcal{A} -module w. rational G -action s.t.

- $\mathcal{A} \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is G -equiv't.
- $\mathcal{P}(\xi)m = \sum_{\mathcal{A}} \xi m \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{A}, m \in M$.

Here M is called strongly equiv't.

Then M^G is $\mathcal{A} //_{\mathbb{F}^\times} G$ -module: $(a + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}(\xi))m = am \quad \forall m \in M^G$

(l.h.s is well-defined b/c $\mathcal{P}(\xi)m = \sum_{\mathcal{A}} \xi m = 0$)

b/c m is G -invariant.

ii) $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ be char'r $\rightsquigarrow d_1\chi \in (\mathcal{A}^*)^G$ (abuse not'n & $\chi := d_1\chi$). Then seminvariants $M^{G,\chi} = \{m \in M \mid gm = \chi(g)m\}$.

is a module $\mathcal{A} //_{\chi} G$.

Apply this to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}(X_0)$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{F}[X_0] \cap \mathbb{F}^x$

$$\mathbb{F}[X_0] = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i})$$

$$\uparrow \\ \mathbb{F}^x \text{ by } t \mapsto t^i$$

For $X = \text{id} \Rightarrow \mathbb{F}[X_0]^{G, X} = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{D}(X_0) // \mathbb{F}^x$

Not'n: $\mathcal{D}(X_0) // \mathbb{F}^x =: \mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{L})$

2.3) G simple alg. grp / $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $X_0 = \mathfrak{g}$.

$G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$ is not free.

Want: $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) //_0 G$

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be Cartan, $W \curvearrowright \mathfrak{h}$ Weyl group $\leadsto W \curvearrowright \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})$
 $\leadsto \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})^W$

Thm i, Harish-Chandra: have filt. alg. homom.

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) //_0 G \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})^W$$

ii, Levasseur-Stafford: this an isomorphism.

Chevalley restriction thm: Restricting from $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ defines
isom'm $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})^W \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \text{ (faithful)}$$

Last exercise: $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) //_0 G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$

If $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) //_0 G$ acts by operators from $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})^W$, then we have
our homomorphism. But this is false:

Example: Pick (\cdot, \cdot) invariant orthog. form on \mathfrak{g}
 $\leadsto \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (as operators w. const. coeff.)
 $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\mathfrak{h}} \leadsto \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} \in S(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{h}}$
 Claim/exercise: on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts as $\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{2\partial_{\alpha^{\vee}}}{\alpha}$

Let $S := \prod_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathfrak{h}, \text{sgn}}$

Claim/exercise: $\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}} = S^{-1} \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ S$ (i.e. $\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(F) = S^{-1} \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}(SF)$)

Then homomorphism is $\alpha \mapsto S^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ S$
 $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) //_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}$ $\xrightarrow{\quad}$ $\text{elit of } \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{h}} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$
 acting on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathfrak{g}}$

On Poisson level, still have $\mathbb{F}[T^*\mathfrak{g}] //_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{F}[T^*\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$
 (by restricting to $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$) that identifies
 $(\mathbb{F}[T^*\mathfrak{g}] //_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}) / \text{radical} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[T^*\mathfrak{h}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$

When is the radical 0?

Known for: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ (Etingof-Ginzburg)

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ (T.-H. Chen-Ngo; Losev)